www.courtreportingny.com

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
TOWN OF STONY POINT : PLANNING BOARD

----X
IN THE MATTER
OF
DUNKIN DONUT/MINI MART
AT WILLOW GROVE ROAD
----X
Town of Stony Point
RHO Building
5 Clubhouse Lane
Stony Point, New York

February 22, 2018

7:00 p.m.

BEFORE:

THOMAS GUBITOSA, CHAIRMAN
PETER MULLER, VICE-CHAIRMAN
MICHAEL FERGUSON, BOARD MEMBER
ERIC JASLOW, BOARD MEMBER
PAUL JOACHIM, BOARD MEMBER
JERRY ROGERS, BOARD MEMBER
MARY PAGANO, CLERK TO THE PLANNING BOARD

ROCKLAND & ORANGE REPORTING
2 Congers Road
New City, New York 10956
(845) 634-4200

2 1 Proceedings 2 3 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, next item 4 on the agenda is Dunkin' Donuts mini mart. 5 This is a site plan approval. And I just ask 6 if you have a cell phone, to put it on 7 vibrate. Go ahead, Mr. Joyce. 8 MR. JOYCE: I'm sorry, sir. 9 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: No, it's not a 10 public hearing. 11 MR. JOYCE: All right, thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Go ahead, Mr. Joyce. 13 You go ahead. You want to just -- you can 14 bring up the map. 15 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 16 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay. 17 MR. JOYCE: Dwight Joyce, I represent 18 the applicant. 19 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. This is 20 Dunkin' Donuts, and this is for the, just for 21 the site plan approval. Correct? 2.2 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. I quess 24 before we go on, I know at the last meeting 25 we talked about the fire truck access. John?

3 1 Proceedings 2 MR. O'ROURKE: Yeah, we reviewed the 3 fire truck access as provided on the plan. 4 And the town pumper truck, it does access 5 through the site according to regulations. 6 So we have no issue with it. 7 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. 8 Mr. Larkin? 9 MR. LARKIN: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: You -- with what Tom 11 has said. 12 MR. LARKIN: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay. 14 MR. LARKIN: We reviewed it. This is 15 the second time we reviewed it. 16 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay. And one other 17 thing, John. I think the applicant, there 18 were two drains they were putting by the 19 pumps? 2.0 MR. O'ROURKE: That is correct. Tn 21 response to some concerns of some citizens, 2.2 they added two additional field inlets over 23 by the pumps. And they're both provided with 24 like an oil water separator. They have to be 25 maintained by the applicant. But it would

4 1 Proceedings 2 take care of any small spills that occurred 3 in that area. 4 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Thank you, John. 5 All right, Max, do you have any comments? 6 MR. STACH: I have no further comments. 7 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Does the 8 Board, any further comments, questions? 9 BOARD MEMBER JOACHIM: I'm good. 10 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Steve? 11 MR. HONAN: Nothing further from me. 12 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. You want 13 to do the --14 MR. HONAN: I think Mr. Joyce wants 15 to --16 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, Mr. Joyce, go 17 ahead. I'm sorry. 18 MR. JOYCE: Oh, I don't have anything. 19 I thought you wanted to -- in case you had 20 questions, that's why. 21 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I think we're good. 2.2 All right, Steve, go ahead. 23 MR. HONAN: Good evening, all. My name 24 is Stephen Honan. I'm the Attorney for the 25 Planning Board. At this time, we have a

Proceedings

2.2

proposed resolution that I'm going to read for the Board's consideration. It does consist of about 15 pages, so please bear with me and be patient.

Resolution granting final site plan approval for the project Mini Mart/Dunkin' Donuts at Willow Grove Road by application of Stony Point Property, LLC, of 291 Willow Grove Road, Stony Point, New York, 10980, as owner.

Whereas, initially an Application dated
December 19, 2016, and a Short EAF, dated
January 3, 2017, were submitted and
thereafter a revised Application and a
revised Short EAF, dated April 4, 2017, were
submitted to the Planning Board of the Town
of Stony Point for site plan approval to
redevelop the site and expand the existing
building on the site from 1,410 feet to 3,396
square feet and to continue the operation of
a gasoline station and convenience store
business (with available Dunkin' Donuts
goods) thereon and with no proposed increase
in the number of gasoline pumps presently in

6

1	Proceedings
2	use on the premises, and in conformity with a
3	previous issued Decision of the Zoning Board
4	of Appeals for the Town of Stony Point (File
5	Number 87-44), dated February 4, 1988, which
6	granted use and area variances, and upon a
7	submitted proposed site plan entitled "Mini
8	Mart/Dunkin' Donuts at Willow Grove Road"
9	consisting of eight sheets, prepared by Atzl,
10	Nasher and Zigler, P.C., dated January 3,
11	2017, and last revised on December 24, 2017
12	(hereinafter, the Subject Application); and
13	concerning the premises designated as
14	Section 19.02, Block 4, Lot 28 on the Tax Map
15	of the Town of Stony Point, County of
16	Rockland, consisting of .886 acres, located
17	in the RR Zoning District, and situated at
18	the corner of Willow Grove Road and Algonquin
19	Drive at 291 Willow Grove Road, Stony Point,
20	New York, 10980 (hereinafter, the Subject
21	Premises); and
22	Whereas, this Board considered this
23	application and the proposed project pursuant
24	to the provisions of the New York State
25	Environmental Quality Review Act and on

