#### www.courtreportingny.com

7:11 p.m.

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND

TOWN OF STONY POINT : PLANNING BOARD

- - - - - - - - - - - - X

IN THE MATTER

OF

LOVETT SUBSTATION

- - - - - - X

Town of Stony Point

RHO Building

5 Clubhouse Lane

Stony Point, New York
December 8, 2022

#### **BEFORE:**

MARK JOHNSON, CHAIRMAN
KERRI ALESSI, BOARD MEMBER
ROLAND BIEHLE, BOARD MEMBER
MICHAEL FERGUSON, BOARD MEMBER
ERIC JASLOW, BOARD MEMBER
JAMES PURCELL, BOARD MEMBER
JERRY ROGERS, BOARD MEMBER

ROCKLAND & ORANGE REPORTING

2 Congers Road, Suite 2

New City, New York 10956

(845) 634-4200

www.courtreportingny.com 2 1 Proceedings 2 3 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All right. Next up, 4 we've got the Lovett Substation. All right. 5 We've got a resolution. The public hearing 6 was closed at the last month's meeting. 7 Steve? 8 MR. HONAN: Yes? 9 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Can you read the 10 resolution for us? 11 MR. HONAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'd be 12 happy to. 13 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. 14 MR. HONAN: Resolution of final site 15 plan approval for the project Lovett 345 16 Kilovolt GIS Substation, by application of 17 Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., of 18 390 West Route 59, Spring Valley, New York 19 10977. 20 Whereas, an application dated April 7, 21 2022, a Full EAF dated April 6, 2022, with an 2.2 annexed Section F, additional information, 23 detailed summary of the proposed project,

consisting of 16 pages without attachments,

and a project narrative submitted by its

24

| Proceeding |
|------------|
|            |

1

2 engineer, has been submitted to the Planning 3 Board of the Town of Stony Point for final 4 site plan approval to construct a new 345 5 Kilovolt Gas Insulated Switchgear substation 6 and a transformer which will provide an 7 additional supply of electric power to an 8 existing Lovett 138 Kilovolt substation and 9 the proposed work will take place adjacent to 10 and in close proximity to the existing Lovett 11 138 Kilovolt substation in Tomkins Cove, 12 New York, and the construction of a new metal 13 clad building is proposed to house the new 14 GIS equipment, construction of an access 15 roadway, along with landscaping and assorted 16 general site improvement work, and the 17 project will allow the applicant to meet its 18 need for additional new source electrical 19 capacity in the North Rockland region, and 20 upon a submitted site plan for the project 21 entitled Lovett GIS Substation Project, 22 consisting of 14 sheets, prepared by Orange 23 and Rockland Utilities, Inc., by Beta 24 Engineering California LP, dated July 11, 25 2022, and last revised on November 3, 2022,

| 1  | Proceedings                                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | hereinafter, the subject application; and     |
| 3  | concerning the site and premises designated   |
| 4  | as Section 10.04, Block 2, Lots 10, 11, 13,   |
| 5  | and 15 on the tax map of the Town of          |
| 6  | Stony Point, County of Rockland, located in   |
| 7  | both the RR and LI Zoning Districts, with an  |
| 8  | address of 45 Elm Avenue, Tomkins Cove,       |
| 9  | New York, 10986, and more specifically        |
| 10 | located at the intersection of Elm Avenue and |
| 11 | Spring Street, and situated on the west side  |
| 12 | of Elm Avenue and on the north side of Spring |
| 13 | Street, and this site is located in close     |
| 14 | proximity to the west shore line of the       |
| 15 | Hudson River, hereinafter, the subject        |
| 16 | premises; and                                 |
| 17 | Whereas, pursuant to the New York State       |
| 18 | Environmental Quality Review Act, the         |
| 19 | Planning Board determined this to be an       |
| 20 | unlisted action, and after circulation of a   |
| 21 | notice of intent, this Board assumed lead     |
| 22 | agency status and thereafter adopted a        |
| 23 | Part II EAF and identified areas of potential |
| 24 | environmental impact, and upon this Board's   |
| 25 | review of an amended EAF Part III, issued a   |

