1	
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF ROCKLAND TOWN OF STONY POINT: PLANNING BOARD
4	
5	X
6	In the Matter of the Application
7	RE:
	HUDSON RIVER VIEW INDUSTRIAL PARK,
9	Applicants.
10	7 o'clock p.m.
11	January 26th, 2012 RHO Building
	Five Patriot Drive
12	Stony Point, New York 10980
13	HELD BEFORE THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF STONY POINT:
14	
15	BEFORE: Thomas Gubitosa, Chairman
16	Grain man
17	Appearances:
18	
19	THOMAS MC MENAMIN, Member GLADYS CALLAGHAN, Member
20	EUGENE KREASE, Member GERRY ROGERS, Member
	MIKE PUCCIO, Member
21	PETER MULLER, Member
22	MARY PAGANO,
23	Secretary to the Board
24	Reported by: Randi Vecchione, for, Patricia A. Puleo,

NYS Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public

25

1	
2	
3	Appearances continued: 3
4	STEVEN MANDRACCIA, Esq.
5	Attorney for Applicant
6	FERRICK, LYNCH & MAC CARTNEY, Esqs,
7	96 South Broadway South Nyack, New York 10960
8	BY: DAVID RESNICK, Esq., Special Counsel HAROLD MC CARTNEY, Esq., " "
9	WILLIAM SHEEHAN, Town Building Inspector
10	(Not Present)
11	KEVIN P. MAHER, P.E, Town Engineer
12	ROBERT GENESLAW COMPANY,
13	Planning Consultants Two Executive Boulevard - Suite 401
14	Suffern, New York 10901 BY: MAXIMILIAN STACH, Town Planner
15	ROBERT GENESLAW, Town Planner (Not Present)
16	ATZL, SCATASSA AND ZIGLER
17	Surveyors/Architects for Applicant 234 North Main Street
18	New City, New York 10956 BY: DAVID M. ZIGLER, P.E.
19	And the Public.
20	PULEO REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES
21	61 Crickettown Road
22	Stony Point, New York 10980

23 (845) 429-8986 FAX and Phone

- Proceedings -
(Planning Board 1/26/12).
THE CHAIRMAN: Can we all stand for the
Pledge.
(At this time the Pledge of Allegiance was
recited.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Mary, just call the roll?
MS. PAGANO: Mr. Puccio?
MR. PUCCIO: Yes.
MS. PAGANO: Mr. Muller?
MR. MULLER: Here.
MS. PAGANO: Mr. McMenamin.
MR. MC MENAMIN: Here.
MS. PAGANO: Mrs. Callaghan.
MRS. CALLAGHAN: Here.
MS. PAGANO: Mr. Rogers.
MR. ROGERS: Here.
MS. PAGANO: Mr. Gubitosa.
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Here.
First item on the agenda is Hudson River

View Industrial Park. This is located on the

22

23	east end of Holt Drive. It's a new application.
24	Mike just brought something up that
25	he has, I guess, dealt with with Mr. Magee, but I

1	HEADER
2	would like to put it out to our lawyer
3	to find out
4	(Off-the-record.)
5	MR. MC CARTNEY: A disclosure is
6	sufficient.
7	MR. MANDRACIA: Just to be clear;
8	I have represented Mr. Puccio in some
9	other matters completely unrelated.
10	Mr. Puccio has had some business
11	arrangements with Patrick Magee.
12	MR. MULLER: No difficulty
13	in any of those areas?
14	MR. MANDRACIA: Nothing to do
15	with this area.
16	MR. MULLER: No difficulties?
17	MR. MANDRACIA: No.
18	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Fine. We
19	just want to put that on the record.
20	Mr. Zigler, give us an update
21	where we're at.
22	MR. MANDRACIA: We filed a new

- 23 plan to adjust the concerns of the last
- 24 --
- 25 MR. ZIGLER: When we were

1	HEADER
2	out on the site, we agreed to put Phase
3	I, Building "B" where the operation is
4	supposed to take place, so we made that
5	note on the map of Phase I.
6	And then Phase II was the
7	discussion of if if this operation
8	grows, that they would be able to park
9	in the other parking lot.
10	By notifying the Town that
11	they're going to move in Phase II and
12	if it caused a problem, then you would
13	call us back. We made those two
14	changes to the map.
15	The third change, which I
16	forgot to put on, but would be on the
17	map, it's on the title Building "B" so
18	to specify we're dealing with
19	Building "B".
20	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: This
21	application is just dealing with those.
22	MR 7IGLER: That's what we

- agreed upon. In my square footage on
- the bottom in Building "B" we have the
- wrong number. It's actually 11,000

1	HEADER
2	square feet. We doubled it. We gave
3	him two floors, but it's only one
4	floor.
5	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Which is
6	that?
7	MR. ZIGLER: Bottom left side.
8	It's 11,000 square foot. Previously we
9	had 23.
10	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: So it's
11	11,000, okay.
12	MR. ZIGLER: In addition, we
13	filed for a drainage permit with the
14	Rockland County Drainage Agency, in a
15	project similar to this, and I mean
16	similar, because it's in another town,
17	and it's a new user going into a
18	building, that's all. But it's exactly
19	the same thing. A New user going into
20	a building. No construction, nothing.
21	The Drainage Agency returned the
22	application and a check and said we