7 1 Proceedings 2 January 26, 2017, determined that this 3 project met the requirements of a Type II 4 Action; and 5 Whereas, by letters dated January 31, б 2017, May 15, 2017, and October 18, 2017, the 7 Rockland County Department of Health, 8 pursuant to the requirements of the General 9 Municipal Law Section 239-1 and m, indicated, 10 inter alia, existing monitoring wells are to 11 be depicted on the plan, and an application 12 is to be made to the Rockland County 13 Department of Health for review of the storm 14 water management system for compliance with 15 the County Mosquito Code; and 16 Whereas, by letter dated March 6, 2017, 17 the applicant's engineering firm, Atzl, 18 Nasher and Zigler (hereinafter AN&Z) 19 responded to the comments of the 20 Rockland County Department of Health 21 indicating that an amended Plan will be 2.2 provided showing the location of existing 23 monitoring wells on the site and the 24 Applicant will comply with the provisions of 25 the County Mosquito Code; and

1	Proceedings
2	Whereas, by letters dated February 6,
3	2017, October 24, 2017, and January 9, 2018,
4	the Rockland County Highway Department
5	indicated the following comments for
6	consideration:
7	The existing Right of Way and the
8	Designated Street Line along Willow Grove
9	Road should be demonstrated on the site plan.
10	With respect to any land that may exist
11	between the Designated Street Line and the
12	existing Right of Way, the Applicant should
13	consider gratuitously dedicating same to the
14	County of Rockland;
15	The plan needs greater detail of the
16	curbing along the eastern property line and
17	where it transitions to Willow Grove Road.
18	The curbing should not extend too far towards
19	the roadway so as not to create a snow plow
20	hazard or an obstacle for neighboring
21	driveways;
22	A mountable curb shall be considered for
23	the proposed concrete island along Willow
24	Grove Road;

25

We concur with the current traffic flow

б

2.0

2.2

and parking configuration, however it may warrant internal "one-way" and "do not enter" signs to be posted;

A Rockland County Highway Department work permit will be required for the proposed construction and parking area improvements associated with this project, and must be secured before the start of construction thereon;

A traffic study shall be submitted to determine what impact, if any, of the proposed expansion and driveway reconfiguration will have upon the County Highway, Algonquin Drive and the intersection of Hammond and Willow Grove. The lines of sight from the access to the County Highway shall be demonstrated. Turning movements for delivery trucks, fuel, garbage, and any large vehicles that need to enter the site will be included; and

A drainage study with calculations shall be provided for our additional review; and

Whereas, by letter dated March 6, 2017, the applicant's engineers, AN&Z, responded to

2.0

2.2

2 the comments of the Rockland County

3 Department of Highways as follows:

A new revised Plan will be prepared which depicts the existing Right of Way and the Designated Street Line along Willow Grove Road and any land that may exist between the Designated Street Line and the existing Right of Way will be gratuitously dedicated to the County of Rockland;

An amended site plan will be submitted demonstrating greater detail along the eastern property line, particularly where it transitions to Willow Grove Road and the curbing will be detailed on the new Plan for the purposes of obtaining a Rockland County Highway permit;

The Site Plan will be amended to depict a mountable curb for the proposed concrete island along Willow Grove Road;

The Applicant will submit signage details for internal traffic control;

The Applicant will secure a

Rockland County Highway Department permit -work permit, that is -- prior to the start of

1	Proceedings
2	the proposed construction; and
3	Whereas, by letters dated February 22,
4	2017, May 23, 2017, and October 31, 2017, the
5	Rockland County Department of Planning,
6	pursuant to the requirements of the General
7	Municipal Law Section 239-l and m, indicated
8	and recommended, inter alia, the following
9	modifications:
10	A review must be completed by
11	the Rockland County Department of Highways
12	and any concerns addressed and required
13	permits obtained;
14	The comments in the January 31, 2017
15	letter from the Rockland County Department of
16	Health must be met;
17	A lighting plan shall be provided that
18	shows fields of illumination. This plan must
19	demonstrate that the intensity of the candle
20	lumens is less than 0.1 at the property line;
21	The bulk table lists measurements for
22	yard depths that are not indicated on the
23	site plan. In addition, several of the
24	measurements listed in the table do not match

These

the distances provided on the plans.

25

2.0

2.2

measurements must be provided on the plan and the discrepancies resolved;

The bulk table must indicate the bulk requirements that do not meet the town standards. It appears that variances are needed for front yard depth, side yard depth, and development coverage. An asterisk, or some symbol, must be used to illustrate the need for variances from these standards;

The Town of Haverstraw must be given the opportunity to review the proposal and its impact on the community character, traffic, water quantity and quality, drainage, storm water run off and sanitary sewer service.