| 1  | Proceedings                                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | negative declaration of environmental         |
| 3  | significance on September 22, 2022; and       |
| 4  | Whereas, this application was the             |
| 5  | subject of a public hearing before this Board |
| 6  | on September 22, 2022, at 7:00 p.m., and the  |
| 7  | public hearing was kept open and continued to |
| 8  | the subsequent meeting of October 27, 2022,   |
| 9  | at 7:00 p.m., at which time the public        |
| 10 | hearing was concluded and closed; and         |
| 11 | Whereas, this Board referred the              |
| 12 | applicant to the Architectural Review Board   |
| 13 | of the Town of Stony Point, and on            |
| 14 | October 12, 2022, the ARB issued an approval  |
| 15 | of the application; and                       |
| 16 | Whereas, this Board referred the              |
| 17 | applicant to the Zoning Board of Appeals of   |
| 18 | the Town of Stony Point for six area          |
| 19 | variances it sought in order to implement its |
| 20 | proposed site plan, and by a decision dated   |
| 21 | October 20, 2022, and filed on October 24,    |
| 22 | 2022, the ZBA granted the area variances      |
| 23 | sought, and issued a conditional approval of  |
| 24 | the application; and                          |
| 25 | Whereas, simultaneous with the                |

# 1 Proceedings

2 submission of this application to the 3 Planning Board for site plan approval, the 4 applicant made application to the Town Board 5 for special permit approval because public 6 utility buildings and structures are proposed 7 on the site, and this Board by its memorandum dated October 27, 2022, recommended that the 8 9 Town Board approve the special permit 10 application, and the Town Board at its 11 meeting on November 22, 2022, issued a 12 resolution with conditions approving the 13 applicant's special permit application; and 14 Whereas, by letter dated May 23, 2022, 15 the Rockland County Department of Health, 16 Center for Environmental Health, as part of 17 its GML review, notified the Planning Board 18 that it had reviewed the applicant's 19 submissions and the proposed site plan, and 20 indicated, as follows: 21 Number One, the locations of the 22 23 on the plans and it is to be noted on the

existing monitoring wells are to be provided plans that the wells are to be decommissioned in accordance with Article II of the Rockland

24

## Proceedings

2.2

County Sanitary Code. The decommissioning process includes submission of an application and subsequent completion report. A certificate of occupancy should not be issued until this office has deemed that the decommissioning is complete; and

Number Two, application is to be made to the RCDOH for review of the storm water management system for compliance with the County mosquito code; and

Whereas, by letters dated May 16, 2022, and September 27, 2022, the Rockland County Department of Planning, as part of its GML review, advised the Planning Board that it had reviewed the applicant's submissions and its amended and supplemental submissions and recommended numerous modifications to the site plan and also submitted comments and observations for consideration, which resulted in the applicant's most recently revised site plan incorporating the recommended modifications and resulting in a revised site plan which currently complies with the recommendations outlined in the RCDP

| 1  | Proceedings                                  |
|----|--|
| 2  | letter of September 27, 2022, and is         |
| 3  | otherwise acceptable; and                    |
| 4  | Whereas, by letter dated November 7,         |
| 5  | 2022, the attorney for the applicant         |
| 6  | responded to the Rockland County Department  |
| 7  | of Planning's GML review letter of           |
| 8  | September 27, 2022, indicating that the      |
| 9  | applicant has or will comply with the        |
| 10 | recommended modifications set forth therein; |
| 11 | and  |
| 12 | Whereas, by memorandum dated December 2,     |
| 13 | 2022, Thomas Larkin, the Acting Fire         |
| 14 | Inspector for the Town of Stony Point,       |
| 15 | advised that based upon his review of the    |
| 16 | plans and submissions, the proposed plan     |
| 17 | provides for sufficient emergency and        |
| 18 | firefighting access to the site; and         |
| 19 | Whereas, by the memorandum dated May         |
| 20 | memoranda dated May 12, July 12, August 10,  |
| 21 | and September 12, 2022, of John O'Rourke,    |
| 22 | P.E., of Lanc and Tully Engineering and      |
| 23 | Surveying, P.C., the Town of Stony Point     |
| 24 | Engineer, reflect the serial reviews he      |
| 25 | conducted of the various amended site plans  |

