### www.courtreportingny.com

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND

TOWN OF STONY POINT : ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

----X

REQUEST OF JACK LIEBERMAN

111 SOUTH LIBERTY DRIVE APPLICATION #23-02

- - - - - - - - X

Town of Stony Point

RHO Building
5 Clubhouse Lane

Stony Point, New York

July 6, 2023 7:25 p.m.

#### BEFORE:

THOMAS WRIGHT, CHAIRMAN
JOSEPH ANGINOLI, BOARD MEMBER
LOU ANN DAVIS, BOARD MEMBER
EDWARD KEEGAN, BOARD MEMBER
JOHN LYNCH, BOARD MEMBER
TODD STRIETER, BOARD MEMBER

ROCKLAND & ORANGE REPORTING

2 Congers Road, Suite 2

New City, New York 10956

(845) 634-4200

2 1 Proceedings 2 3 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All right. The next 4 item on the agenda I think is why everybody 5 is here. The next item on the agenda is 6 request of Jack Lieberman, 111 South Liberty 7 Drive, Application Number 23-02, for an area 8 variance. 9 The area variance Number One is the 10 north parking. It's Chapter 215, Article V, 11 Section 215-15A, Attachment 16, Table of Bulk 12 Requirements Part II, Use Group H, Column 7 13 requires minimum side yard of 20 feet. 14 Proposed side yard of five feet, 5.4 feet. A 15 variance of 14.6 is needed. 16 West side driveway, Chapter 215, 17 Article V, Section 215-15A, Attachment 16, 18 Table of Bulk Requirements Part II, Use 19 Group H, Column 7 requires minimum side 20 yard -- can you please --21 MR. MacCARTNEY: Can you keep it down 22 there, please? 23 PUBLIC SPEAKER: We can't hear. 24 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Use Group H, Column 7

requires minimum side yard of 20 feet.

3 1 Proceedings 2 Proposed side yard is six. Variance 3 necessary is 14 feet. 4 North retaining wall height, 5 Chapter 215, Article VI, Section 215-24C 6 requires fence, wall setback equal to two 7 thirds of the fence height where fence height exceeds six feet. The proposed wall is 8 9 eight feet, requires 5.4 feet setback, 10 three-foot setback provided. Variance 11 necessary is 2.4 feet. 12 Is the applicant or representative 13 present? 14 MR. DeGENNARO: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Nicole, has there been 16 any information on applications or affidavits 17 of mailing? 18 THE CLERK: Say that again. 19 MR. MacCARTNEY: Did you receive 20 affidavits of postings and mailing? 21 THE CLERK: Yes. Yes. 2.2 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: And if you could 23 identify yourself and who you're with. 24 MR. DeGENNARO: Ken DeGennaro, Brooker 25 Engineering.

4 1 Proceedings 2 PUBLIC SPEAKER: That's for the fan, not 3 you. 4 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I'll take a motion to 5 open the public hearing. 6 BOARD MEMBER ANGINOLI: So moved. 7 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Second? 8 BOARD MEMBER LYNCH: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All in favor? 10 (Response of aye was given.) 11 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay. 12 MR. DeGENNARO: Hi, my name is Ken 13 DeGennaro. I am the civil engineer for the 14 project. I work for Brooker Engineering, and 15 my office is at 74 Lafayette Avenue, Suffern, 16 New York. 17 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Can you repeat your 18 name? I can't hear you. 19 MR. DeGENNARO: Ken DeGennaro. 20 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Mr. DeGennaro, if you 21 could just kind of go through the three 2.2 variances that you're seeking and just kind 23 of give us a little bit of background on it. 24 MR. DeGENNARO: Sure. So the project 25 requires three variances as were contained in

### Proceedings

2.2

the public notice. The variances are area variances pertaining to the parking and grading configurations of the property. They do not pertain to the actual building themselves or the density that is being proposed for the floor area.

So the first variance is on the north, north side of the property. We need a variance for parking spaces that are proposed in that location. They are located within the side yard similar to the adjacent property to the north, the medical office building complex. The variance requested is, the side yard has a dimension of 20 feet, and the parking spaces would be 5.4 feet offset from the property line. So therefore, we need a variance of 14.6 feet.

We also at that location require a, or are requesting a variance for the retaining wall that is proposed. The height of the retaining wall is based on the distance from the property line. So we need a variance of 2.4 feet based on that retaining wall height. The third variance is along the west

1	Proceedings
2	side driveway of the property. That's the
3	rear. The driveway encroaches into the side
4	yard. This is measured after the buffer
5	along that property line, which is to remain.
6	And the side yard has a dimension of 20 feet.
7	And the provided side yard at the maximum
8	encroachment of the driveway is six feet. So
9	we are requesting a variance of 14 feet for
10	the driveway. And that is located along the
11	northwest corner of the site.
12	So those are the three variances that
13	are being requested. And that is what the
14	focus of the ZBA review is based on.
15	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any questions from the
16	Board?
17	MR. MacCARTNEY: Can I just start, as a
18	lawyer? Because I've been looking at the
19	plans. I can't tell where that third
20	variance is. I don't see a number that says,
21	that's matching up with the variance that
22	you're asking for. Can you just clarify for
23	the Board where that is and what it is?
24	MR. DeGENNARO: I'm sorry. You want me
25	to go through all three or the one

7 1 Proceedings 2 MR. MacCARTNEY: The one in particular, 3 the west side driveway, the last of the three 4 that you just mentioned. 5 MR. DeGENNARO: Right. So on the plan, 6 it currently shows a dimension of 7.2 feet, 7 which is greater than the six feet that was 8 requested for the original application. And 9 that's a function of some site plan revisions 10 that were made when there was another 11 question regarding parking and residential. 12 So we left the original application as is. 13 We're requesting a slightly higher variance. 14 But the actual number on the plan is 15 7.2 feet. 16 MR. MacCARTNEY: So that's the spot, the 17 7.2? 18 MR. DeGENNARO: Yes. 19 MR. MacCARTNEY: And you built in about 20 a foot, a 1.2, you know, leeway. 21 MR. DeGENNARO: Correct. 22 MR. MacCARTNEY: Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: How long is that 24 driveway that you're requesting a variance

25

for?