- 23 didn't need a permit, so I would expect
- that -- because it's exactly the same
- thing, I would expect that with this

1	HEADER
2	application.
3	So, we did file and I would think
4	that we might have that kind of answer
5	in one or two weeks.
6	MR. MANDRACIA: As you pointed
7	out at the last meeting the Drainage
8	Agency really doesn't matter because
9	there's no structure which is within
10	100 feet of the river channel line.
11	That's a jurisdiction
12	MR. MULLER: They seem to have a
13	funny way of deciding what they have
14	jurisdiction over or not, so we need to
15	apply.
16	MR. MANDRACIA: I understand that
17	and it has been submitted.
18	MR. MULLER: I realize that,
19	but they have a funny way of doing
20	that.
21	MR. MANDRACIA: So unless there
22	are any other things, we would ask to

- waive the hearing and get a final
- decision tonight, if possible.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.

1	HEADER
2	Max, do you have the Part 2.
3	MR. STACH: We did fill out a
4	Part 2 and negative declaration for the
5	Board's consideration.
6	No large impacts were identified
7	on the Part 2 with one small impact
8	identified under 18, impact on public
9	health. And that reads, "proposed
10	action may result in the excavation or
11	other disturbances within 2,000 feet
12	used for waste."
13	That site is not currently used
14	for disposals, but had been in the past
15	and that's why I identified it as a
16	potential small impact.
17	Based on that and on the
18	discussion that we've had with the
19	applicant previously, the idea that he
20	is carrying on all the conditions that
21	were relevant to Good Luck Auto at Bay
22	View Park, that he's looking to do the

- 23 improvements to the railroad underpass,
- that Mr. Maher has examined the
- drainage in that area and doesn't see

1	HEADER
2	an issue regarding drainage in that
3	area, so I prepared a negative
4	declaration.
5	The one caveat to that is on
6	December 12 we did receive a letter
7	from DEC, and it stated that they were
8	in the process of working with the
9	responsible party to develop a plan or
10	some sort of approach to any further
11	mitigation.
12	And they did mention in that
13	letter that there was a possibility
14	that there may be some requirements for
15	vapor mitigation in the existing
16	buildings. So, I don't know if you
17	had know the status of that report
18	at this point.
19	MR. MANDRACIA: I don't believe
20	they changed the status at that point,
21	but, of course, we will comply with any
22	DEC requirements to the actual

- operation of the business.
- 24 MR. STACH: Sure. And
- 25 that's exactly how I had suggested the

1	HEADER
2	neg dec read, specifically with regard
3	to item number five, which reads,
4	"the DEC continues to work with and
5	actively monitor cleanup activities on
6	the site, including evaluating the
7	remaining contamination on site."
8	The proposed use will be
9	conditioned upon satisfying any
10	requirements of the DEC, should that
11	agency determine that soil vapor in the
12	current buildings requires mitigation,
13	or soil conditions in the area proposed
14	for the special permit require
15	mitigation.
16	And I would suggest that that be
17	added as a condition and that would be
18	adequate
19	MR. MANDRACIA: Because we're
20	obligated to do that anyway. We
21	understand we have to comply. This was
22	formerly an active mitigation site so

- that's not an issue.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.
- 25 MR. MULLER: I just want to

1	HEADER
2	say that the changes that are before us
3	tonight are the result of the site
4	visit that the Planning Board did.
5	And after giving a great deal of
6	input that day, those changes are
7	before us tonight.
8	So, it was a work in progress
9	that this Planning Board was doing and
10	it's now reflected in front of us.
11	Quite a bit of work and changes
12	were made and that's what's for
13	approval.
14	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Does the
15	Board have any comments on the Part 2?
16	MR. MC MENAMIN: Not on the Part
17	2, but this letter from the County.
18	Are we going to talk about that
19	tonight?
20	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We'll do the
21	Part 2 first.
22	MR STACH: Well Ithink

- you can discuss the letter first.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I know the
- 25 County of Rockland on the 26th, which

1	HEADER
2	was only two days ago, sent us a
3	letter
4	MR. MANDRACIA: Yesterday.
5	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Tom, I know
6	you have comments on the letter because
7	we just got it the other day.
8	MR. MC MENAMIN: We must do some
9	certain things and I was just thinking
10	that before we do the Part 2, that
11	maybe the applicant should go over this
12	letter and tell us what to do about
13	them.
14	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: What are the
15	musts; must be given the opportunity to
16	review the proposal and the impact I
17	mean, it seems to me there's some
18	things that the County is saying has to
19	be done.
20	I was just wondering maybe we
21	should let the applicant answer this.
22	MR. MULLER: I'm not sure

- about the Town of Haverstraw. I think
- it's the Town of Stony Point. I don't
- 25 know why Haverstraw must approve.