| 1  | Proceedings                                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | and submissions of the applicant and by his   |
| 3  | recommended amendments to the site plan and   |
| 4  | submissions, resulted in the applicant's most |
| 5  | recent site plan incorporating all            |
| 6  | recommended revisions and resulting in a      |
| 7  | revised site plan which is currently          |
| 8  | acceptable; and                               |
| 9  | Whereas, memoranda dated April 13,            |
| 10 | August 22, and September 20, 2022, were       |
| 11 | received from Max Stach, AICP, of Nelson,     |
| 12 | Pope and Voorhis, LLC, the planning           |
| 13 | consultant to the Town, based upon his serial |
| 14 | reviews of the various amended site plans and |
| 15 | submissions of the applicant, including       |
| 16 | suggested revisions to the applicant's SEQRA  |
| 17 | related submissions, resulting in the         |
| 18 | applicant's most recent site plan             |
| 19 | incorporating all recommended revisions and   |
| 20 | resulting in a revised site plan which is     |
| 21 | currently acceptable; and                     |
| 22 | Whereas, by a letter to this Board dated      |
| 23 | August 10, 2022, the New York State           |
| 24 | Department of Transportation conducted a      |
| 25 | review of the application pursuant to its GML |

# 1 Proceedings 2 authority and advised that the proposed 3 project is expected to have no impact upon 4 the state highway system and accordingly, the 5 NYSDOT has no comment at this time; and 6 Whereas, by letter dated August 3, 2022, 7 the applicant's project manager responded to 8 the memorandum of John O'Rourke, P.E., dated 9 July 12, 2022, and by letter dated 10 September 14, 2022, the attorney for the 11 applicant responded to the memorandum of John 12 O'Rourke, P.E., dated August 8, 2022, and 13 also responded to the memorandum of Max 14 Stach, AICP, dated August 22, 2022, and the 15 aforesaid responses by the project manager 16 and attorney for the applicant indicated 17 compliance with the respective comments of 18 the Town's consultants; and 19 Whereas, the applicant has requested a 20 determination by the Town's Waterfront 21 Advisory Committee that the proposed action 2.2 is consistent with the Town's Local 23 Waterfront Revitalization Program, also known 24 as the LWRP, policy standards and conditions,

25

as required by the provisions of Town Code

|    |  | L |
|----|--|---|
| 1  | Proceedings                                  |   |
| 2  | Section 209-5, Subparagraph G; and           |   |
| 3  | Whereas, pursuant to the New York State      |   |
| 4  | Department of State I'm sorry, pursuant to   |   |
| 5  | the New York State Department of State       |   |
| 6  | Coastal Management Program, a Coastal        |   |
| 7  | Assessment Form dated May 31, 2022, has been |   |
| 8  | submitted by the applicant; and              |   |
| 9  | Whereas, the subject application and CAF     |   |
| 10 | describes the proposed action to take place  |   |
| 11 | upon the subject premises and sufficient to  |   |
| 12 | permit review of an application for action   |   |
| 13 | consistent with the provisions, policy and   |   |
| 14 | purpose of Chapter 209 of the Town Code,     |   |
| 15 | known as the Town of Stony Point Waterfront  |   |
| 16 | Consistency Law; and                         |   |
| 17 | Whereas, a memorandum to the Planning        |   |
| 18 | Board dated December 7, 2022, from Max Stach |   |
| 19 | of Nelson, Pope and Voorhis, the planning    |   |
| 20 | consultant to the Town, reviewed the         |   |
| 21 | application and the CAF and its consistency  |   |
| 22 | discussion and concurs that the proposed     |   |
| 23 | action is consistent with Policies 27,       |   |
| 24 | concerning siting of energy facilities,      |   |

Policy 30, discharge to coastal water,

## 1 Proceedings 2 Policy 38, surface water quality, and 3 Policy 40, discharge from electric generating 4 facilities; however, contrary to the CAF, the 5 site is in fact within a federally designated 6 Flood Hazard Area, which requires 7 consideration of Policies 11, 12, and 17; and upon further analysis, the proposed action is 8 9 consistent with these policies, because the 10 applicant's design of the site specifies that 11 all buildings and water-sensitive equipment 12 will be situated at least two feet above the

13 advisory base flood elevation, thereby making 14 the project consistent with Policy 11 and 15 Policy 17, and since the applicant is not 16 proposing any construction that would impact 17 natural coastal protective features, the 18 project is consistent with Policy 12, and 19 accordingly, it is recommended that the 20 Planning Board, acting in its capacity as the 21 Town's Waterfront Advisory Committee, make a 2.2 finding that the project is consistent with 23 the recommendations of the Stony Point LWRP, 24 with respect to the applicant's Lovett GIS 25 Substation Project; and

## Proceedings

Whereas, the Planning Board, acting in its capacity as the Town's Waterfront Advisory Committee, hereby determines that the proposed action is located in the Town's coastal area and that the proposed action is consistent with the Town's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program policy standards and conditions, as set forth in Town Code Section 209-5, Subparagraph G, and finds that the basis for this recommendation of consistency is adequately set forth and supported by the aforesaid memorandum of NPV, dated December 7, 2022; and

Whereas, this matter was an agenda item before this Board on December 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m. and all submissions relative hereto were duly considered by the Planning Board.