### 1 Proceedings 2 MR. DeGENNARO: So that's the maximum 3 But the encroachment into the dimension. 4 side yard, starting from zero, extending out 5 to 7.2, it's a radius and going back to zero, 6 it's a length, full length of 160 feet. 7 it's not 160 feet at 7.2 with the 8 encroachment. 9 MR. MacCARTNEY: And the second 10 variance, the wall variance, is that the wall 11 that we see on the plan that's on that north 12 side, that runs the length of those parking 13 spots? 14 MR. DeGENNARO: Correct. 15 MR. MacCARTNEY: Near where the 5.4 is? 16 MR. DeGENNARO: Yes. 17 MR. MacCARTNEY: And that's a -- the 18 wall that you're proposing is eight feet 19 And the code requires the setback to tall. 20 be two thirds of the height. 21 MR. DeGENNARO: Yes. 22 MR. MacCARTNEY: And so you're providing 23 three feet, but it needs to be 5.4 feet. 24 that the -- do I have that right or did I get

25

it backwards?

MR. DeGENNARO: The maximum height based on that setback would be three feet. And we are proposing -- it's, I think we're proposing eight-foot maximum. So we would be allowed --

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: And is that all commercial property, as you understand it, to the north there?

MR. DeGENNARO: Yes. With its own retaining wall. So again, the height of the retaining wall varies. The maximum height as it kind of goes into grade, the maximum height of the wall is 8.2 feet. And that's shown on the profile within the drawings. So -- I'm sorry, let me just go through the exact notes. So the eight-foot high wall would require a 5.4-foot setback. We were providing a three-foot setback. So the variance requires 2.4 feet.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: And what is the purpose of the higher wall to --

MR. DeGENNARO: It's just to match existing grade from the adjacent property. So we have our building elevations set. And

2.2

through the course of the Planning Board review and TAC review, we actually lowered the first floor elevation to reduce the scale of the building. So this retaining wall variance originally was being requested for a higher degree of variance. But the retaining wall was lowered in height as a result of lowering the building garage elevation.

And we have a garage. We have an access road on the north side that leads from 9W into the garage. We could only come up at certain grade, maximum grade, maximum slope.

And it's, we have -- let's see.

We have about 50 feet from the building face to the property line. So we have the access road in between the building base and parking permitted on that access road. And that is dictated by maximum slopes you could have, you know, for a parking area to be practical and including having the drop of the slope. So what's, what you can't get back down to grade, which we build the retaining wall. So that's why we have a retaining wall at that location, to max grade

### 1 Proceedings 2 at the property line. And again, it's 3 similar to the retaining wall that's on the 4 adjacent property. 5 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: And this height was as 6 a result of a discussion with the Planning 7 Board? 8 MR. DeGENNARO: Correct. It was reduced 9 as per discussion with the Planning Board. 10 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: And that was their 11 recommendation. 12 MR. DeGENNARO: Their recommendation was 13 to try to minimize the overall impact of the 14 height of the building. So we lowered 15 from -- from lowest floor to ceiling remained 16 the same. That dimension remained the same. 17 But instead of siting it higher in elevation, 18 because the ground slopes from back to front, 19 it slopes downhill, so we originally had it 20 set higher in elevation within the slope and 21 the topography of the property. Having the 2.2 building set higher in elevation caused it to 23 be, you know, slightly more prominent. 24 In the Planning Board's review, the

recommendation was made to investigate means

to decrease the effect of the height of the building, of which that was lowering the first floor elevation on the site. So we reduced that. And as a result, kind of a tangential result, the retaining wall height was reduced as well because everything, all of the proposed conditions grade just became lower. The retaining wall height was.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Now, did the three variances requested, are they all as a result of discussions you had with the Planning Board?

MR. DeGENNARO: Yes. It was. We could eliminate this retaining wall if we eliminated parking in that area. That would have required a waiver of the Planning Board and not a variance for, you know, allowing a reduction in parking spaces. They thought it was more important to have the full parking space requirement for the zoning code, of which we proposed at this location along the north property line, which resulted in a grade of six feet. So if we didn't have that extra 20 feet of parking space, we certainly

1	Proceedings
2	could either eliminate the wall or reduce it
3	in height, to which a variance would not be
4	required.
5	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: And John, were you
6	part of those discussions, too?
7	MR. HAGER: Not really. I was present
8	for them, but I wasn't part of the
9	discussion.
10	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Board, are there any
11	questions?
12	BOARD MEMBER ANGINOLI: In developing
13	this plan.
14	MR. DeGENNARO: Yes.
15	BOARD MEMBER ANGINOLI: Is there any way
16	that you can develop them to eliminate the
17	need of variances?
18	MR. DeGENNARO: In our opinion, we
19	cannot. There were several other variances
20	that were on the original site plan. And
21	just, I guess for the record also, this plan
22	has undergone multiple iterations starting in
23	2019. And this was what we feel is kind of
24	barebones variances that are need to advance
25	the project.

The parking and the retaining wall request, we -- in discussions we had with our interpretation of the situation as well, since they do abut commercial property that's developed in a similar fashion, we certainly did not think those variances were extreme or would cause any detriment, you know. The driveway in the rear, yes, it does encroach into the rear yard.

But again, this scenario already has a 30-foot buffer zone before the start of the measurement of the required yard. So there's a fair amount of non-disturbed area that will remain in that area where the driveway does encroach into the yard. And, you know, there are significant opportunities for landscaping and planting, and honestly, less development than what currently exists with respect to the barn, the house, and the other features.

So again, that was a design feature that our design team, along with review from the Planning Board, thought was a variance that did not result in any significant impact.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So would they be

		13
1	Proceedings	
2	amenable to adding vegetation in that 30-foot	
3	buffer zone?	
4	MR. DeGENNARO: Yes. Yes. A	
5	landscaping plan has been provided to the	
6	Planning Board with, you know, with	
7	replanting of that area for screening.	
8	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Sorry, Joe. I didn't	
9	mean to interrupt.	
10	MR. MacCARTNEY: And I'm sorry. Did you	
11	say you submitted one, or you're going to	
12	submit one?	
13	MR. DeGENNARO: We've submitted it	
14	several times. Revised as to the layout was	
15	revised, and additional plantings in	
16	accordance with comments received from both	
17	the Planning Board and the Planning Board	
18	professionals.	
19	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Sorry to interrupt.	
20	BOARD MEMBER ANGINOLI: In terms, we	
21	conducted a site visit. And the property was	
22	not marked.	
23	MR. DeGENNARO: Okay.	
24	BOARD MEMBER ANGINOLI: So it made it	
25	virtually impossible for us to really see	

2.2

where it was and what the scope of the variances were. All that was marked were the holes for bedrock, really.