1	HEADER	
2	MR. MAGEE: This is next to	
3	the Haverstraw landfill. It's not	
4	somebody	
5	MR. ZIGLER: It was circulated.	
6	They had time to make a comment. We	
7	did that. We agreed to that.	
8	MR. MANDRACIA: All the required	
9	interested parties were duly notified	
10	early on.	
11	MR. MC MENAMIN: So, is this	
12	you read this?	
13	MR. ZIGLER: Yes.	
14	MR. MC MENAMIN: You're intending	
15	to answer it point-by-point?	
16	MR. ZIGLER: Not really. I mean,	
17	that one we just that was done and	
18	you didn't receive any comments, so we	
19	did that. We agreed to that.	
20	MR. MANDRACIA: This is the first	
21	I'm seeing of the letter.	
22	MR_7IGLER: We'll start with the	

- first one.
- 24 It is our understanding that
- 25 the gate across is proposed as the main

1	HEADER
2	access to the site. We are concerned
3	about the placement of the easement,
4	that it would develop an increase of
5	traffic, especially truck traffic
6	across the crossing.
7	Now, I didn't read the other
8	two sentences, but basically the
9	intensity of this site was five or six
10	years ago. I think 180 people were
11	working two shifts and trucks. What
12	we're talking about is five or six
13	people, maybe ten people and maybe a
14	truck every day.
15	And if there's a train
16	blocking, as we were on site and we
17	seen the trains going by, they're going
18	to use the tunnel, but the intensity of
19	the site is one-tenth of what was
20	1/20th of what it was before.
21	So, this is a reduction in use on
22	the site.

- MR. MANDRACIA: Again, as
- 24 indicated at the last meeting, that the
- 25 members of the general public are not

1	HEADER
2	coming to a retail outlet whatsoever.
3	And we address that as part of Max's
4	letter from last time.
5	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I think I'm
6	looking at it.
7	Number two, CSX is I know we
8	have their comments from the last
9	applications and they're working on
10	those.
11	Three is the underpass. And I
12	think in the Part 2 not the Part 2,
13	but I think in the neg dec, Max goes
14	over that.
15	MR. STACH: Well, number
16	three, I think their understanding is
17	mistaken because the applicant is
18	proposing improvements.
19	MR. MC MENAMIN: I'm sorry, what
20	approvals?
21	MR. MULLER: Number three,
22	that they're not going to do

- 23 improvements, whereas the applicant --
- 24 MR. ZIGLER: Clean out the
- 25 drainage.

1	HEADER
2	MR. MULLER: So you're doing
3	improvements?
4	MR. STACH: And they're milling
5	the pavement to provide
6	MR. MULLER: They were
7	misunderstanding. They have you doing
8	no improvements, so that's not correct.
9	MR. STACH: The one thing
10	you're not doing also is, you're not
11	allowing access to the public.
12	MR. MANDRACIA: That's
13	correct.
14	MR.MENAMIN:Okay,construction
15	must show any improvements to be
16	provided and documentation provided,
17	indicating these have been reviewed and
18	approved by CSX.(phonetically written)
19	MR. ZIGLER: They don't have to
20	be approved. They just have to be
21	notified and if they object, they would
22	respond to it. That was from a direct

- 23 meeting out on the site.
- 24 MR. MC MENAMIN: So that's
- been done?

1	HEADER
2	MR. ZIGLER: I met with them and
3	James met with them. As long as you
4	MR. MC MENAMIN: So they
5	approved those improvements?
6	MR. ZIGLER: Yes.
7	MR. MANDRACIA: Item three,
8	actually the applicant is looking into
9	installing a railroad spur. Condition
10	to the application
11	MR. MULLER: What was that?
12	MR. ZIGLER: There was a spur and
13	they let their agreement drop, so it's
14	actually there underneath the dirt.
15	There is a spur, but they're trying to
16	activate it.
17	MR. MANDRACIA: So we are
18	considering that.
19	MR. MULLER: Okay.
20	MR. ZIGLER: As far as the
21	easement, the applicant agreed to mark
22	that on the pavement. You remember we

- 23 discussed that at the first meeting.
- 24 As far as the fire district, I
- 25 spoke with Tom Larkin today and he

1	HEADER
2	agreed to sometime in the future of
3	going down there with a new truck.
4	He told me that all their
5	existing trucks will go through that
6	tunnel. The all their previous
7	trucks; The new truck, they're not
8	sure.
9	So, I said, "Tom, why don't we go
10	down there?"
11	It's not a direct shot into the
12	tunnel. You have a vertical curve with
13	a turn, a horizontal. So we're going
14	to go down there and look.
15	Nobody knows if the truck goes
16	through or not. All the previous
17	trucks from the fire department will go
18	through the tunnel.
19	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right.
20	MR. MULLER: My understanding is
21	they're just longer.
22	MR. ZIGLER: They're higher.

- 23 MR. MULLER: Higher, too. That
- 24 was one of the excuses. That's just a
- joke for fire people.