The areas of countywide concern that directly impact the Town of Haverstraw must be considered and satisfactorily addressed, as well as any additional concerns about the proposal;

Prior to the start of construction or grading, a soil and erosion control plan shall be developed and in place for the entire site that meets the latest edition of the New York State Guidelines for Urban

1	Proceedings
2	Erosion and Sediment Control;
3	There shall be no net increase in the
4	peak rate of discharge from the site at all
5	design points;
6	We note that the Applicant has shown
7	that brick pavers will be used for the
8	service drive. To further reduce the
9	development coverage ratio, additional
10	pervious pavers must be used where possible
11	throughout the site, including in the parking
12	area;
13	The existing and any proposed signage
14	must conform to the Town's sign standards.
15	Any additional signage must be shown on the
16	plans;
17	To ensure that revisions to the plans
18	are noted, it is important to change the
19	revision date so that there is no confusion
20	with the plans;
21	An updated review must be completed by
22	the County of Rockland Department of Highways
23	and any concerns addressed and required
24	permits obtained from them;
25	Areas designated for snow removal must

Areas designated for snow removal must

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 that the plow drivers will know where to 4 place the snow piles. This will help to 5 protect the proposed landscaping from damage 6 due to the weight of the snow and salt 7 intrusion. In addition, providing specific 8 locations on the site for the snow piles will 9 reduce the use of parking spaces meant for the patrons of the use; 10

be clearly delineated on the site plan so

As per the October 18, 2017 letter from the Rockland County Department of Health, an application must be made to them for review of the storm water management system for compliance with the County Mosquito Code;

The current proposal exceeds the maximum development coverage requirements. The Applicant must incorporate pervious pavers to minimize or eliminate excess coverage;

We request the opportunity to review any variances which may be necessary to implement the proposed site plan;

The bulk table of the site plan indicates that the front setback is along Algonquin Drive and the side setback is on

1	Proceedings
2	the west side of the building. As Algonquin
3	Drive is along the western property line, the
4	bulk table is indicating that the property
5	line is both the front and side property
6	line. Section 215-26 of the Stony Point
7	zoning regulations state that a front yard
8	and a front setback shall be required on each
9	street line of a corner lot. The bulk table
10	must be corrected to show the appropriate
11	bulk requirements; and
12	Whereas, by letter dated March 6, 2017,
13	the applicant's engineers, AN&Z, responded to
14	the comments of the Rockland County
15	Department of Planning, as follows:
16	The Applicant will address all concerns
17	of the Rockland County Highway Department
18	during the planning process and road opening
19	permit process;
20	All comments of the Rockland County
21	Department of Health will be addressed during
22	the Planning process;
23	The lighting plan for the Project Site
24	will adhere to the Stony Point Code;
25	The bulk table set forth on the Site

	10
1	Proceedings
2	Plan shall comply with the Town of
3	Stony Point requirements for site plans;
4	The Applicant is not seeking variances
5	and none are required at this time;
6	The Applicant will not use pavers in
7	areas that require snow plowing; and
8	Whereas, this Board pursuant to the
9	General Municipal Law has provided the
10	neighboring municipality, the Town of
11	Haverstraw, with notice of this application
12	including the proposed site plans and the
13	revisions thereof and to date this Board has
14	not received any comment or correspondence
15	from the Town of Haverstraw concerning this
16	application; and
17	Whereas, by letter dated February 21,
18	2017, the New York State Department of
19	Environmental Conservation responded to the
20	Applicant's inquiry and confirmed that the
21	New York Natural Heritage Program database
22	has no records of rare or state-listed
23	animals and plants and significant natural
24	communities at or in the vicinity of this
25	project site; and

2.2

Whereas, the Applicant submitted a

Traffic Impact Study report, dated April 5,

2017, prepared by Harry Baker and Associates;

and

Whereas, by letter dated April 3, 2017, the applicant's engineers, AN&Z, responded to provisions of the Traffic Impact Study report prepared by Harry Baker and Associates, and advised the Planning Board as follows:

The revised site plan relocates the door to the new building to the middle of the east side of the expanded building and eliminates the existing front door by installing a "gas pump pay window" in the location of the former front door;

The Rockland County Highway Department requires a concrete island with signage to channel traffic movement that will eliminate illegal parking on the edge of travel of Willow Grove Road;

Along the front and east side of the building a curb and sidewalk will be installed to eliminate parking adjacent to the building;

2.2

A newly designed traffic pattern for the site will eliminate cars exiting side by side on the west side of the building;

Along the west side of the building between the building and Algonquin Drive, the parking area will be eliminated;

Vehicles exiting from Algonquin Drive heading to the store/site will be required to enter onto Willow Grove Road before entering into the site entrance; and

Whereas, by letter dated April 6, 2017, by the Applicant's engineers, AN&Z, to the Town Supervisor and in response to an informational meeting conducted on March 23, 2017, before the Planning Board, the Applicant agreed to provide signage along Algonquin Drive if requested and approved by the Town and the Town Police Department; the Applicant offered to install gates preventing entry onto the subject site during off hours by vehicles and pedestrians if such gates were requested by the Town Police and the