MR. DeGENNARO: Okay. Okay.

BOARD MEMBER ANGINOLI: You have to have it marked.

MR. DeGENNARO: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER LYNCH: Now, how many parking spots is on that north side right there? How many is that, how many parking spots would it affect on your number of apartments that you have for this building?

MR. DeGENNARO: There are 20 parking spaces in that area. There are also four vegetation islands between spaces.

BOARD MEMBER LYNCH: I mean, if you just, if you took that and made it compliant, because I know you moved parking spots around very easily. You did that from the last plan that was presented to us, showing that you were going to look for an area variance on the previous one. But as soon as you found out it was an area variance, you changed it, the plans, to present us with this one.

So if you, you know, do something with those parking spots and rearrange it around once again, see what you can do there.

Because we didn't have a good look at it to measure it out, to see the extra variance, what you're asking for. But if you can move spots around that easily, you may want to give it a go.

MR. DeGENNARO: We've already moved spots from the residential area. That was based on the interpretation of that parking being a use variance. One potential alternative would be to designate the parking as parking for compact cars. It would decrease the stall lengths slightly. We could look into that. You see that from time to time. It's certainly an alternative.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Anything else, John?
Any questions?

BOARD MEMBER STRIETER: Well, I want to follow up on Joe's question. Are you saying that it's not possible to build a structure that would eliminate these variances? I mean, yes or no? I mean, is it possible?

Rockland and Orange Reporting rowork@courtreportingnv.com - (845) 634-4200

```
1
                 Proceedings
         MR. DeGENNARO: It's possible. It's
2
3
    possible.
4
          BOARD MEMBER STRIETER: All right.
5
    mean, before when he asked, you said no.
6
          MR. DeGENNARO: Well, the question was
7
    yes or no, and is it possible to build a
8
     structure that doesn't need variances.
9
          BOARD MEMBER STRIETER: Right.
10
         MR. DeGENNARO:
                          So, yes. The answer is
11
    yes, it's possible.
12
          PUBLIC SPEAKER: Less units.
13
          MR. DeGENNARO: But it's a difficult
14
     site to develop. The topography is changing.
15
     There's a fair amount of roadwork involved.
16
     So there is economics involved. And it's not
17
     the purview of the Board, but in order to see
18
     this land get redeveloped, it's a combination
19
    of items that need to be balanced.
20
          And it also, just to reiterate on the
21
    history, the original project was two
2.2
     alternatives shown to TAC. Each had 100
23
    units. And one was the alternative similar
```

24

25

to this.

with two separate buildings.

The other one was an alternative

2.2

The initial feedback was to move forward with the single building. We did that. And we reduced the density to 88 units from 100.

Additional discussions were had.

Reviews were done. And we now did drop from 88 to 86 units. So we have been, through the course of the project's development and review, we have been reducing units. And right now, the applicant -- this is

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Excuse me. Can I just -- it's very hard to hear as it is. We just need --

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Get a better sound system.

(inaudible) to present to the ZBA.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Well, we don't have one. So if we can just keep it back -- we don't want to be here all night. It's already hot, it's uncomfortable. I appreciate the assistance from the crowd. But the best way to get through this stuff is just try to keep the minimum, the noise down to a minimum. We'll try to be as -- we'll project as well we can. Any other questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER KEEGAN: At the meeting with the Planning Board, when the planner was here, okay, and he advised us that the floor area ratio didn't apply in this particular case, okay, I asked him, well, if the floor area ratio doesn't apply, what limits the size of this building? I mean, if it doesn't apply, then we could build the Empire State Building. And he said no, that the parking and the side yards and the front yards and everything would determine the size of the building. Were you there at that meeting? MR. DeGENNARO: I certainly was. And I believe the Building Inspector made the determination or the request that your Board, the Zoning Board of Appeals, make the interpretation with respect to the floor area ratio, whether or not it applies. BOARD MEMBER KEEGAN: That's correct. But what I'm saying is in the absence of the floor area ratio, okay, we were told that the number of parking spaces, okay, and the requirements in the different yards around

the project would determine the size of the

```
21
1
                 Proceedings
2
    project. Am I right?
3
          MR. DeGENNARO: It certainly
4
     contributes, yes.
5
          BOARD MEMBER KEEGAN: Excuse me?
6
          MR. DeGENNARO: It's one of the
7
     contributing factors that, you know,
8
    ultimately present the plan.
9
          BOARD MEMBER KEEGAN: Okav. And then
10
     the second part of that was with the
11
    recreation, okay, we were putting 200 feet on
12
     the ground and 200 feet on the roof, but -- I
13
    mean, if my memory serves me correct.
    that right?
14
15
          MR. DeGENNARO: It was 200 square feet
16
    per unit, yes. So it's a combination.
17
          BOARD MEMBER KEEGAN: Okay. And we were
18
     told at that time that if we were to
19
    determine that that was okay, okay, there
20
    wasn't a need for any other variances, okay.
21
    That moving the recreation up there gave us
22
     ample room to build the building the way it
23
    was.
24
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: But I think,
25
    Mr. Keegan, where we are is that the --
```

2.2

BOARD MEMBER KEEGAN: I'm not making a statement. I'm asking a question.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I know, but let me just respond and then I'll let him finish up. They've gone through -- after we had the discussion about the floor area ratio, they went back to the Planning Board. This is what they came up with. And what this plan requires are three variances. So I'll let you take it from there.

MR. DeGENNARO: These variances have been on this plan in some form or another basically since the beginning. And it was, there was many design constraints for this property. And it was our opinion and, you know, with feedback from the Board and consultants, that the variances that were being requested, given the location and similarly developed areas adjacent to the property, were not significant.

