

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND

TOWN OF STONY POINT : PLANNING BOARD

- - - - - X

IN THE MATTER

OF

53 NORTH LIBERTY DRIVE

- - - - - X

Town of Stony Point
RHO Building
5 Clubhouse Lane
Stony Point, New York
December 9, 2021
7:29 p.m.

BEFORE:

THOMAS GUBITOSA, CHAIRMAN
JAKE CATALDO, BOARD MEMBER
MICHAEL FERGUSON, BOARD MEMBER
ERIC JASLOW, BOARD MEMBER
MARK JOHNSON, BOARD MEMBER
JERRY ROGERS, BOARD MEMBER

ROCKLAND & ORANGE REPORTING
2 Congers Road, Suite 2
New City, New York 10956
(845) 634-4200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Proceedings

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Next on the agenda is 53 North Liberty Drive. The site plan review.

MR. BADALY: Good evening. My name is Shahin Badaly from Badaly Engineering at 2 Wilson Place, Mount Vernon, New York, representing the applicant Wael Rozeik for 53 North Liberty Drive. So should I run through the proposal, or should I touch just on the comments of the latest submission? I'm not sure which is most appropriate for the Board.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Hold on one second.

MR. BADALY: Please.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Yeah, I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah. Just give us I guess a quick review.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: A brief summary, yeah.

MR. BADALY: Absolutely. Let me pull that up quickly.

So we have afforded the application for the conversion of an existing commercial building which houses a tire shop on a 15,900

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Proceedings

square foot lot. And the proposal is to convert the existing building to a single bay versus a two bay tire shop, plus a 956 square foot convenience retail store. In addition to that, certain site improvements are proposed, including a parking lot, storm water, lighting, and planting. The application was, I believe, still being determined whether or not it would be subject to a variance in terms of both the buffer and possibly -- there was one other item. I will confirm that.

Since we last came to the Board, which was I believe last year, we had previously proposed three uses, which was we had previously proposed a gas station. We understood that that was too intense for the site. And therefore, we are back before the Board with this new proposal, which is to essentially convert a two bay tire shop to a one bay tire shop, plus a 956 square foot convenience store.

Changes that we have made to the site plan since we last reviewed it at the TAC

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Proceedings

include addition of manholes at the intersections of the storm water system; a detail for a trench drain, showing that is below the frost heave location; we've provided labels and notes to clearly show where the right of way is, as well as the striping on the streets. We do understand that the application will be subject to a County permit to make the improvements on the right of way, and we are in the process of putting together that application to submit to the County, provided that our application here will move forward.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: You have to do the State, don't you?

MR. BADALY: I'm sorry. I said County, I meant State. The road is a State road. I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: That's okay.

MR. BADALY: And I believe we will be referred for County review through the Board. I'll just go through a couple more extra little items.

We provided cutoff shields on the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Proceedings

exterior lighting. I'll also mention for the record that we are adjacent to a residential district on the back and on the right of the site. We added ADA access route hatching for clarity on the plans. The building entrances were located on the site plan. We added a paved area in front of the store. I'm sorry, we removed the paved area in front of the store so that cars cannot access that area.

And some revisions were made to the landscaping plan. I'm not sure if they're adequate yet. We're more than willing to work with the Town to get it there. But we did make some revisions in terms of removing the rhododendrons from the front, hopefully providing something with a better spread, and changing the pagoda dogwoods in the back. So, but again, if further revisions are needed, or if there's any other commentary, by all means.

And just lastly, that we updated the site plan just to clearly indicate all of the owners' names that are adjacent to us. And with that, if you have any other comments,

1 Proceedings

2 please.

3 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Max, I know you
4 guys looked this over.

5 MR. STACH: Yeah. So I actually
6 provided an updated memo yesterday to the
7 Board. But it's -- we don't have to talk
8 about it tonight.

9 MR. BADALY: Okay.

10 MR. STACH: It's not important. I --
11 this application has improved significantly
12 since the first submission.

13 MR. BADALY: Thank you.

14 MR. STACH: You know, I think there's a
15 lot of detail. Not a lot of details. There
16 are a few details in my memo that we need to
17 discuss.

18 I think the two main issues that I would
19 bring up here tonight would be the issue that
20 Mr. Rozeik brought up regarding the
21 designated street line. I think that's an
22 important thing you're going to want to get
23 from the State before anything else, because
24 that I think could impact your plan much more
25 significantly. You know, the details I agree

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Proceedings

are something that you can, can work through with the State.

The other item that I think is a more significant item is I had asked if the applicant could consider widening the buffer between this property and the property to the north, which looks like a residence, although it has a large paved area out in front of it. And bring that buffer all the way to the sidewalk.

So right now, there's a three-foot strip. The three-foot strip is as wide as it can be to maintain a 24-foot distance between the building and the strip at the west end of the building. So, plus it's like a five-foot pedestrian striped area, and a 24-foot travel way, and then three feet on the buffer. But because of the shape of the lot, you could actually start widening it from that point east.

MR. BADALY: Right. Because we have 27-5 here. So we can definitely get some more greenery in there.