Whereas, this Board has received and

Town authorities; and

19

1	Proceedings
2	considered the correspondence, letters and
3	email messages from the citizens in the
4	surrounding community identifying areas of
5	concern to them regarding this proposed
6	application and consisting of the following
7	submissions: An undated three-page Memo to
8	this Board from, "Neighbors Bordering Dunkin'
9	Donuts"; A letter from Mr. Stephen Sanborn,
10	dated April 17, 2017; Letter of Ms. Ann
11	Schulman, dated April 21, 2017; Letter of
12	Mr. and Mrs. Evangelista, dated May 24, 2017;
13	Letter of Mr. Mark Hamilla, dated October 4,
14	2017; Letter of Mr. Kevin P. Maher, P.E.,
15	dated October 14, 2017; Letter of Ms. Susan
16	Filgueras, dated November 9, 2017; Email of
17	Ms. Filgueras, dated November 14, 2017;
18	Letter of S.P.A.C.E., S-P-A-C-E, dated
19	January 25, 2018; Email of Bob Marino, dated
20	January 31, 2018; and Email of Deidre
21	O'Connor, dated January 21, 2018; and
22	Whereas, this Board has received and
23	considered numerous signed Petitions of
24	residents in opposition to this application,
25	which are dated April 12, 2017, and entitled,

1 Proceedings 2 "Petition Against Proposed Dunkin' Donuts 3 3,055-foot Expansion"; and 4 Whereas, this Board has heard and 5 considered statements made by the area residents, many in opposition to the 6 7 Application and from the residents' counsel, 8 Kevin Conway, Esquire, their engineer, Lou 9 Luglio, P.E., and architect, Peter Pulice, 10 R.A., in further opposition to this 11 application; and 12 Whereas, this Board has received and 13 considered a four-page document entitled 14 "Zoning Review and Analysis", dated 15 October 20, 2017, prepared by Mr. Peter F. Pulice, R.A., an architect and planner; and 16 17 Whereas, a "Project Review Sheet", dated 18 November 3, 2017, by Lanc and Tully 19 Engineering and Surveying, P.C., (the Town's 20 consulting engineer) submitted to this Board 21 and the Applicant concerning a review of the 2.2 Applicant's Plans, last revised October 5, 23 2017, and the Drainage Report, last revised 24 October 27, 2017, and the following comments 25 were made:

Site Plan Comments:

2.0

2.2

One, a 12-foot concrete service drive is proposed along the west side of the property connecting the rear exit of the site to the gasoline pump drive. It is assumed this service drive will function as the required loading berth. Will this service drive be used for deliveries to the store and for fuel deliveries? Signage and other means should be provided to prevent customer access to the service drive. Construction details of the concrete service drive should be provided on the plans.

Number Two, truck turning movements should be provided for store delivery trucks, fuel delivery trucks and garbage trucks.

Number Three, Section 215-37 of the Town Code requires all parking areas with over five spaces abutting a residential district to be screened using a substantial wall, fence or thick hedge. Additional screening should be considered along the north, east, and west property lines to minimize noise and light spillover to the residential areas.

2.0

2.2

Number Four, roof leader locations and connections should be shown on the plans.

Number Five, existing and proposed water service connection should be shown on the plans.

Six, there appears to be a short section of freestanding curb at P-CB 4. This section of curb should be removed and the catch basin replaced with a flat grate catch basin.

Number Seven, the grading plan appears to show a low point in the grading along the west side of the building, directing runoff against the building. Grading should be revised in this area to direct runoff away from the building.

Number Eight, the existing storm water pipe crossing the east property line has a different pipe diameter and pipe material at the upstream and downstream ends. The size of the pipe should be confirmed and it should be investigated if the existing catch basin in this area connects to the pipes and if this structure allows the pipe size change.

Number Nine, construction details of the

2.0

2.2

pipe connection between P-CB 5 and the existing 50-inch by 31-inch CMPA pipe should be provided.

Number Ten, construction details for the proposed concrete box structures that are part of the proposed box culvert should be provided on the plans.

Number Eleven, in the event that the proposed on-site culvert and existing upstream/downstream culverts become inundated during a large storm, the overflows should be directed away from the neighboring properties and towards Willow Grove Road. The plans should be revised to show grading that will direct lighter flows -- I'm sorry, direct higher flows from the 18-inch culvert and stream from the rear property into the project. We would also suggest that a pipe connection between the eastern concrete box and P-CB 3 to provide a second path for water if the existing two culverts are full.

Number Twelve, grading and contours in entrance road to rear parking area should be checked. 314 contour appears to be missing.