Now, therefore, be it resolved that the subject application for site plan approval relative to the subject premises is approved, and the Chairman is hereby authorized to sign same and to permit same to be filed in the office of the Town Clerk, upon payment of any and all outstanding fees to the Town and its

| 1  | Proceedings                                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | consultants, subject and conditioned upon the |
| 3  | following:                                    |
| 4  | Number One, all of the whereas                |
| 5  | paragraphs are incorporated herein by         |
| 6  | reference.                                    |
| 7  | Number Two, the applicant shall comply        |
| 8  | with the provisions of the letter dated       |
| 9  | May 23, 2022, of the Rockland County          |
| 10 | Department of Health, Center for              |
| 11 | Environmental Health.                         |
| 12 | Number Three, the applicant shall comply      |
| 13 | with all provisions and conditions set forth  |
| 14 | in the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of |
| 15 | Stony Point's decision dated October 20,      |
| 16 | 2022.   |
| 17 | Number Four, the applicant shall comply       |
| 18 | with the provisions of the approval issued by |
| 19 | the Architectural Review Board of the Town of |
| 20 | Stony Point on October 12, 2022.              |
| 21 | The applicant shall also comply with all      |
| 22 | provisions of the special permit              |
| 23 | determination of the Board dated I'll fill    |
| 24 | in that date                                  |

the top of my head. I realized there was a

|    |   | 15 |
|----|---|----|
| 1  | Proceedings                                 |    |
| 2  | gap in there. So.                           |    |
| 3  | THE CLERK: I think that was November.       |    |
| 4  | MR. HONAN: November 22.                     |    |
| 5  | THE CLERK: 22nd.                            |    |
| 6  | MR. HONAN: Of 2022.                         |    |
| 7  | Condition Number Five, acting in its        |    |
| 8  | capacity as the Town's Waterfront Advisory  |    |
| 9  | Committee, this Board determined that the   |    |
| 10 | proposed action was consistent with the     |    |
| 11 | Town's Local Waterfront Revitalization      |    |
| 12 | Program policy standards and conditions and |    |
| 13 | this determination was a prerequisite and   |    |
| 14 | served as a basis for approval of the       |    |
| 15 | applicant's site plan application.          |    |
| 16 | The question of the adoption of the         |    |
| 17 | foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote |    |
| 18 | on roll call on December 8, 2022, which was |    |
| 19 | as follows.                                 |    |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Make a motion to          |    |
| 21 | accept this resolution.                     |    |
| 22 | BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: I'll make a            |    |
| 23 | motion, Mr. Chairman.                       |    |
| 24 | BOARD MEMBER FERGUSON: I'll second it.      |    |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I got a motion and a      |    |

```
16
 1
                 Proceedings
 2
     second.
              All in favor?
 3
          (Response of aye was given.)
 4
          CHAIRMAN JOHNSON:
                             Any opposed? Motion
 5
     carries.
 6
                             I'll do the roll
          THE CLERK: Okay.
 7
     call.
 8
          CHAIRMAN JOHNSON:
                              Thank you.
 9
          THE CLERK: Mrs. Alessi?
10
          BOARD MEMBER ALESSI: Yes.
11
          THE CLERK: Mr. Jaslow?
12
          BOARD MEMBER JASLOW:
13
          THE CLERK: Mr. Purcell?
14
          BOARD MEMBER PURCELL: Yes.
15
          THE CLERK: Mr. Biehle?
16
          BOARD MEMBER BIEHLE: Yes.
17
          THE CLERK: Mr. Ferguson?
18
          BOARD MEMBER FERGUSON:
                                  Yes.
19
          THE CLERK:
                      Mr. Rogers?
20
          BOARD MEMBER ROGERS:
21
          THE CLERK: Chairman Johnson?
22
          CHAIRMAN JOHNSON:
                              Yes.
23
          THE CLERK: Okay.
24
          (Time noted: 7:27 p.m.)
25
                     000
```

www.courtreportingny.com Proceedings THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true and correct transcription of the original stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.