www.courtreportingny.com

ROCKLAND & ORANGE REPORTING 2 Congers Road, Suite 2 New City, New York 10956 (845) 634-4200

2 1 Proceedings 2 3 CHAIRMAN KRAESE: Next on the agenda, 4 Vest.co. 5 MS. RAMANATHAN: Good evening again. Ι 6 believe we're requesting a vote on final 7 resolution tonight on this project. 8 CHAIRMAN KRAESE: I'm sorry, what did 9 you say? 10 MS. RAMANATHAN: Requesting a vote on 11 the final resolution tonight for this 12 project. 13 CHAIRMAN KRAESE: Okay. Resolution for 14 project Vestco. By application of Vestco, 15 LLC by Gary Galanti, 11 Holt Drive, 16 Stony Point, New York, 10980. 17 Whereas, an application for an amended 18 site plan and a short EAF dated February 1, 19 2021, has been submitted to the Planning 20 Board of the Town of Stony Point for amended 21 site plan approval seeking to create six 2.2 additional parking spaces for a proposed MRI 23 imaging center, professional office use, 24 consisting of a 1750 square feet portion of the existing building, in a previously 25

1	Proceedings
2	approved mixed use building and site, and
3	upon a submitted proposed site plan entitled
4	Vestco Amended Site Plan, consisting of one
5	sheet, prepared by Atzl, Nasher and Zigler,
6	P.C., dated February 3, 2021, and last
7	revised March 8, 2021, subject application,
8	and being a portion of the premises
9	designated as Section 20.04, Block 11,
10	Lot 7 on the tax map of the Town of
11	Stony Point, County of Rockland, consisting
12	of 1.99 acres and located in an LI-2 zoning
13	district, at 11 Holt Drive, Stony Point,
14	New York, 10980, subject premises; and
15	Whereas, pursuant to the New York State
16	Environmental Quality Review Act, the
17	Planning Board at a meeting on February 25,
18	2021, determined that this was a Type II
19	Action and accordingly, no further
20	environmental review is required; and
21	Whereas, by a letter issued to the
22	Planning Board dated February 9, 2021, John
23	O'Rourke, P.E. of Lanc and Tully Engineering
24	and Surveying, P.C., the Engineers for the
25	Town of Stony Point, advised the Planning

4

1	Proceedings
2	Board that his office had reviewed the site
3	plan dated February 3, 2021, and he requested
4	clarification and/or suggested certain
5	changes and revisions to the plan, and based
6	upon further communication with and
7	clarification by the applicant and by its
8	submittal of a revised site plan dated
9	March 8, 2021, the applicant's responses are
10	now satisfactory; and
11	Whereas, by a letter to the Planning
12	Board dated March 2, 2021, the
13	Rockland County Department of Planning, RCDP,
14	pursuant to the requirements of the General
15	Municipal Law 239-1 and m, enumerated
16	distinct comments numbered one through 11.
17	In its application before the Town Zoning
18	Board of Appeals, the applicant engineer's
19	letter dated April 19, 2021, responded to a
20	RCDP letter to the ZBA, dated April 13, 2021,
21	and addressed the RCDP comments and several
22	of those comments were repeated in the RCDP
23	letter to this Board dated March 2, 21. The
24	Planning Board reviewed each comment and made
25	the following observations and

Proceedings

determinations, as follows:

2.2

Comment Number One required a referral to the Town of Haverstraw and the Village of West Haverstraw. Those referrals were completed, and no responses were received despite the passage of over 30 days;

Comment Number Two concerning the fire lane has been satisfied because the Town's Fire Inspector has reviewed and approved the relocation of that fire lane to the south as shown on the plans;

Comments Three and Four are instructional and with which the applicant will comply;

Comment Number Five requires the permit to specify which trees will be removed in the proposed parking area and as all these trees will be removed in the limited area, no plan amendment is required and this Board is satisfied with the landscaping proposed.

Additionally, by its revised site plan dated March 8, 2021, the applicant shifted the six parking spaces to the west resulting in less vegetation and trees being impacted.

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Therefore, Comment Five is overridden;

Comment Six requires a grading plan be prepared for the area of the six proposed parking spaces due to the change in In response to this comment, the elevation. applicant revised the site plan, revised March 8, 2021, by shifting the six parking spaces to the west and south, thereby avoiding the steeper grades near the eastern property line. Accordingly, this Board determined that a grading plan for this small area is not required and the submitted revised plan reflects that a reasonably level proposed parking area will be achieved. Therefore, Comment Six is either satisfied or overridden;

Comment Number Seven requested a dedicated turnaround area with pavement markings or gated fence to separate the office use traffic from the active loading zone on the rear warehouse building.

Motorists entering the site must turn around either at the first bay or last bay at the already-provided dedicated turn around area.

7

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Therefore, Comment Number 7 is either satisfied or overridden, as the Board believes the existing conditions are satisfactory.

Comment Number Eight directs the six proposed parking spaces be shifted to the south to increase safety for motorists attempting to parallel park in the northern most parking space. In response to this comment, the applicant revised the site plan, March 8, 2021, by shifting the six parking spaces to the south and thereby created additional space in front of the northern most parking space. This Board determined that upon a review of the revised plan, there is now sufficient room provided for a motorist to execute a parallel parking maneuver and to safely enter the northern most parking space. Therefore, the applicant has complied with Comment Number Eight;

Comment Number Nine requests an amendment to the bulk table, but the bulk table provided was established at the approval by this Board of the original site

1	Proceedings
2	plan in the LI-2 zoning district, Use
3	Group B, so the Board hereby overrides
4	Comment Number Nine; and
5	Comments Ten and Eleven are
6	informational and with which there will be
7	compliance.
8	Whereas, the Board referred the
9	applicant to the Town of Stony Point Zoning
10	Board of Appeals, ZBA, and by decision dated
11	June 17, 2021, the ZBA granted the
12	application and approved the area variance
13	with respect to the side yard; and
14	Whereas, a public hearing is not
15	required and the Planning Board in its
16	discretion indicated that a public hearing is
17	not necessary and one would not be conducted
18	on this application, and noted that a public
19	hearing was conducted on the application
20	before the ZBA.
21	Now, therefore, be it resolved that the
22	subject application for the amended site plan
23	approval relative to the subject premises is
24	approved, and the Chairman is hereby
25	authorized to sign same and to permit same to

1	Proceedings
2	be filed in the office of the Town Clerk,
3	upon payment of any of all outstanding fees
4	to the Town, subject and conditioned upon the
5	following:
6	Number One, all of the whereas
7	paragraphs are incorporated herein by
8	reference.
9	Number Two, Comments Number Five, Six,
10	Seven, and Nine of the Rockland County
11	Department of Planning letter dated March 2nd
12	of 2021, are hereby overridden for the
13	reasons and grounds stated and set forth by
14	this Board in the aforesaid whereas
15	paragraph.
16	All drainage work and improvements as
17	indicated on the site plan shall be completed
18	to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer.
19	All conditions and provisions of the
20	decision by the Zoning Board of Appeals dated
21	June 17, 2021.
22	Compliance with all other applicable
23	site plan requirements set forth in the site
24	plan regulations of the Town of Stony Point
25	and consistent with the conoral notes on the

www.courtreportingny.com Proceedings THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true and correct transcription of the original stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.