MR. STACH: Right. And you actually

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Proceedings

widen out to 35 at the property line.

MR. BADALY: Oh, fantastic.

MR. STACH: So you could actually, you know, get presumably a lot --

MR. BADALY: Yeah. We can get a lot more greenery in there. Sure.

MR. STACH: So, I don't know. I mean, John may have some consideration.

MR. O'ROURKE: Yeah, I was going to say I would -- because of the tire store access in the back and potential larger vehicles accessing there along the dumpster, I think you might go a little bit wider, but I don't think you're going to get all of it.

MR. BADALY: Okay.

MR. O'ROURKE: Because I think trucks are going to be running it over. I think it's a great idea. I think probably the neighbor next door should be doing half of it. But I think that will be an issue, especially as you get closer to the road because they're going to be taking those wide swings in through there. So that's my concern.

1 Proceedings

2 MR. STACH: Well, that's something else
3 that the State may, because I think the State
4 requires you to have sort of like a --

5 MR. O'ROURKE: That's what I'm saying.
6 If you pull your landscaping into mine, your
7 landscaping might hate it. I think there may
8 be an issue with swinging. So I think you
9 just have to take a look at it. You did an
10 auto turn for the fire vehicles and the
11 access. So I think you might have -- you
12 don't have to show it.

13 MR. BADALY: Okay.

14 MR. O'ROURKE: But I think you'll have
15 to, if you adjust your width of your
16 landscaping, just rerun the auto turn and
17 make sure that it's okay.

18 MR. BADALY: Okay. So we'll line it as
19 much as feasible in order to maintain the 24
20 feet and the maneuverability. Right?

21 MR. O'ROURKE: Yeah.

22 MR. STACH: Yeah.

23 MR. O'ROURKE: And actually, in the
24 24 feet, again, engineering-wise, by the
25 building, even 24 is pretty tight. You have

1 Proceedings

2 the building, you got a walkway, and then you
3 have 24 feet. And again, if you have a
4 dumpster passing with a larger truck, big
5 Ford truck getting tires, it's going to be
6 pretty tight in there.

7 MR. STACH: Well, they actually had 29
8 with the pedestrian striped area, which, you
9 shouldn't really need any more because you're
10 going to relocate the entrances to the back
11 of the building, right?

12 MR. BADALY: I think -- well, we had
13 both. Should we just eliminate the front
14 entrances, is that preferred?

15 MR. STACH: I don't think you need to
16 eliminate, but I don't think you're going to
17 need that pedestrian path if you have a rear
18 entrance, right?

19 MR. BADALY: It's a good point. It's a
20 good point, yeah.

21 MR. STACH: So you're going to have
22 29 feet.

23 MR. O'ROURKE: I'm going to jump in here
24 again and say I would rather, I would rather
25 have what you have because we all know

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Proceedings

people. There are people that are going to park in the street, or people that are going to walk there and they're going to walk down that 24-foot wide. I'll give you the landscaping, but keep me the pedestrian travel way, so. I think you want somebody to be able to walk back there.

MR. STACH: You got it.

MR. BADALY: And if it's striped, then it allows for both.

MR. STACH: Yes.

MR. O'ROURKE: Yeah. Yeah, somebody can still drive over it. But at least it has a person who think they know where they're supposed to go.

MR. BADALY: Were there any, any further comments on those, please?

BOARD MEMBER JASLOW: Yeah, I had one question. Is the front of the store facing 9W, or is the front of the store facing the back of the lot?

MR. BADALY: Architecturally speaking, it's facing 9W. But the primary entrance is effectively going to be on the back of the

1 Proceedings

2 lot.

3 BOARD MEMBER JASLOW: In the drawing on
4 Page 13, where it says sign, is that facing
5 9W or is that --

6 MR. BADALY: Correct.

7 BOARD MEMBER JASLOW: That's it. Good.

8 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: That's facing 9W.
9 So you're reversing --

10 MR. BADALY: I think work may need to be
11 done to, to make this a little bit better if
12 we're going to make this a secondary entrance
13 on the back, then. Which is, so this would
14 have been the back and that would have been
15 the -- so, 9W.

16 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: You're actually
17 flipping the bay on the left to the right,
18 correct?

19 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: The existing bay,
20 if you're looking at the front of the
21 building --

22 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: So, yeah. So the
23 entrance to the tire shop is going to be on
24 the right-hand side?

25 MR. BADALY: That is correct, yes.

1 Proceedings

2 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Okay, all right.
3 Okay.

4 MR. BADALY: On the right-hand side of
5 the building when I'm standing on 9W.

6 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Yeah, right.

7 MR. BADALY: So the back of the
8 building, it will be on the left-hand side
9 when I'm facing the building.

10 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Okay. Yeah.

11 MR. BADALY: So I was just saying, if we
12 make this more of a main entrance, maybe
13 that's more appealing, architecturally more
14 pleasing.

15 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Is there, is
16 there currently a lift in there?

17 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Oh, yeah.