1	HEADER
2	MR. ZIGLER: Number seven.
3	MR. MANDRACIA: Town of
4	Haverstraw.
5	MR. ZIGLER: They did get it.
6	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I don't see
7	any traffic impact because it doesn't
8	affect them?
9	MR. ZIGLER: No.
10	MR. MANDRACIA: Stony Point
11	property unless the property is severa
12	thousand feet away from what's being
13	proposed.
14	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right.
15	MR. ZIGLER: We didn't receive
16	anything from the County Park. They
17	must have figured that
18	MR. MANDRACIA: Everybody was
19	given
20	MR. MC MENAMIN: It was
21	circulated to them?
22	MR 7IGLER: Sure

- 23 MR. MANDRACIA: And Palisades
- 24 Park Commission as well.
- 25 MR. ZIGLER: We applied for a

1	HEADER
2	permit, so number ten we're taking off.
3	Number 11, there is no
4	additional lighting proposed. It's the
5	existing light.
6	MR. MANDRACIA: There's no
7	variances being requested.
8	MR. ZIGLER: That's number 12.
9	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right.
10	MR. ZIGLER: And their response
11	is an answer to 13.
12	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right. All
13	right.
14	MR. ZIGLER: I don't think we're
15	agreeing
16	MR. MANDRACIA: The things we
17	have
18	MR. ZIGLER: except for the
19	gate crossing. That's probably like
20	half a million dollar option here.
21	MR. MANDRACIA: We can't agree to
22	that. We don't believe we're required

- 23 to.
- 24 MR. MC MENAMIN: That's a
- 25 recommendation. It's a must. Do we

1	HEADER
2	have to vote to overrule?
3	MR. STACH: Yes. You would have
4	to have a majority plus one and send
5	them a report as why you did not.
6	Additionally, I'm wondering about
7	they raise in the review 239NN,
8	which requires under the state code
9	that certain actions be referred to
10	neighboring communities. And I posed a
11	question to the attorneys in the room
12	if they know it's a special permit
13	under 239NN to the neighboring
14	communities? I don't happen to have
15	that law in front of me.
16	MR. MC CARTNEY: My answer
17	is I would have to check that.
18	MR. MANDRACIA: That would be my
19	answer as well.
20	MR. STACH: If that's a state
21	requirement then
22	MR. MANDRACIA: This would be

- state code.
- 24 MS. PAGANO: No, it's the Town
- code.

1	HEADER
2	MR. MANDRACIA: I can address
3	that for the Board tomorrow and share
4	it with counsel.
5	I believe that reference is going
6	to lead into the next paragraph.
7	MR. MC MENAMIN: Doesn't that
8	cover that?
9	MR. MANDRACIA: We already
10	discussed that.
11	MR. STACH: You did advise
12	MR. MANDRACIA: The Town of
13	Haverstraw.
14	MR. STACH: Of the meeting.
15	MR. MANDRACIA: Of the
16	application. Of the they've been
17	given the opportunity to review the
18	proposal and the impact and they
19	elected that you are the lead
20	MR. STACH: The requirement is
21	that you give notice that a hearing is
22	to be held for an application on

- 23 special permit. I looked it up.
- 24 MR. MC MENAMIN: Did we do that;
- give notice to them?

1	HEADER
2	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: The Town is
3	required?
4	MR. STACH: Is there a special
5	permit
6	MR. MANDRACIA: This is not an
7	application for special permit.
8	Special permit is an application of the
9	Town Board.
10	MR. STACH: So this
11	application before us now is for a site
12	plan?
13	MR. MANDRACIA: That's correct.
14	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: So, we're
15	good on this one. All right.
16	Right now we're going to adopt
17	the Part 2 and then I'll read the neg
18	dec.
19	MR. MULLER: I'll make a motion
20	that we adopt Part 2.
21	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I need a
22	second?

- MR. ROGERS: Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All in
- 25 favor?

1	HEADER
2	MR. MC MENAMIN: Now, that's
3	with removing the one small 2,000
4	feet, you're removing that.
5	MR. STACH: I'm suggesting
6	that stay in as a small impact.
7	MR. MC MENAMIN: So, a small
8	impact but they won't have to do a Part
9	3?
10	MR. STACH: Correct. It's a
11	small impact. If you read the way it's
12	written, it really says that it's
13	within 2,000 feet of a site that's
14	being used for developing.
15	I'm raising it as a small
16	impact. It was important that it had
17	been used in the past for that. That's
18	why it doesn't require any further
19	treatment for a Part 3.
20	MS. PAGANO: Who seconded
21	it?
22	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Gerry

- seconded.
- 24 All in favor?
- 25 (Unanimous affirmative

1	HEADER
2	vote.)
3	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Part 2 is in.
4	The next is we have a neg dec. And I
5	just need a motion for the neg dec and
6	then I'll read it.
7	MR. ROGERS: I'll make a motion.
8	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: After I read
9	it, I'll ask for a second.
10	"Proposed draft of the State
11	Environmental Quality Review. Negative
12	Declaration. Notice of Determination
13	of Non-Significance. Hudson River View
14	- Good Luck Auto. Town of Stony Point.
15	Date January 26, 2011.
16	"This notice is issued
17	pursuant to Part 617 of the
18	implementing regulation pertaining to
19	Article 8 (State Environmental Quality
20	Review Act) of the Environmental
21	Conservation Law.
22	"The Planning Board of the

- Town of Stony Point, as lead agency,
- has determined that the proposed action
- 25 described below will not have a