1 Proceedings 2 Will grading allow runoff to reach Willow 3 Grove Road if the on-site catch basins are 4 full? 5 Number Thirteen, the proposed box 6 culvert should be inspected and cleaned 7 periodically to prevent blockages. Plans and 8 drainage report should describe the 9 maintenance items and schedule for the proposed box culvert maintenance. 10 11 Number Fourteen, it should be noted that 12 the proposed 18-inch storm water pipe in 13 Willow Grove Road right of way is run 14 parallel to and above an existing water main. 15 This design should be confirmed with Suez 16 Water. 17 Drainage Report Comments: 18 Number One, the drainage report provides 19 an analysis of the runoff from the project 2.0 site, as well as the 198-acre upstream 21 watershed. The analysis shows an increase in 2.2 flow directly from the site, but decreases at 23 the study point for the entire watershed for 24 the 10 and 100 year storms.

Number Two, maps showing the overall

25

2.0

2.2

watershed and time of concentration flow path should be provided with the report.

Number Three, it is our understanding that the owner of the neighboring property did not want this work performed. The drainage report should be revised to note that the downstream culverts are undersized and cannot be upgraded. If this is true, the report should include some language related to this.

Number Four, the report should be revised to include additional information explaining that the project is not a "land development activity" as defined in the Town Code and that no water quality treatment or quantity control is necessary. This explanation should describe in detail each of the requirements from the Town Code and if the project meets them.

Construction Cost Estimate Comments:

Number One, the costs used on the improvement costs estimate appear to be low and should be updated to current pricing.

Number Two, additionally, it is our

2.2

understanding that the Applicant is revising the application in response to comments from the public hearing and outside agencies as well as finalizing the traffic study. We would recommend that the next submission be a complete package including all items as to avoid any confusion. Further comments may be forthcoming based upon future submissions. A written response letter addressing each of the above comments should be provided with annexed submission by the Applicant.

Whereas, this Board received and considered a Traffic Impact Study, dated April 5, 2017, and a Traffic Impact Study, dated May 20, 2017, prepared by Harry Baker and Associates on behalf of the Applicant; and

Whereas, this Board received and considered a Memo prepared by the Town's traffic consultant, John L. Sarna, P.E., dated August 4, 2017, which reported on his continuing review of the Traffic Impact Studies of the Applicant's engineers; and

Whereas, this Board received and

1	Proceedings
2	considered a Traffic Impact Study, dated
3	November 17, 2017, prepared by John S.
4	Pavlovich, P.E., on behalf of the Applicant;
5	and
6	Whereas, this Board has received and
7	considered a Traffic Impact Study, dated
8	December 18, 2017, prepared by John S.
9	Pavlovich, P.E., and AN&Z on behalf of the
10	Applicant; and
11	Whereas, this Board has received and
12	considered a report prepared by its traffic
13	consultant, John L. Sarna, P.E., dated
14	January 22, 2018, which report reviewed the
15	findings and conclusions of the Applicant's
16	prior traffic reports and the Traffic Impact
17	Study of December 18, 2017, prepared by
18	John S. Pavlovich, P.E., and AN&Z, and
19	Mr. Sarna concluded, as follows:
20	Number One, the applicant's traffic
21	impact study methodology and the presentation
22	of the findings and supporting material are
23	acceptable,
24	Number Two, the additional site
25	generated traffic will not have a significant

б

2.0

2.2

impact on the surrounding road system in terms of roadway capacity and traffic operations,

Number Three, increased queue lengths and frequency of queuing can be expected on the Algonquin Drive approach to Willow Grove Road. These queue lengths may impact vehicles exiting the site, but should not impact any other driveways along Algonquin Drive,

Number Four, the 28 provided parking spaces should be sufficient for all but the highest occasional peak periods, provided that additional cashier positions are manned at peak business times; and

Whereas, this Board referred the Applicant to the Architectural Review Board of the Town of Stony Point, and by a Decision, dated November 15, 2017, the ARB issued an approval of the Application with conditions; and

Whereas, the Applicant has informed the Planning Board that the second floor addition to the building shall consist of attic space

1	Proceedings
2	the use of which shall be limited to the
3	storage of stock/inventory for the retail
4	business on the premises and the placement of
5	mechanicals for the expanded building and the
6	height of the ceiling in the attic space
7	shall be less than six feet three inches over
8	the attic floor and the site plan shall
9	contain a note consistent herewith; and
10	Whereas, by letter, dated November 30,
11	2017, the Applicant's engineers, AN&Z,
12	represented and stated to the Board its
13	planning rationale for the proposed site, and
14	that the subject application proposes an
15	improved vehicle circulation and parking
16	pattern in conjunction with the increase of
17	the existing building's footprint, and these
18	improvements will consist of:
19	One, an expanded parking area located to
20	the rear of the Mini-Mart with one way
21	traffic flow and delineated parking spaces;
22	Number Two, a dedicated exit driveway on
23	Algonquin Road to eliminate conflicting
24	maneuvers on Willow Grove Road;
25	Three, defined curb cuts on Willow Grove

