www.courtreportingny.com

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND TOWN OF STONY POINT : PLANNING BOARD - - - - - - - X IN THE MATTER OF RICHARD H. AND SANDRA L. KATT - - - - - - - X Town of Stony Point RHO Building 5 Clubhouse Lane Stony Point, New York July 22, 2021 7:01 p.m. **BEFORE:** THOMAS GUBITOSA, CHAIRMAN KERRI ALESSI, BOARD MEMBER MICHAEL FERGUSON, BOARD MEMBER MARK JOHNSON, BOARD MEMBER EUGENE KRAESE, BOARD MEMBER

JERRY ROGERS, BOARD MEMBER

ROCKLAND & ORANGE REPORTING 2 Congers Road, Suite 2 New City, New York 10956 (845) 634-4200

Proceedings

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. We'll go with the new application. This is Richard H. and Sandra L. Katt. Site plan, it's a conditional use located on the north side of East Main Street, on the west side of Wood Avenue. It's a new application. Thanks,

9 Dave.

MR. ZIGLER: Hi, I'm Dave Zigler from Atzl, Nasher and Zigler. I'm really a lot taller than I am on Zoom. I'm really a lot taller than I am on Zoom. If I can get this bad boy to work.

The project we're talking about is on East Main Street, the corner of Wood. So if you're going from 9W down to the river, this is the last street on your left. And this house is the red house that was recently for sale.

The house is sitting pretty close to the road, much closer than the ones preceding it. And then you have a garage in the back. And there used to be a barn over here, but that's gone.

Proceedings

Back in the 70s, this house went to the ZBA to become a two-family house. And it received all the variances. But unfortunately, it was never executed. They never drew a building permit, and they never received a C of O. So it was kind of lost.

It went from, over the years, from a two-family to a four-family. And then just recently, with it being for sale, it was found out, and it now converted it back to a two-family. So there's no apartments in this garage. And there's two apartments in the house.

That requires two things. It requires a special permit by this Board on a site plan, and this process also requires ZBA. John still has to make the -- where is he, over there -- still has to look at the variances. But we're looking at variance for overall area; variances for the two sheds; and probably go for variances for these structures, even though they're way older than the code.

There's no proposed paving. No proposed

Proceedings

addition. No, no construction. It's just a plan as it sits today. So if you go out and drive past this, there's nothing else going to be added.

There's plenty of parking. There's one space in the garage. And there's two, two to three spaces here, then two over here. We don't count these because they wouldn't be legal. But in reality, they do park there.

We had comments from the County. And we agreed to all of them. And I changed this garage. I added this to the two parking spaces. I changed it to one. And the other comments, we agree. So we're not asking for an override.

What I would ask you to do is if you want to set a site visit, or that's up to you. But we would ask you also to send us to the ZBA because I have to get variances. And if I don't get the variances, we really don't need the site plan because that's the protocol for a two-family. And that would be it, unless you have questions.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. John, I

Proceedings

2.2

know we talked about this today. You had some comments.

MR. HAGER: At the last meeting, it seemed that the Board wanted the Building Department to make a visit there and do an inspection, kind of get an inventory of what the existing conditions are. I haven't had a chance to write up a report on that. I can verbalize what myself -- John Hager is my name, the Building Inspector -- and my assistant building inspector, Tom Larkin, we visited there two days ago. I believe it was Tuesday.

And we met with Mrs. Katt, the owner.

And she showed us the premises. She showed us what the intentions are for how the house will now be used as two families. The previously owner cut up the garage into, looks like had been two dwelling units. The intention now is for both of those dwelling units to -- they're currently unoccupied and they're going to return to accessory space, storage, non habitable space. The -- so that was two of the four apartments.

Proceedings

2.2

The house itself had been used for three apartments at one point. The third floor attic space being one of them. Improvements were made at some point for separate staircase. There is actually I think three means of egress to the attic, one being a fire escape, two being stairs.

However, the current owner intends to return that space to unoccupied attic space. So you'll end up with two dwelling units within the house split over the two floors. It doesn't look like it will take much work to make those changes permanent. So that would be handled on the building permit if the Board does authorize the site plan.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Thank you, John.

MR. HAGER: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Other John, you have any comments?

MR. O'ROURKE: No. We reviewed this at the, at the TAC meeting because there's no exterior work really being done. It's really got to go to the ZBA. He's correct. It's a

7 1 Proceedings 2 four, four unit going back down to a two 3 unit. 4 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Max, 5 anything? 6 Again, as John said, MR. STACH: Yeah. 7 we reviewed this at the TAC. The only, the 8 only outstanding concern I had was about the 9 driveway access and sort of the drop curve 10 along the road. I guess when it was occupied 11 by four structures, there was parking all 12 over the place. And I had suggested if they 13 could provide shrubs or something to control 14 that along the road so we know people aren't 15 going to park on the side like, like they 16 were in the past. 17 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Thanks, Max. All 18 right. Does the Board have anything? 19 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: I make a motion to 20 send to the ZBA. 21 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Then 22 we'll set a site visit. All right, if the 23 Board doesn't have -- I'll take a motion to 24 send the applicant to the ZBA.

BOARD MEMBER ALESSI: I'll make the

25

```
8
1
                 Proceedings
2
    motion.
3
          CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Kerri made the
4
    motion.
              I need a second.
5
          BOARD MEMBER FERGUSON: I'll second.
6
          CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Jerry seconded.
7
    Mike seconded. We'll do Jerry, second. I
8
    got a motion, second. Any questions on it?
9
    All in favor?
10
          (Response of aye was given.)
11
          CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Opposed? Motion
12
     carries. All right, we'll send you to the
13
     ZBA.
14
          Site visit. Just, do you guys want to
15
    go on your own or you want to go as a group?
16
    All right, what's a good date? The next TAC
17
    meeting will be August 12th. Want to do the
18
     7th?
19
          BOARD MEMBER ROGERS:
                                August 7th?
20
          CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: That Saturday,
21
    August 7th? 8:00?
2.2
          BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Make it 8:30.
23
          CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: 8:30.
24
          BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: No, 8:00.
25
          CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: 8:00. All right,
```

www.courtreportingny.com

		9
1	Proceedings	
2	August 7. Make a motion that we do a site	
3	visit 8:00, August 7th.	
4	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: I'll make that	
5	motion, Mr. Chair.	
6	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Jerry made the	
7	motion. Second? I'll second. All in favor?	
8	(Response of aye was given.)	
9	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Opposed? All right,	
10	motion carries. We're going to August 7th	
11	will be the site visit. Good?	
12	MR. ZIGLER: Yes.	
13	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.	
14	MR. ZIGLER: One other thing. Does this	
15	have to go to the ARB? Because for site	
16	plan, you need ARB approval but no?	
17	MR. HAGER: It's not a new structure.	
18	MR. ZIGLER: Okay. Thank you very much.	
19	(Time noted: 7:09 p.m.)	
20		
21	000	
22		
23		
24		
25		

www.courtreportingny.com Proceedings THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true and correct transcription of the original stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.