1	HEADER
2	significant effect on the environment
3	and a Draft Environmental Impact
4	Statement will not be prepared.
5	"Name of Action: Hudson
6	River View - Good Luck Auto. SEQR
7	Status: Unlisted. Condition Negative
8	Declaration: No.
9	"Description of Action:
10	Authorization of a Special Permit to
11	allow use of an existing building in
12	the L1 zoning direct for an automotive
13	recycling business, previously approved
14	for another building on an adjacent
15	lot.
16	"Location: At the end of
17	Holt Drive, just south of the Railroad
18	Crossing from the Bay View Park
19	Industrial Campus. Tax lot is
20	designated as 20.02-11-25.
21	"Reasons Supporting This
22	Determination: The proposed action is

- 23 not anticipated to result in any
- 24 adverse environmental impacts based on
- the following:

1	HEADER
2	"1. On or about November 7,
3	2011, the Planning Board received a
4	Part 1 Full Environmental Assessment
5	Form (EAF) providing project
6	information;
7	"2. On or about December 8,
8	2011, the Planning Board assumed lead
9	agency status and declared the action
10	unlisted.
11	"3. On or about January 26,
12	2011, the Stony Point Planning Board
13	adopted a Part 2 EAF indicating no
14	potential large impacts, a potential
15	small impact from disturbance of soils
16	within 2000 feet of a property
17	previously used for the disposal of
18	hazardous waste;
19	"4. The applicant proposes
20	to disturb soils only to the extent
21	necessary to improve the railroad
22	underpass to contemporary safety

- 23 standards, which will improve emergency
- 24 equipment to the south side of the
- 25 railroad right-of-way;

	29	
1	HEADER	
2	"5. The DEC continues to	
3	work with and actively, monitor cleanup	
4	activities on the site including	
5	evaluating the remaining contamination	
6	on site. The proposed use will be	
7	conditioned upon satisfying any	
8	requirements of the DEC, should that	
9	agency determine that soil vapor in the	
10	current buildings requires mitigation,	
11	or soil conditions in the area proposed	
12	for the special permit require	
13	mitigation;	
14	"6. There will be no	
15	disturbance to site wetlands;	
16	"7. All environmental	
17	concerns regarding the proposed use	
18	have been adequately reviewed and	
19	mitigated when approved for the	
20	adjacent building, and all conditions	
21	imposed on the previous use will be	
22	extended to the proposed location,	

- 23 except for screening of vehicle storage
- 24 areas, which is not required since the
- 25 site is not visible from any

1	HEADER
2	substantial public views;
3	"8. The proposed use will
4	not be open to access by the public and
5	public traffic to the proposes site
6	will be nominal;
7	"9. The Town Engineer has
8	reviewed the application and finds that
9	stormwater controls may be developed to
10	adequately prevent any increase in the
11	rate of runoff to neighboring
12	properties and no stormwater impacts
13	are anticipated as a result of the
14	average density plan;
15	"10. No other potential
16	large impacts were identified for the
17	site.
18	All right. I have a motion. I
19	just need a second.
20	MRS. CALLAGHAN: I would like to
21	propose that the date be corrected.
22	CHAIRMAN GURITOSA: January 26

- 23 2012. Discussion?
- 24 MR. MC MENAMIN: Number
- 25 nine. First thing, average density

1	HEADER
2	plan. This isn't an average density
3	plan.
4	MR. STACH: I'm sorry.
5	MR. MC MENAMIN: It says in
6	nine that the Town engineer has
7	reviewed the application and finds that
8	stormwater controls may be developed.
9	I would like it to read "shall".
10	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: "Shall" be
11	developed as necessary.
12	And we're going to take out
13	"anticipated as a result of the average
14	density plan." We're not going to
15	MR. STACH: Yes. After
16	"impact", that should be a semicolon.
17	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: And no
18	stormwater impacts
19	MR. MANDRACIA: Are anticipated.
20	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: No stormwater
21	impacts are anticipated. End it right
22	after that.

- So it's going to be "no
- 24 stormwater impacts are anticipated."
- 25 All right. Good.

1	HEADER
2	Can you second that.
3	MR. MULLER: Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I have a
5	motion to second. Any more discussion?
6	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All in favor?
7	(Unanimous affirmative
8	vote.)
9	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Opposed?
10	(No responses heard.)
11	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Motion of the
12	neg dec is adopted.
13	What's next for
14	MR. MANDRACIA: We would just
15	MR. STACH: Are you aware of a
16	provision in our code that allows a
17	waiver of a hearing for the
18	application?
19	MR. MANDRACIA: Not specifically,
20	no.
21	MR. STACH: Pardon.
22	MR. MANDRACIA: Not specifically,

- 23 no.
- 24 MR. STACH: I don't know if
- 25 that's in the Board's capability at

1	HEADER
2	this time.
3	MR. MULLER: What would the
4	problem be?
5	MR. STACH: Whether you're
6	authorized.
7	MR. MULLER: Why would we
8	make if we're ready tonight the
9	general concern is if they're ready, we
10	want to try to make it get
11	businesses in Town.
12	What would be the negative
13	consequence of making them coming back
14	one
15	MR. STACH: Well, the
16	negative consequence would be if
17	somebody were to challenge it and it's
18	not in your code, they would lose on
19	Article 78.
20	MR. MULLER: They would have
21	to take an Article 78. Can we make a
22	motion to have them waive the month and

- 23 give them approval and vote on it
- 24 tonight?
- 25 You're going to make them

1	HEADER
2	come back? If somebody was concerned
3	they would be in front of us tonight.
4	MR. MC CARTNEY: What was
5	this noticed for tonight?
6	MS. PAGANO: Just a regular
7	meeting.
8	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I know it's
9	been on the agenda for a few months.
10	MS. PAGANO: Since December.
11	MR. MULLER: Noting that
12	there's nobody been speaking out
13	against this project.
14	MR. ROGERS: Can we do a
15	conditional one and have someone
16	MR. MC CARTNEY: What
17	provision in the Town code are we
18	talking about?
19	MR. STACH: I'm raising the
20	point that I'm not aware of any
21	provision that allows the Board to
22	waive a public hearing.