1 Proceedings 2 Road to separate gasoline station ingress and 3 egress; and 4 Four, the relocation of the delivery 5 vehicles from the rear of the site to a more 6 southerly location on the west side of the 7 structure; 8 Additionally, the expanded Mini-Mart 9 building will accommodate ADA compliant 10 restrooms, provide storage space for 11 inventory and permit additional cash 12 registers to speed customer transactions; 13 There will be no seating proposed for 14 patrons on the premises; 15 There is no proposed increase in the 16 number of gas pumps on the site; 17 The Applicant submits that the proposal 18 will upgrade the facility and improve traffic 19 circulation at the site; 2.0 The Applicant submits that the proposed 21 use is similar in character, nature and kind 2.2 to the existing use of the premises; 23 The Applicant's traffic study for this 24 site indicates that the studied intersections 25 will operate at acceptable levels of service

		3
1	Proceedings	
2	with no significant traffic impacts to the	
3	area;	
4	The site design will not result in	
5	additional queuing and sufficient site	
6	distances can be achieved;	
7	The proposed site plan modifications	
8	will not contribute to any adverse effect on	
9	the physical or environmental conditions of	
10	the site or nearby property;	
11	The proposal will not create a	
12	substantially different effect on the	
13	neighborhood than current conditions;	
14	The redesign of parking and traffic	
15	circulation will eliminate conflicting	
16	maneuvers and improve conditions on the site	
17	and in the area;	
18	The Applicant submits that this Plan for	
19	the redevelopment and improvement of the site	
20	does not conflict with the Master Plan as the	
21	Master Plan anticipates an increase in	
22	traffic volumes on Willow Grove Road in the	
23	area where this site is located and that this	
24	Plan will modernize and upgrade the mini-mart	

facility and will not adversely impact

25

1	Proceedings
2	traffic; the Applicant further submits that
3	this plan can be achieved with no detrimental
4	impacts to the neighborhood; and
5	Whereas, the Applicant has submitted a
6	drainage study, entitled, "Drainage Report
7	prepared for Dunkin' Donuts", last revised
8	November 20, 2017, as prepared by the
9	Applicant's engineers, AN&Z and
10	Whereas, by Memo, dated November 28,
11	2017, of John J. O'Rourke, P.E., of Lanc and
12	Tully Engineering and Surveying, P.C. (the
13	Town's consulting engineer), submitted a
14	"Project Review Sheet" to the Board and to
15	the Applicant concerning his further review
16	of this Application and the last revised
17	plans of November 16, 2017, and the
18	Applicant's report entitled "Drainage Report
19	prepared for Dunkin' Donuts", last revised
20	November 20, 2017, as prepared by the
21	Applicant's engineers, AN&Z, and the Town's
22	consulting engineer made the following
23	comments:
24	The construction details of the concrete
25	service drive should be provided on the Plan;

2.0

2.2

The existing water service connection should be shown on the plans;

The water service connections will be reviewed by the Rockland County DPW and once they are satisfied, they shall provide correspondence concerning their review of this connection to the Planning Board;

Construction details for the proposed concrete box structures are provided on our sheet 7 of the proposed plans and it appears that the box culvert is 64 inches wide and it is recommended that prior to construction or issuance of a building permit, the final design plans/shop drawings be provided to the Town Engineer for review and approval;

The plans currently show a 314.0 foot spot elevation on the west side of the parking lot access road which is lower than the surrounding contours and spot elevations, and accordingly the grading along this access road should be checked and corrected where necessary;

It should be noted that the proposed 18 inch storm water pipe in Willow Grove Road

2.0

2.2

right of way is under -- is run parallel to and above an existing water main and a review and approval from Suez Water regarding this storm water pipe should be provided to the Planning Board;

As the plan now proposes a porous pavement for portions of the parking area, the construction details for the porous pavement should be provided on the plans and any relevant design information should be provided in the Storm Water Management Design Report and maintenance notes and schedules should be provided on the plans as well;

The plan should clarify if the existing chain link fence along Algonquin Drive will remain or be removed;

As the Applicant is revising the cost estimate for this project a revised estimate will be submitted in the near future;

Future comments will be forthcoming based upon future submissions by the Applicant;

It is recommended that the Applicant provide a written response letter addressing

1 Proceedings 2 comments raised by the public and the 3 Applicant should submit same with the next 4 submission; 5 The Applicant's revised traffic study has been submitted and is currently being б 7 reviewed by the Town's traffic consultant; 8 and 9 Whereas, a two-page Memorandum to the 10 Planning Board, dated December 6, 2017, from 11 Max Stach, AICP, of Nelson, Pope and Voorhis, 12 LLC, the planning consultant to the Town, 13 recommended, inter alia, that the Site Plan 14 be amended to specify a deer resistant 15 evergreen screen be planted on the northerly 16 portion of the site along with other 17 amendments to the plan; and 18 Whereas, by letter to the Planning 19 Board, dated December 7, 2017, from Kevin 20 Maher, P.E., a member of the community, he 21 advised the Board that he was opposed to the 2.2 Application and submitted his review of the 23 following items previously submitted by the 24 Applicant: One, the Site Plans; Two, the