BOARD MEMBER KEEGAN: Okay. Let me, let me just say this. Okay. Asking for these variances is admitting that you don't have the amount of property that you need to build

1 Proceedings 2 this particular building. Right. Okay. 3 MR. DeGENNARO: I'm sorry. I'm not an 4 attorney. 5 BOARD MEMBER KEEGAN: Okav. 6 MR. DeGENNARO: But we can't admit that. 7 Your Board exists for a reason. That's the 8 reason variances exist. 9 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Really, please. 10 could just -- I understand there's a lot of 11 emotion about this, but we want to try to get 12 through this as best we can. So just try to 13 hold your enthusiasm down as much as you can 14 and try to keep the speech down as little as 15 you can so we get through this. It's already 16 hot and muggy here, so it would be a lot 17 easier for everybody. Thank you. Go ahead. 18 BOARD MEMBER KEEGAN: Okay, just one 19 What you're asking this Board to do, 20 okay, is to grant these variances, okay, to 21 allow you to build this building. 2.2 MR. DeGENNARO: We are asking the Board 23 to grant these variances to allow us to 24 redevelop the site in this manner.

Fair enough.

BOARD MEMBER KEEGAN:

```
24
1
                 Proceedings
2
    Okay, thank you.
3
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any other questions
4
     from the Board? Did you get the memorandum
5
    from the Rockland County Planning Department
6
    dated July 5th?
7
          MR. DeGENNARO: I do not -- dated
8
    July 5th?
9
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: It's one we got it
10
     today ourselves, July 5th.
11
          MR. DeGENNARO: I did not.
12
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Nicole, do you have
13
    another copy of that from --
14
          THE CLERK: I think the one from today
15
    might have been for the last project we just
16
    got over. I have June 30th, from
17
     environmental.
18
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I thought I saw one
19
    that said July 5th on it.
20
          BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: Yeah, there is.
21
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: There's one for
22
    July 5th, yeah.
23
          THE CLERK: Oh, no. You're right,
24
    you're right. I apologize. Yes, I have an
25
     extra.
```

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So we'll probably do

the same thing that -- Mr. DeGennaro, we'll

give it to you. We'll review it. You'll

review it. You can respond back to the

points on that one. And we'll still have the

public hearing going on here. We'll have it

at our next meeting in two weeks. Are there

any other questions from the Board?

BOARD MEMBER LYNCH: When are we going

BOARD MEMBER LYNCH: When are we going to schedule another site visit?

12 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So, yeah, so.

BOARD MEMBER LYNCH: We want it marked out.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yeah. If you could mark that out as best you can for this map, because I was there yesterday. I couldn't make heads or tails of it.

MR. DeGENNARO: That's a fair point.

And I do recall that being requested last time. So in terms of marking out, we're just marking out what is germane to the variances. So we'll mark out the locations of the walls and the location of the encroachment of the driveway into the side yard.

```
26
1
                 Proceedings
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yeah. If you could
2
3
    make them very visible.
4
          MR. DeGENNARO: Okay.
5
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Because pulling into
6
     the driveway, there's not -- it's very, it's
7
    hard to see the fence there.
8
          MR. DeGENNARO: Okay.
9
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any other requests on
10
     the staking out? He's going to stake out
11
    where the three variances are going to be
12
    required.
13
          BOARD MEMBER LYNCH:
                               Okay.
14
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Fair to everybody?
15
          BOARD MEMBER DAVIS:
16
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So we'll do the
     site -- we have to do the site visit this
17
18
     Saturday if we're going to have it for the
19
    next --
20
          THE CLERK: It's either this Saturday or
21
    next Saturday.
2.2
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any preferences?
23
          BOARD MEMBER LYNCH: Next Saturday.
24
          MR. DeGENNARO: Next Saturday would be
25
    better.
```

		27
1	Proceedings	
2	BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: 15th.	
3	BOARD MEMBER ANGINOLI: 15th, you said.	
4	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: July 15th.	
5	BOARD MEMBER ANGINOLI: What's that?	
6	BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: July 15th.	
7	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: July 15th at 9:00.	
8	BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: And make sure that	
9	it's marked so we can see it, please.	
10	MR. DeGENNARO: I will take pictures of	
11	the flags and with the map, and I'll send	
12	that to you in advance.	
13	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any other questions	
14	from the Board? All right, so what I'm going	
15	to do is I'm going to open it up to questions	
16	from the town. Given the number of people	
17	here, I'm going to ask you to keep your	
18	questions to about three minutes, and	
19	specific to the three variances that are	
20	here, right.	
21	We can't if you just don't like the	
22	idea that they're building something here,	
23	there's nothing that's before this Board that	
24	says they can't do it. What they're here for	
25	are three different variances. That's for	

### 1 Proceedings 2 parking, and a wall, and a driveway. 3 limit them to them. Try to keep it to three 4 minutes. If I see you're drifting, I'll try 5 to guide you back on the path. But just to 6 keep things crisp and targeted, I'm going to 7 ask that of the questions. 8 Yeah, and if somebody has asked the 9 question, I mean, ask it again. It means 10 it's important. But we think all the 11 questions are important, anyway. So if 12 somebody has asked your question, and you 13 don't need to ask it again, that's great. Ιf you have a point, that's (inaudible). 14 15 The idea here is to elicit public input. 16 I'm not looking to discourage you. I'm just 17 looking to be efficient with our time and 18 temperature here, so. Given that, are there 19 any questions from the public? Yes, sir? 20 MR. POTANOVIC: Comments. 21 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Comments, sure. 22 Again, targeted, three minutes. 23 MR. POTANOVIC: Good evening, Members 24 the Zoning Board, members of the public. My

25

name is George Potanovic. I live at 597 Old

1 Proceedings 2 Gate Hill Road in Stony Point. I'm President 3 of the Stony Point Action Committee for the 4 Environment, SPACE. 5 One of the comments I want to make first 6 to the Board and to the Town Attorney is 7 whether or not the applicant has met the 8 minimum legal requirement to notify the 9 public of tonight's meeting. There was no 10 notice published in the Rockland County Times 11 that I saw this week. I called up the 12 editor, and he said he never received a legal 13 notice of the agenda for this meeting. 14 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Sorry, just --15 MR. POTANOVIC: Excuse me. I wanted 16 public access. 17 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: No, no, no. Listen. 18 All questions are directed to me and now the 19 attorney will listen. 20 MR. POTANOVIC: Okay. And the public 21 access to this meeting is important. The 22 people are here tonight not because they 23 received notices in the mail. Probably 24 because I sent something out, and maybe other