- 23 MR. MC CARTNEY: We had a
- public hearing?
- 25 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: No.

1	HEADEK
2	MR. MC CARTNEY: This wasn't
3	noticed as a public hearing.
4	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: No.
5	MR. MC CARTNEY: Then, you do
6	need the public hearing.
7	MR. MULLER: We'll make it
8	conditional that we put in we give
9	them their decision and if nobody shows
10	up then we don't have to bring them in
11	front of us again.
12	MR. MC CARTNEY: You can't give
13	them the resolution under the
14	MR. MANDRACIA: Why don't we set
15	it down
16	MR. MAGEE: We'll do it properly.
17	MR. MANDRACIA: Obviously, the
18	Board has legitimate concerns about the
19	provision that gives them the
20	authority. We'll have the public
21	hearing and we'll come back next time
22	and if there's nobody here

- CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I need amotion to set the public hearing.
- 25 MR. MULLER: I would like to

1	HEADER
2	make a motion to set the public hearing
3	for next month.
4	MR. ROGERS: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Any
6	discussions on it?
7	(No responses heard.)
8	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All in favor?
9	(Unanimous affirmative vote.)
10	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: So,
11	we'll see you next month.
12	MR. MANDRACIA: What's the next
13	hearing date?
14	MS. PAGANO: 23rd.
15	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: February
16	23rd. We'll see you February 23rd.
17	Well, We're going to the next
18	matter, which is a Letter of Credit to
19	a bond. Other business. I know
20	everyone has looked at it, but I think
21	that
22	MR MC CARTNEY: Basically what

- they want to do is switch to a bond.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: That's all.
- MR. MC CARTNEY: So, you're just

1	HEADER
2	changing the form of security.
3	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I got a
4	letter from the Town Board dated the
5	12th. They voted to accept the bond in
6	the amount of 40,000 rather than a
7	Letter of Credit, and subject to
8	Planning Board approval. So, we just
9	need to approve the change.
10	I need a motion to approve the
11	Letter of Credit change.
12	MR. MC MENAMIN: Before we do
13	that, is there a letter in the file
14	that the engineer has documentation
15	that the remaining work covers the
16	\$40,000?
17	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We did that
18	last time.
19	MR. MANDRACIA: Yes, it's also
20	before the Town Board.
21	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I need a
22	motion to accept the letter change

- it from a Letter of Credit to a bond.
- 24 MR. ROGERS: I'll make that
- 25 motion.

1	HEADER
2	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Second?
3	MRS. CALLAGHAN: I'll second.
4	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All in favor?
5	(Unanimous affirmative vote.)
6	* * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	HEADER
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	certification
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND TOWN OF STONY POINT : PLANNING BOARD
3	
4	X
5	In the Matter of the Application
6	RE:
7	CRICKETTOWN RIDGE,
,	Applicants.
8	X
9	7:35 o'clock p.m.
10	January 26th, 2011 RHO Building
11	Five Patriot Drive Stony Point, New York
12	10980
13	HELD BEFORE THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF STONY POINT:
14	
15	BEFORE: Thomas Gubitosa, Chairman
16	_
17	Appearances:
18	THOMAS MC MENAMIN, Member
19	GLADYS CALLAGHAN, Member EUGENE KREASE, Member
20	GERRY ROGERS, Member THOMAS PUCCIO, Member
21	PETER MULLER, Member
	MARY PAGANO,
22	Secretary to the Board

Reported by: Randi Vecchione for,
 Patricia A. Puleo,
 NYS Certified Court Reporter
 and Notary Public

1	
2	A
3	Appearances continued: 41
4	
5	FERRICK, LYNCH & MAC CARTNEY, Esqs, 96 South Broadway
6	South Nyack, New York 10960 BY: DAVID RESNICK, Esq., Special
7	Counsel HAROLD MC CARTNEY, Esq.,
8	MULIANA CUEFUAN, To a D. Talina
9	WILLIAM SHEEHAN, Town Building Inspector
10	(Not Present)
11	KEVIN P. MAHER, P.E, Town Engineer
12	ROBERT GENESLAW COMPANY,
13	Planning Consultants Two Executive Boulevard - Suite 401
14	Suffern, New York 10901 BY: MAXIMILIAN STACH, Town Planner
15	ROBERT GENESLAW, Town Planner (Not Present)
16	ATZL, SCATASSA AND ZIGLER
17	Surveyors/Architects for Applicant 234 North Main Street
18	New City, New York 10956 BY: DAVID M. ZIGLER, P.E.
19	And the Public.
20	PULEO REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION
21	SERVICES
22	61 Crickettown Road