25

Storm Water Management Design Report, dated

1	Proceedings
2	July 30, 2017, of AN&Z and the Traffic
3	Impact Study of John Pavlovich; and
4	Whereas, by a 12-page letter to the
5	Planning Board, dated December 7, 2017, AN&Z
6	responded to that portion of Kevin Maher's
7	letter of December 7, 2017, concerning his
8	review of the Storm Water Management Design
9	Report; and
10	Whereas, AN&Z submitted a letter to the
11	Planning Board, dated December 27, 2017,
12	consisting of 11 pages, responding to the
13	general provisions of Kevin Maher, P.E.'s
14	December 7, 2017 letter to the Planning
15	Board; and
16	Whereas, AN&Z submitted a letter to the
17	Planning Board, dated December 27, 2017,
18	consisting of four pages, responding to the
19	comments found in the letter, dated
20	November 28, 2017, by the Town's engineering
21	consultant, Lanc and Tully, P.C.; and
22	Whereas, by a 21-page letter to the
23	Planning Board, dated January 22, 2018, from
24	Kevin Maher, P.E., he submitted his comments
25	to the letter of AN&Z, dated December 27,

2017; and

2.0

2.2

Whereas, by a letter to the Planning Board, dated January 26, 2018, from Kevin Maher, P.E., he commented upon the emergency vehicle truck turning radii as depicted on Page 8 of the Site Plan; and

Whereas, Mr. Thomas Larkin, the Fire Inspector to the -- Fire Inspector for the Town of Stony Point, reviewed the letter of Kevin Maher, P.E., dated January 26, 2018, and on February 22, 2018, he advised the Board that the truck turning radii on the Site Plan were depictions for fire trucks and that the proposed Plans depict sufficient access for fire trucks and emergency vehicles and in the event of a fire at the proposed facility there is sufficient access to the site to permit firefighting activities to be conducted; and

Whereas, at the January 25, 2018 Public Meeting the Board heard and considered statements made by Mr. Lou Luglio, P.E., in opposition to this application concerning his opinion that the building addition will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

generate a significant increase in traffic; that the proposed site access plan will adversely affect traffic safety; and traffic operations -- I'm sorry, and traffic operation and the Level of Service at the intersection of Algonquin Drive and Willow Grove Road will be adversely impacted; and Whereas, by a two-page letter to the Planning Board, dated February 1, 2018, from AN&Z, and their Traffic Consultant, John Pavlovich, P.E., the Applicant responded to the comments made by Mr. Lou Luglio, P.E., and advised the Board that the proposed expanded site is not a "retail destination" use" that is expected to generate new traffic, but is instead an ancillary use where most of the patron traffic is generated by vehicle trips on the roadway that pass the site, and there is no provision in the Application for patron tables and chairs or for a drive-up window for take-out; and the proposed site access plan for the gas pumps and the Mini-Mart is definitely a public

safety improvement and the proposed plan will

1

2 control access and eliminate two access 3 points, and all vehicles for gas or the 4 Mini-Mart will enter, would enter at the east 5 end of the site frontage and those vehicles 6 stopping for gas would exit at the west end 7 of the gas pump island, while the Mini-Mart 8 patrons would exit on Algonquin Drive and 9 enter Willow Grove Road at a stop sign 10 controlled intersection, and; Public Safety 11 is better served by consolidating traffic 12 flow at the stop sign controlled intersection 13 of Willow Grove Road and Algonquin Drive and 14 it will allow drivers to safely enter the 15 traffic stream on Willow Grove Road from 16 Algonquin Drive, and; except for the weekday 17 a.m. peak hour, there is no appreciable 18 change in the Level of Service at this 19 intersection from the four peak hours 20 analyzed, and the capacity analysis 21 demonstrates that Level of Service for the 2.2 Algonquin Drive approach is expected to 23 decrease from "B" to "C" during the weekday 24 a.m. peak hour, and this expected change in 25 Level of Service equates to a change in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

average stopped delay per vehicle from 14.5 seconds to 22.3 seconds; and

Whereas, the Planning Board received the follow comments and concerns from the public: That the expanded Mini-Mart and gas station will operate 24 hours a day in the manner of a truck stop; That the expanded facility will include additional exterior lighting which will improperly illuminate the neighbors' properties; That the operation of the expanded facility is likely to result in an increase in the number of deliveries to the site as compared to the current facility, thereby increasing traffic and noise; That patrons of the expanded facility will likely generate litter and be a nuisance to the surrounding neighbors; That the business presently operates with an unsightly storage container situated to the rear of the building, and; The neighbors complained that the Applicant did not indicate the future use that will be made of the expanded facility, and that the public was given no assurances in that regard; and

41

Proceedings

1

2	Whereas, the Applicant by its attorney
3	and engineer, addressed the public's concerns
4	and advised the Planning Board, as follows:
5	Upon completion of the proposed project, the
6	business will operate on the same schedule as
7	it presently operates, and it will continue
8	to be open to the public from 5:00 a.m. to
9	9:00 p.m. daily, with no change in the
10	future; The exterior lighting proposed on the
11	site plan will be LED lighting fixtures which
12	will be downward directed so as to avoid
13	light spillage off of the property and
14	illumination at the property boundaries will
15	be consistent with the Town Code; The
16	proposed building expansion includes storage
17	space, particularly in the attic, which will
18	result in the need for less deliveries to the
19	site per week; The proposed Site Plan calls
20	for the installation of trash receptacles,
21	with one in the front of the store and two in
22	the rear parking area which will avoid
23	littering and trash being on the ground in
24	the neighborhood; The proposed Site Plan
25	calls for the installation of bathrooms