people sent it out. And that's why they know

## 1 Proceedings 2 about this meeting. Not because you notified 3 them. Not because you notified them. 4 In fact, you withheld the agenda last 5 week when I asked for a copy of it. I had to do a FOIL request. And the agenda was only 6 7 published on the website yesterday. Morning. 8 Okay, so that's ridiculous to have a public 9 hearing on such an important application and 10 not give the public sufficient advance 11 notice --12 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: You don't need to yell 13 at us. 14 MR. POTANOVIC: -- to know about the 15 meeting. We're happy that people showed up 16 tonight, and I appreciate you being here. 17 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: You don't need to yell 18 at us. 19 MR. POTANOVIC: Okay. Well, I can talk 20 loud if I want. And you can listen if you 21 want. Okay. 22 So it was no notice in the 23 Rockland County Times. I don't know if you 24 have a copy of the record. Is it legally

required for the applicant or for the Town to

## 1 Proceedings 2 put a legal notice in the newspaper prior to 3 the public hearing? That's my understanding, 4 and I've attended a lot of Planning and 5 Zoning Board meetings over the years. And 6 it's not publicly noticed. Is this even a 7 legal public hearing? 8 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Well, Mr. Potanovic, I 9 can cancel the whole meeting, have everybody 10 walk out of here and we got nothing done, so. 11 MR. POTANOVIC: Oh, so you're saying 12 that it's not a legal meeting and you're 13 going to hold the meeting, anyway. CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I didn't -- don't put 14 15 words in my mouth. 16 MR. POTANOVIC: Well, I'd like to know. 17 Please let me know. If you didn't notify the 18 people, there's a lot of people who are not 19 here tonight. 20 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Ask your question, 21 Mr. Potanovic. 22 MR. MacCARTNEY: Nicole, did we receive 23 an affidavit of publication? 24 THE CLERK: Yes. 25 MR. MacCARTNEY: And do we have proof

```
1
                 Proceedings
2
     that it was published?
3
          THE CLERK: Yes. And the publication is
4
     right here as well.
5
         MR. POTANOVIC: Okay. What date was it
6
    published, on two weeks ago?
7
          THE CLERK: June 8th.
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So you can apologize
8
9
     to --
10
         MR. POTANOVIC: Well, I'm sorry.
11
     thing is, I had most of the, most of the
12
     copies of the Rockland County Times.
13
    probably one I didn't have. Okay, so it was
    done way back on June 8th. But that does not
14
15
     also say that the problem was that the, is
16
     the agenda, which usually is published a week
17
     in advance. It was only published a day in
     advance. And that's not sufficient notice,
18
19
     in my view in any case. So you didn't go out
20
     of your way to let the public know about this
21
    meeting.
2.2
          This is a meeting, a request for a
23
     conditional use, okay. There's a certain
24
     criteria for conditional use. And it does
25
    relate to what we're talking about, okay. I
```

didn't want to say that. Is it shall be subject to location, size, and character that would be in harmony with the appropriate and orderly development of the district. That's one of the conditions for a conditional use.

And so you're being asked for variances here. One the Zoning Board Members raised a question, can you build this without the variances? Should the applicant be able to build this without the variances if it's a use that fits in with the conditional use of this property? Why are we even considering giving additional area variances, like from looking at nailing the two area variances, the parking and the west side drive.

You have a required side yard on the north parking, 20 feet, and the applicant only has 5.4 feet. So they're asking for a variance of 14.6 feet. And on the west side driveway, the requirement is 20 feet, okay. And the proposed side yard is only six. So you have 14-foot variance that's being required. So it's more than twice of what is required is not available. So there is a

shortage of space here.

2.2

The -- as you know, the -- and I did not get a copy of the most recent Planning Board agenda, Planning Board, Department of Planning review. I understand it came, one came out just very recently. The one I was able to get a copy of was from March.

But in the case of the March Department of Planning review, they suggest disapproving this project. They say that the proposed building is massive in scale, exceptionally well with the content of the surrounding neighborhoods, the ability of existing infrastructure to accommodate the mixed use. There's many concerns that they have. And the conditional use, by definition, is subject to a higher standard of review.

Okay, and I know the Planning Board is reviewing this for site plan. I realize that. But you're part of that because you're being asked to do a variance, which if you give these variances, that just adds to the idea that the Planning Board can give the higher density that's being requested by the

# 1 Proceedings 2 applicant, and we shouldn't be doing that. 3 What's our obligation to ask -- what's 4 our obligation to give them a bigger project? 5 We don't have any obligation for that. 6 it's more financially better for him, but 7 it's feeding us with potential traffic 8 problems. 9 The Rockland County Department of 10 Highways has asked for a traffic study. 11 don't think the traffic study has been done. 12 Why are we having this public hearing and not 13 even have any traffic study to look at from 14 the state? We don't have any information. 15 We don't have any information from which to 16 even understand what the impact is going to 17 be. 18 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Mr. Potanovic, so 19 others can get a chance, you want to come 20 back later on. 21 MR. POTANOVIC: Okay. There are other 22

MR. POTANOVIC: Okay. There are other comments that I would like to add. And I appreciate your having the public hearing.

But I think you have to announce public hearings with more public notices. And I'm

23

24

## 1 Proceedings 2 very discouraged with the fact that you did 3 not let the public know about this meeting in 4 advance. And, but I'm happy that everybody's 5 here. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Anybody else? 7 rise and I'll recognize you. All right. 8 just so you know, you can also -- after we're 9 done here, we will take written requests and 10 comments, and we'll incorporate them into the 11 record. 12 MR. CERRATI: I don't know if I have a 13 question for this. But it might be at the 14 end. 15 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Could you just -- I'm 16 sorry. Could you just give us your name? 17 MR. CERRATI: Arthur Cerrati, 8 Michael 18 Court, Stony Point. 19 MR. MacCARTNEY: You have to give your 20 name and address, please. 21 MR. CERRATI: Arthur Cerrati, 8 Michael 22 Court, Stony Point, New York. 23 MR. MacCARTNEY: Thank you. 24 MR. CERRATI: I stood in front of this