23	Stony Point, New York 10980
	(845) 429-8986 FAX and Phone
24	
25	

- 1 Proceedings 42
- 2 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Next on the
- 3 agenda Crickettown Ridge. This is
- 4 located at the northeast corner of
- 5 Crickettown Road and Heights Road. I
- 6 know we had some things we were working
- 7 on.
- 8 MR. ZIGLER: Dave Zigler, Atzl,
- 9 Scatassa and Zigler.
- 10 At the last meeting, we were
- talking about trying to get lot one and
- two conformed to the area.
- 13 Unbeknownst to me, there's a home
- that requires a building permit.
- 15 Standing on Crickettown Road
- looking at the house on the right side,
- they're actually putting an addition
- on, so I cannot move that property
- 19 line.
- To gain the area, I would have to
- 21 move the property line and move it
- behind their house so I could pick up

- the extra 5,000 square feet.
- So, I had to go back to the
- original design, and that's what's in

- 1 Proceedings 43
- 2 front of you. Basically, the same map
- 3 you had two months ago.
- 4 The addition on the area was not
- 5 possible, so I still need the
- 6 variances. I need the variances for
- 7 lot one for 5,000 square foot and
- 8 also---
- 9 As far as the things we discussed
- at the workshop with the grading of the
- 11 lot, we revised the lot one so the
- driveway is on the far side. This is
- to the left as you exit the driveway.
- 14 And then page -- drawing three is
- the sewer.
- We have to extend the sewer so we
- put that on the separate drawing which,
- 18 of course, would have to go Health
- 19 Department.
- So, the request tonight would be
- 21 to set a public hearing. We would ask
- at the next available meeting for the

- subdivision.
- 24 And as to the extent of the
- 25 Zoning Board of Appeals, I'll fill out

- 1 Proceedings 44
- 2 the application, ask for lot width and
- 3 lot area on lot one.
- 4 And as far as the wetlands,
- 5 I sent in a letter from Bob Clarkson in
- 6 which he resubmitted everything and
- 7 kind of mentioned that he had done the
- 8 original submission in May, and we
- 9 haven't heard anything.
- 10 So, since May we haven't heard
- anything from the Army Corp., so
- 12 hopefully we can get that solved.
- 13 As far as the letter to the Town
- 14 Board on open space, we sent that
- 15 letter out and we're just waiting to
- see which way to go on it.
- So, I have four items we
- discussed at the workshop, which was
- 19 the requested items.
- The second thing was the copy of
- 21 the letter Bob Clarkson sent to the
- 22 Army Corps, following up on his May

- submission. That's May of 2001.
- 24 And we're going to apply to the
- ZBA. I don't want the ZBA public

- 1 Proceedings 45
- 2 hearing being before the public hearing
- 3 here because then people will talk
- 4 about items which should be said here.
- 5 So, I'm going to try to set that
- 6 up so we can have both things done,
- 7 with the ZBA being the second public
- 8 hearing and then wait to see what the
- 9 Town Board decides prior to me going
- 10 there.
- 11 If they want the property or if
- they want a buffer, whichever one we
- choose, but the offer is still there to
- leave it in its natural state.
- 15 MR. MULLER: So we need a motion.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We need a
- 17 motion to set the public hearing.
- 18 MR. ROGERS: I'll make that
- 19 motion, Mr. Chairman.
- 20 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Second?
- 21 MRS. CALLAGHAN: I'll second it.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: It's proposed

- for next month.
- 24 Do we have to give you a
- 25 motion to go to the Zoning Board?

- 1 Proceedings 46
- 2 MS. PAGANO: He's already
- 3 gone to the zoning Board.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We gave you
- 5 that already.
- 6 MR. ZIGLER: The only change from
- 7 now until next month is, I'll put the
- 8 addition on.
- 9 The addition is on and the deck
- 10 has been framed. I'll be able to show
- that on the map and you'll see we
- couldn't move it over.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Some of the
- 14 Board members might have some
- 15 questions.
- 16 MR. MC MENAMIN: Just one piece
- 17 of lot four. If the Town doesn't want
- to take your offer and it has
- 19 conservation easement or buffer, that
- would be to lot three.
- 21 MR. ZIGLER: Lot three would own
- 22 it, yes.

- 23 MR. MC MENAMIN: And lot three --
- this is changing his property lines?
- 25 MR. ZIGLER: Yes.

- 1 Proceedings 47
- 2 MR. MC MENAMIN: So he's
- 3 already -- he owns it -- this is the
- 4 first subdivision you did?
- 5 MR. ZIGLER: That's correct.
- 6 MR. MC MENAMIN: He's going
- 7 to accept this conservation --
- 8 MR. ZIGLER: Yes.
- 9 MR. MC MENAMIN: -- you know
- 10 that?
- 11 MR. ZIGLER: Yes. So far that's
- what we agreed on. You work with two
- 13 different people. It was explained,
- they agreed to it.
- 15 MR. STACH: My only question
- today would be, do you want this Board
- to refer you to the Town Board or
- you're going to write them a letter?
- 19 MR. ZIGLER: I did write a letter
- 20 to -- you know, asking what direction
- 21 to go.
- 22 MR. STACH: Okay.