18 MR. BADALY: I think so.

19 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: That's why I was
20 asking.

21 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: So they're going
22 to have to move all that stuff?

23 MR. BADALY: Yeah. They're basically
24 rebuilding the whole thing. The inside is
25 going to be gutted.

1 Proceedings

2 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: I think that's an
3 awful lot of --

4 MR. BADALY: It's a significant
5 investment in the building he has to make,
6 yeah. But supposedly the tire shop is doing
7 well and it would be worth it, so.

8 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Seems like
9 an awful lot of effort.

10 MR. BADALY: Agreed.

11 MR. STACH: So for full disclosure, this
12 applicant originally came with a plan that
13 had the tire shop in the back and the retail
14 in the front.

15 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right.

16 MR. STACH: And sort of --

17 BOARD MEMBER JASLOW: And the gas
18 station in the front.

19 MR. STACH: And the gas station in the
20 front. And I think it was one of our
21 suggestions because there was all pedestrian
22 traffic going, there's parking in the front,
23 parking in the back, there was vehicles
24 coming in the side, vehicles coming in the
25 back. I think, you know, it was our

1 Proceedings

2 suggestion, why don't you put the tire shop
3 on the right side? And that way you could
4 have --

5 MR. BADALY: It definitely was. And it
6 was a great suggestion. Thank you. It
7 worked out much better for the site.

8 MR. STACH: But that may have preserved
9 your lifts, I guess.

10 MR. BADALY: I don't think it's -- in
11 the, compared to the scope of work, it's not
12 a huge cost to move the lift, so. And then
13 I'm not sure if there were any additional
14 comments on the list that you came up with
15 today, or from other Board Members, please.

16 BOARD MEMBER FERGUSON: Most people
17 don't know there's a lot of activity there as
18 it is now, next door with the deli. And both
19 customers of both businesses use both
20 properties now. When you start putting
21 buffers in, what they would be using as a
22 turnaround, now you can't do that.

23 You also have an entrance off 9W, or an
24 exit on 9W that has a large elevation change.
25 Like he was saying, you deliver truck tires

1 Proceedings

2 and garbage trucks, they're not making the
3 same turns the cars do on a angle like that.

4 MR. BADALY: Well, I can't really
5 comment on how it's going to affect the
6 neighbor. I haven't done any studies in
7 terms of if we're blocking off their access.
8 If it's necessary, I can definitely look into
9 it. But I haven't. So I'm not sure how
10 we're affecting their ingress and egress.

11 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah. He's going to
12 affect the deli, too. You're right.

13 BOARD MEMBER FERGUSON: We talked about
14 that before he even applied for it.

15 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah, you're right.

16 BOARD MEMBER FERGUSON: He chose not to.
17 Those were your options.

18 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: This is a Type II
19 action?

20 MR. STACH: Yes.

21 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I'll make a motion,
22 if you want to make it a Type II.

23 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: All right. I'll
24 second.

25 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay. All in favor?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Proceedings

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: All in favor?

(Response of aye was given.)

THE CLERK: Are you going on a site visit?

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Yeah. Site visit?

BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Want to say January 8th?

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Want to do January 8th? Okay. 9:30.

MR. BADALY: So it's a public site. So obviously access is definitely not a problem. But if you would like me to coordinate anything additional to the access, please let me know. Otherwise, I'll just let the owner know that you're coming on the 8th.

THE CLERK: You're going to have to send somebody there for them.

MR. BADALY: Got you. So somebody -- well, it's a store. So it is open during business hours. But if I have a time or a range.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Is he going to --

MR. BADALY: The owner could be there

1 Proceedings

2 himself if --

3 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Somebody, you
4 know, if we've got a couple of questions,
5 somebody to --

6 MR. BADALY: Or I can be there as well,
7 if necessary.

8 MR. O'ROURKE: If I may. It would
9 probably be helpful if you were there.

10 MR. BADALY: Absolutely.

11 MR. O'ROURKE: You know what I mean, as
12 well as -- because it's all paved, I'd
13 suggest maybe a can of white paint, kind of
14 dot where you think the entrance is going to
15 be.

16 MR. BADALY: Oh, okay, sure.

17 MR. O'ROURKE: And a couple dots where
18 you think the landscaping is going to be off
19 to the front.

20 MR. BADALY: Yeah, the front is very
21 confusing the way it is now, with the
22 property line.

23 MR. O'ROURKE: It is, because it's all
24 paved and it's a mess. So I think -- and
25 it's hard to stake because it's actually

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Proceedings

paved. So do some white dots, with some
painters in yellow.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right.

MR. O'ROURKE: And again, I think the
applicant's consultant should be there to
walk you through --

MR. BADALY: Thank you.

MR. O'ROURKE: -- what's happening.

MR. BADALY: Is there an approximate
time, or should I reach out at a later time
to figure that out?

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: 9:30,
January 8th.

MR. BADALY: Oh, great. Thank you,
thank you.

(Time noted: 7:44 p.m.)

oOo

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Proceedings

THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true
and correct transcription of the original
stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.



Jennifer L. Johnson