25

group about five years ago, about variances

### 1 Proceedings 2 for new construction. I love building. I'm, 3 I'm a contractor. I own my own business. 4 I'm a capitalist. I want to maximum every 5 dollar that I invest in a project, I do. 6 Maybe you bought the wrong piece of 7 property, my friend. You've got to figure 8 out what you could put on this property that 9 doesn't piss off this entire community. 10 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Mr. Cerrati. I'll let 11 you go on with this, but if you could focus 12 your questions on the three variances. 13 MR. CERRATI: I am focusing. I just 14 did. I'm saying he bought a piece of 15 property that he shouldn't have bought. Or 16 we ratchet down your project so it fits 17 within the confines of our community. 18 That is your job on this Board. Okay. 19 That's what you guys should be looking at. 20 But every variance that we grant for projects 21 that just don't belong, we are opening up 2.2 doors for more problems down the road. We 23 need to stay focused on what we're doing. 24 Mr. Keegan at the end, thank you. You

25

had a wonderful comment to make, and hundred

### 1 Proceedings 2 And I hope that you can convince 3 the rest of this Board that this project --4 maybe it does belong here. Maybe you should 5 be developing it. You got to bring it down. 6 It is -- those variances just means it's 7 too big. We need to lower it. And how it's 8 not going to be a security problem for the 9 That is not a place to be putting future. 10 that many homes and businesses. 11 So no questions, just comments. Thank 12 you. 13 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I'm just going to ask, 14 you don't have to comply, but if you can just 15 withhold your applause, we'll get through 16 this faster. I understand everybody's 17 emotions. I appreciate your positions. 18 we're going to be here longer. 19 Yes, sir. We have a speaker. Please. 20 MR. EVANGELISTA: Can you flip this over 21 for me? Good evening. I'm David 22 Evangelista, 54 Wilderness Drive, 23 Stony Point. Thank you for your time. 24 First of all, since someone said, yeah,

25

I want to thank the residents for coming out

# 1 Proceedings 2 on a night like this to something like this. 3 It's been encouraging over the last couple 4 years to see people, no matter what side 5 you're on, coming out and demanding the 6 transparency and the information and making 7 your voices heard. So please keep doing 8 that. 9 I'm also encouraged, like the previous 10 speaker, to some of the things I've heard the 11 Board ask and inquire about. So if my 12 comments -- I'll try to keep them on point. 13 But there's some of you who know who I am. 14 I'm a simple kind of guy. I've lived here, 15 I've lived here many years. 60 years I've 16 been in Stony Point. 61, to be exact. And I 17 don't like what I see. 18 And I'm looking here at this variance 19 for this west side and north side. If I'm 20 looking at this drawing right, that's the 21 Govan Drive side. Those are residential

asked to give up -- I'm going to have 25 five feet from my property, I'm going to have

properties, longtime Stony Point residential

property owners. All right. Who are being

22

23

parking. I'm going to have this retaining wall getting a variance, where if something happens to this wall, it could affect my property. I think you need to realize that these are real residents, and this affects people.

I'm also looking at, you know, I do a little bit of numbers. And I understand the economics of making a project work. But I looked at some of the information that's out there, and I did a count. And on a weekend day, when all the residents are there with two or more cars per unit, and some guests, and the retail is open, there is not enough parking even with the variances.

Where are they going? Are they going to park in the senior residential thing across the street on 9W? Are they going to park on Govan Drive?

So I'm going to leave you with this. I think people have said it, but I'm going to say it in a different way. This Board, this is -- there are other issues under the purview of the Planning Board and other

### Proceedings

agencies such as the County. This project has a lot of problems that we all can see.

What I'm asking you is you do not empower it to go forward by granting a variance for something that doesn't fit and doesn't make sense. Right.

Where is this traffic going? This is not downtown, all these little towns and villages in New Jersey that have mass transit and a village where people walk to shop and walk to eat. They have to drive somewhere.

You do not need to empower -- Patsy goes back a long way. Is Patsy still here? All right, Patsy goes back a long way. Maybe even longer than me, I'm not sure. But she said it right. When you buy a property, you know what you're buying. If it doesn't fit, don't make exceptions. That's the way this town was built, right.

So I think you need to consider that. I think you need to consider even simple things, like drive up and down 9W. Do we need more retail? No. There's plenty of retail.

1

25

2 Don't empower them to build something 3 that doesn't fit, doesn't conform, and 4 doesn't meet our criteria. And those 5 exceptions, those exceptions become the rule. 6 You bring an exception, whether this is built 7 or not, whether it includes the Planning 8 Board or not, you create an exception for 9 these variances, now it's there. 10 somebody can come right in afterwards and use 11 it again. 12 So I'm going to leave you with that 13 concept. Your goal is to protect the public 14 of Stony Point. Thank you for your time. 15 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Anybody else? Have 16 them come on up, the Yankee fan. 17 MR. HART: Hi. I'm Greg Hart, 18 5 Rochelle Court, Stony Point. I do have a 19 bunch of questions. I apologize if 20 anything's been addressed. I have a hearing 21 disability. I have otosclerosis. I've lost 2.2 35 decibels in each ear. I can't hear any of 23 you guys. I even brought speakers for that. 24 So, you're the Town Attorney? Can I

maybe get your information so I can request

# 1 Proceedings 2 an ADA accommodation? Just a speaker, 3 something, because I can't participate. 4 MR. MacCARTNEY: You can certainly 5 request it, yes. 6 MR. HART: Okay. So, thank you, I 7 appreciate that. 8 I do have questions about these 9 variances. So, do these variances -- because 10 I'm, I don't know anything, really, about 11 this. But does this set a precedent that 12 leaves, like, the Town liable in the future? 13 Like, if you grant these variances and then 14 someone else wants to do an equal, similar 15 project, that it's granted for them and then 16 it's not granted for the next people, that 17 the Town is liable for lawsuits or anything? 18 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: There are five 19 criteria, balancing criteria that we use to 20 make a decision based on all the information 21 we get, including information we're getting 2.2 here tonight from all the residents. I'd 23 love to see more people come to all of our 24 meetings. Normally, there's nobody here. We

actually had to move because we expected

overflow.

2.2

For the most part, there's five balancing criteria. We discuss -- everything we discuss, we discuss in public so you know what our positions are as we discuss them. So that's generally what we do. Five criteria, then come to a decision.

MR. HART: Okay, thank you. Now I also, with these variances, it seems like I've been, I've been starting to read all this stuff. I've got laws with me here. You know, the mixed use zoning that was passed. And it seems like our zoning laws are good.