- 23 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I think --
- 24 MR. MANDRACIA: The letter that
- 25 was written by Dave, I forwarded that

- 1 Proceedings 48
- along. I spoke to Mr. O'Shaughnessy
- 3 today about it. I made him aware that
- 4 this lot number four was being offered
- 5 to the Town and that he should make an
- 6 evaluation and consideration of the
- 7 offer, and that the Town accept -- the
- 8 next step would be to the Town Board.
- 9 And that's pursuant to the Town code.
- 10 Section 80-6 has that protocol on how
- that offer should be made.
- So, it's in the works right now.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: It's in front
- of -- the tax assessor has it. He'll
- probably go discuss it with the Town
- 16 Board and hopefully --
- 17 MR. ROGERS: If it does go the
- way of a conversation easement, lot
- three as that ownership -- I know he
- can't do anything per se with the land,
- but he could park trucks on it.
- 22 MR. ZIGLER: The conservation

- easement, it's really restrictive, but
- you have the conservation buffer, which
- 25 I would recommend. The buffer allows

1	- Proceedings - 49
2	him to clean it and fence it, but he
3	can't build anything on it. He can't
4	build a deck on it. He can't put a
5	path through or a swimming pool or
6	whatever. He can't do that, but the
7	conservation easement is very
8	restrictive. And what happens is the
9	people don't take care of it.
LO	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Very good. I
11	guess we'll see you next month.
12	* * *
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L 7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	

1 - Proceedings - 50

3 certification

1	
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND TOWN OF STONY POINT : PLANNING BOARD
3	
4	X
5	In the Matter of the Application
6	RE:
	OTHER BUSINESS,
7	Applicants.
8	X
9	7:35 o'clock p.m. January 26th, 2011
10	RHO Building
11	Five Patriot Drive Stony Point, New York
12	10980
13	HELD BEFORE THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF STONY POINT:
14	
15	BEFORE: Thomas Gubitosa, Chairman
16	
17	Appearances:
18	THOMAS MC MENAMIN, Member
19	GLADYS CALLAGHAN, Member EUGENE KREASE, Member GERRY ROGERS, Member
20	THOMAS PUCCIO, Member PETER MULLER, Member
21	
22	MARY PAGANO,
22	Secretary to the Board

23
Reported by: Randi Vecchione, for,
24
Patricia A. Puleo,
NYS Certified Court Reporter
25
and Notary Public

1	
2	
3	Appearances continued: 52
4	STEVEN MANDRACCIA, Esq. Attorney for Applicant
5	
6	FERRICK, LYNCH & MAC CARTNEY, Esqs, 96 South Broadway
7	South Nyack, New York 10960 BY: DAVID RESNICK, Esq., Special Counsel
8	HAROLD MC CARTNEY, Esq., " "
9	
10	WILLIAM SHEEHAN, Town Building Inspector (Not Present)
11	·
12	KEVIN P. MAHER, P.E, Town Engineer
13	ROBERT GENESLAW COMPANY, Planning Consultants
14	Two Executive Boulevard - Suite 401
15	Suffern, New York 10901 BY: MAXIMILIAN STACH, Town Planner ROBERT GENESLAW,Town Planner
16	(Not Present)
17	ATZL, SCATASSA AND ZIGLER
18	Surveyors/Architects for Applicant 234 North Main Street
19	New City, New York 10956 BY: DAVID M. ZIGLER, P.E.
20	And the Public.
21	PULEO REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

	61 Crickettown Road
23	Stony Point, New York 10980
24	Story Form, New Tork 10380
	(845) 429-8986 FAX and Phone
25	

- 1 Proceedings 53
- 2 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: The last
- 3 thing is S & V. We just need an
- 4 extension for the map signing. I think
- 5 it expires. We need an extension to
- 6 give them 90 days --
- 7 MR. ROGERS: I'll make that
- 8 motion.
- 9 MR. MULLER: Second.
- 10 MS. PAGANO: The minutes.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: A motion to
- accept the minutes of December 8, 2011.
- 13 MRS. CALLAGHAN: I'll make the
- 14 motion.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Second.
- MR. ROGERS: Second.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All in favor?
- 18 (Unanimous affirmative vote.)
- 19 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Opposed?
- 20 (No opposition.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Motion to
- 22 close?

- 23 MR. ROGERS: I'll make the
- 24 motion.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Second?

```
2
            MRS. CALLAGHAN: Second.
3
            CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All in
4
       favor?
5
          (Unanimous affirmative vote.)
6
            CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Motion
       passed. See you next month.
7
8
9
          (Time noted: 7:43 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
```

- Proceedings -

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK)
5) ss. COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)
6	I, RANDI VECCHIONE, Court
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and
8	for the County of Westchester, State of
9	New York, do hereby certify:
10	That I reported the
11	proceedings that are hereinbefore set
12	forth, and that such transcript is a
13	true and accurate record of said
14	proceedings.
15	AND, I further certify
16	that I am not related to any of the
17	parties to this action by blood or
18	marriage, and that I am in no way
19	interested in the outcome of this
20	matter.
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
22	hereunto set my hand.

RANDI VECCHIONE

25 Court Reporter