The only problem that's here, that why they need these variances, is because there's too many units in this building. If this building -- because it says in the mixed use zoning, the residential mixed use in the business zoning district, because I mean, we all know the retail is a -- they don't care about that. It's to put in apartments.

They need to have two parking spaces for each unit. Because there's too many units, more than should be there, they're requesting

2.2

variances on other things. There's a simple solution here. Less units means no variances.

And that's what I'd like to see you guys uphold. We have good laws that are in front of us, and the solution is simple. And I'm hoping that you guys will view it like that and say hey, look, there is a solution here. Fewer units. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I want to make one other note, too. We have a stenographer here. So if you don't see us officially taking notes, we're more --

PUBLIC SPEAKER: We can't hear you.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: We have a stenographer here. So if you don't see us taking notes, it's because we know we're going to capture the dialogue from the stenographer. We'd rather listen to you in realtime than sit there and take a bunch of notes on our own.

Is there any, are there any other, any other residents who have questions? Let me have a hand up. I have two people spoken already. All right, you.

2.2

MR. GALANTI: Gary Galanti, 18 River
Road, Stony Point. I do have one specific
question, which we never seem to get the
number. As far as I understand, there's 88
units here. If you don't give him the
variance, how many can he build? What's the
number?

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Are you prepared to answer that, or you're not able to answer that tonight? Or do you want to just kind of give a comment.

MR. DeGENNARO: It's 86 units that are being proposed. And I cannot answer that question without coordinating with the architect.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So he said --

MR. DeGENNARO: We would have to coordinate with the architect that designed the building itself and the footprint of the building in order to answer that question.

It's not a simple of -- it's not a simple calculation.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So as it stands right now, he said there's 86 units right now. And

# 1 Proceedings 2 he really couldn't give you an answer off the 3 top of his head if they were going to reduce 4 that number. 5 MR. GALANTI: Well, if that's -- that's 6 the one question I would like an answer to. 7 And then a couple comments from living on 8 River Road, which runs parallel to 9W. 9 the last couple years, I've noticed a large 10 increase in traffic during rush hours. Okay. 11 There's cars coming from 9W, come down 12 Tomkins Avenue, and then they go up to where 13 Ba Mar is, and then take River Road all the 14 way around rather than use 9W. 15 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay. Does that 16 impact this at all, or? 17 MR. GALANTI: Well, it's going to, he's 18 going to impact the traffic coming down 9W 19 because there's going to be more people 20 trying to do that. And on top of that, you 21 have Eagle Bay or whatever the final call 22 was, and Ba Mar that still hasn't opened, and 23 you're talking a lot of cars heading down 24 River Road. And now I also notice, since I'm 25

```
1
                 Proceedings
2
     retired, school buses. They're using River
3
    Road to get back to the yard in Haverstraw.
4
    Go sit down there at 3:30 and 4:30 and see
5
    how many buses go by with no kids on them.
6
          Those are my comments. Thank you.
7
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you very much.
8
     I quess next?
                    Okay.
9
          MS. O'CONNOR: First, I also want to
10
     thank you guys because this job is not easy.
11
     It's a lot of time. It's a lot of research.
12
     It's a lot. So I want to --
13
          BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: Name and address.
          MS. O'CONNOR: My name is Deirdra
14
15
     O'Connor. I'm on Cross Creek Lane. And I
16
     just also want to make a point that this
17
     isn't about emotions or pissing people off.
18
     This is about, and relevant comments are
19
     about the health and safety of our community
20
     and our town and future generations as well.
21
    We've always been a close, inclusive
2.2
     community. And I also grew up in Haverstraw,
23
     and we are one community as well.
24
          So I heard some things I had a little
25
    bit of concern about. You know, we talked,
```

# Proceedings

there was talk about slopes, there was talk about retaining walls, and there was talk about an access road, okay, that these variances would impact.

So my question is, and it's something that I've been asking the town to do for a few years now, has there been a comprehensive strategic collaborative plan made with the police chief, the school superintendent, the environmental engineers, all the people that have knowledge that are without your boundaries, you know, your one brain. And so we need the expertise. How will this impact school buses, emergency vehicles, you know, roads on that car daily, all emergency evacuation?

So we need a demography report. We need environmental, traffic. All of these things need to be done, and that's what keeps emotions in place. It has to do with intelligent, researched conversation. And asking the Board to (inaudible). So thank you.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I just -- so just so

### 1 Proceedings 2 you know, those are all very valid points. 3 But those aren't in the purview of the Zoning 4 Those are the purview of the Planning Board. 5 Board. So while you come here and express 6 your opinions, I would encourage you, when 7 these come up in the Planning Board, to go to 8 those and raise these same issues. We have, 9 like I said, there are three variances that 10 we look at. Anything beyond that, we have no 11 control over, so. 12 Any other person? Yes, I promised the 13 woman in front. Okay. You're next. 14 MS. MARI: Hi. My name is Colleen Mari. 15 I live at 142 Route 210 in Stony Point. I am 16 also a real estate agent in the county. And 17 I just want to say the fact that I live in 18 this town and there is -- I couldn't hear a 19 word any of you people said. From the get 20 go. 21 I buried a friend today. And I made 22 sure I came back in time to get to the Zoning 23 Board, and I didn't hear a word you said. 24 It's pathetic. And this is my town that I

So I am very -- this is

25

love.

# 1 Proceedings 2 heart-wrenching, that the fact that the 3 people in the back cannot hear what you are 4 saying. And it might be very valid. But 5 what does it matter if you can't hear it? 6 So I have no questions. I appreciate 7 your time. But it's very sad. 8 MS. ROBERTS: Theresa Roberts, 9 34 Nordica Circle, Stony Point. I've never 10 been to one of these meetings before, so I 11 agree with you that it's a lot of us diving 12 into the experience. So my first question is 13 does granting these variances improve the 14 property value or the way of life for the 15 existing residents in the surrounding area? 16 That's my first question. 17 PUBLIC SPEAKER: What's the answer?

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Well, this will be part of the public discussion, so.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. ROBERTS: Okay. Then the next one is do these variances improve the impact on traffic in the area? Because I know some of them refer to parking. So I don't know if that's to help with the entering or exiting of the area. Has a traffic impact study been

1 Proceedings 2 done? Those are my questions. 3 My only comment is I don't appreciate 4 the not significant quote that he believes 5 he's asking for. I don't do building, but it 6 seems to me that the minimum says 20 feet, 7 and they're asking for one fourth the size of the minimum, which is half of half of the 8 9 minimum. That's significant to us. That's 10 why we're all here. 11 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Anybody else? 12 MR. MAHER: Good evening, Board Members. 13 Kevin Maher, 130 Central Highway, 14 Stony Point. 15 I wasn't born and raised in this town. 16 I came here in 2011. And as some of the 17 Board Members are aware, I was the Town 18 Engineer for about six years. And I 19 participated in a lot of applications in 20 front of the Planning and Zoning Boards as a 21 consultant to the Board. 2.2 So I look at this application and say to 23 myself, why. Even the variances that are 24 being asked of this Board, in my professional

opinion, are self-created variances. And as

# 1 Proceedings 2 such, I think you should be asking the 3 applicant to prove financial profit. Why 4 can't he build smaller and still make a 5 profit? 6 And by doing that, chances are these 7 variances would disappear, and the project would be more in tune with the character of 8 9 the neighborhood. This project is more 10 suited for Bruckner Boulevard or Tremont 11 Avenue in the Bronx where I grew up. Not in 12 Stony Point. 13 To even think about the granting 14 variances, as this other gentleman just said, 15 you're going to set a dangerous precedent in 16 this town. Because if you do it once, you 17 got to keep doing it. Otherwise, you're 18 going to be arbitrary and capricious, and you 19 set yourself up for an Article 78 proceeding 20 as a result. I know all about Article 78s. 21 Thank you. 2.2 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All right. We're 23 still open. Anybody else? 24 MR. ROSADO: Good evening, everyone. 25 Angel Rosado, 14 Wiles Drive, Stony Point.

I'm not familiar much with the Zoning Board, but thank you for being here today. Thank you, everyone, for showing up.

Just a question. Are there -- how does the zoning relate to safety? So I know part of the zoning he's asking for is more space for a parking lot, more space for a wall. So when my son's in town riding his bicycle, is he supposed to not pay attention to these extra ordinances because we have this building that three years ago, when I looked in this neighborhood, wasn't there.

I picked this neighborhood because of the people and the community. Not because -- and just like the gentleman before me, I came from Southern Boulevard three years ago.

This can stay there. We don't need this here. It's not for our kids. It's not for us.

But how about -- so are there any safety metrics that are done, or safety studies on how the kids will be impacted in that direct neighborhood? That's a question for the Board, for the engineer. Are there any

```
1
                 Proceedings
2
     studies for how this project and these
3
     ordinances will impact the kids in the
4
     community?
5
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So the site plan, the
6
    planning is done by the Planning Board.
7
          MR. ROSADO: Okay. And when do those
    meetings happen?
8
9
         MR. POTANOVIC: Thursday the 27th.
10
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: 27th at 7:00 here,
11
     continued public hearing on this project.
12
          MR. ROSADO: And that's going to be made
13
    public, right, as the gentleman said, that
14
     should be easy to come. Okay, thank you.
15
     I'll be here. Thank you, everyone. Have a
16
    good day.
17
          PUBLIC SPEAKER: We can change our zip
18
    code to 10461, too.
19
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Anybody else?
                                           All
20
     right, so --
21
          PUBLIC SPEAKER: Did everyone hear?
22
     July 27th, 7:00, continued public hearing on
23
     the Planning Board on this project. So be
24
    here then also. Bring friends.
25
                         George Mulligan,
          MR. MULLIGAN:
```

2.2

4 Liberty Square Mall, Stony Point. I attended, I've attended, actually, a number of meetings concerning discussions of 111 South Liberty Drive. And I can tell you I am not in favor of this project as it's currently constituted.

I don't believe that this fits in the character of the town of Stony Point. And I do not believe that the Zoning Board should grant the variances that have been requested by the applicant. The applicant has every right to request them. And you have every right to deny them. And that is what I would urge you to do.

And this is not a tangential comment, but looking at the property literally every day for years and years, it is kept in a state of disrepair. In fact, we have had multiple residents, even this year, have had to call because the property itself was not being maintained on the outside. There are still large branches that have fallen and have never been carted away. That could impede emergency services in our community

- from responding and doing the proper job,
  specially if there is a fire in that
  building.
- And I think, quite frankly, it is very disturbing. And then, you know, I think about it. Well, what will the future portend if this property -- according to me, it's my opinion -- is being kept in such poor condition as we speak right now.
  - So again, thank you for being here. And I would thank everybody else that's speaking and showing up tonight. And I do hope you consider the public's comments. Thank you.
  - CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Anybody else? All right. So I'll move that we close this meeting out today. We'll keep the public hearing open. You'll all get a chance to come back in two weeks, and we can do this some more and have some more comments.
  - In the meantime, we'll stake out the property. We've gotten -- and I do, I appreciate all your comments. It's hot. It's muggy. It's uncomfortable. And I'll see if we can't get better acoustics in --

```
58
1
                 Proceedings
2
     the acoustics I can't do anything about. If
3
     there's a way we can get it to project
4
    better, I'll see if we can do that.
5
          In the meantime, unless there's anything
6
     else, I'll take a motion to close the
7
    meeting.
8
          MR. MacCARTNEY: I would take a motion
9
     to continue the public hearing to July --
10
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So we'll make a motion
11
     to continue the public hearing.
12
          BOARD MEMBER LYNCH:
                               I'll second the
13
    motion to continue the public hearing.
14
          MR. MacCARTNEY: What's the date?
15
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: No, no. When is the
    next -- Nicole?
16
17
          MR. MacCARTNEY: Nicole, when is the
18
    next date?
19
          THE CLERK: The 20th.
20
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So I make a motion to
21
     continue this until July 20th.
2.2
          BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: I make a motion to
23
     continue this July 20th.
24
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All in favor?
25
          (Response of aye was given.)
```

# www.courtreportingny.com

```
59
1
                 Proceedings
 2
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Make a motion to
 3
     adjourn.
 4
          BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: I'll make a motion
5
     to adjourn.
6
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Second. All in favor?
 7
          (Response of aye was given.)
8
          CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you, everyone,
9
     for participating.
          (Time noted: 8:27 p.m.)
10
11
12
                      000
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

www.courtreportingny.com Proceedings THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true and correct transcription of the original stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.