Proceedings

2.0

2.2

inside of the expanded building for use by
the patrons; The storage container which is
presently located in the rear of the existing
building will be removed as the proposed
building expansion includes space for storage
of stock and merchandise, and; The future use
of the expanded facility will be the same as
it is today, that being, the sale of gasoline
with no increase in the number of gas pumps,
and the continuation of the convenience store
use with the sale of Dunkin' Donuts products;
and

Whereas, by a two-page letter/memo to the Planning Board, dated February 5, 2018, from AN&Z, the Applicant's engineer advised the Board that in response to public concern, the Applicant is now proposing the inclusion on the Site Plan of a water quality mitigation measure, in order to capture and treat storm water runoff mixed with gasoline in the event that gasoline is accidently spilled in the gas station area, consisting of oil and sediment runoff filters to be installed below the two catch basins located

2.0

2.2

entrance from Willow Grove Road, which will be maintained in the future by the Applicant; and the Applicant notes that due to the fact that the site is less than one acre in area, it is below the threshold limit for Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan requirements and therefore, no quality and peak flow attenuation is required for this site; and

Whereas, a duly noticed public hearing was held on September 28, 2017, at which date the public hearing was conducted and continued to October 26, 2017, and at which date the public hearing was conducted and continued to December 7, 2017, at which time the hearing was conducted and continued to January 25, 2018, at which time the public hearing was conducted, concluded, and closed, with the proviso that the Board would accept and consider additional written comments and submissions concerning this application up to and including February 1, 2018. This application was an agenda item before this Board at its regularly scheduled meeting

2.0

2.2

2 tonight on February 22, 2018.

Any resolution that the Board will be voting on tonight for approval would also be conditioned upon the following items:

Number One, all "whereas" paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as set forth in full herein.

Number Two, all conditions of the variances and Decision previously granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Number Three, the Decision of approval by the Architectural Review Board, dated November 15, 2017, is modified to substitute Hardie Board siding for use on the building's exterior in place of the vinyl siding depicted on the plans.

All other applicable site plan requirements set forth in the site plan regulations of the Town of Stony Point Code and consistent with the General Notes and other entries on the site plan.

Number Five, there shall be no outdoor storage of goods or merchandise. The existing storage container located to the

1	Proceedings
2	rear of the building shall be removed.
3	And Six, an oil and sediment runoff
4	filter system is to be installed below the
5	two catch basins, two catch basin grates
6	located at the left and right sides of the
7	site entrance from Willow Grove Road, the
8	product material to be approved by the
9	Building Inspector and the Town's engineer,
10	and same is to be maintained by the
11	Applicant.
12	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Thank you,
13	Mr. Honan.
14	MR. HONAN: And that's the reading of
15	the proposed resolution.
16	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. That's
17	the proposed resolution granting final site
18	approval. I need a motion.
19	All right, we could do a discussion.
20	Steve, we have a quick question.
21	VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: Page 3. The bulk
22	table indicate that the bulk requirements do
23	not meet the Town standards. It appears that
24	variances are needed for front yard depth,

side yard depth, development coverage. An

25

2.0

2.2

asterisk, or some symbol, must be used to illustrate the need for variances for these standards.

Page 5, Paragraph 3. The Applicant is not seeking variances and none are required at this time.

How can that be? We're saying they need them, and then it says here that they're not seeking them, or are they required.

MR. HONAN: Keep in mind that this resolution cites all of the items that we received. The items that were received early on in the planning process were refined. The plans were refined, the plans were changed.

So what you're looking there, look at the date that it came in that you're referring to. And then there's later reviews by our consulting engineers for the Town, which accepted them. There were no variances needed here. What they're responding to were comments that if they were needed, they would be mentioned on there.

And then the plans were refined through this process. There's been numbers of

1 Proceedings 2 revisions. And you'll note that the last 3 revised plans that are submitted are 4 December 24, 2017. This matter started back 5 in, I think January of 2017. So it was a 6 whole year's worth of resolutions and 7 revisions. 8 VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: All right. So 9 the final finding is that there are no 10 variances. 11 MR. HONAN: Correct. 12 VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: I have one more 13 question. Page 11, Paragraph 5, they have 14 talk about the plans concurrently showing a 15 314 spot elevation on the west side of the 16 parking lot access road which is lower than 17 the surrounding contours and spot elevations, 18 and accordingly the grading along this access 19 road, it says should be checked and corrected 2.0 where necessary. Shouldn't that say will be 21 checked? 2.2 MR. HONAN: Well, it's -- I think the 23 engineer is questioning whether that 24 elevation is correct. And it's asking the

applicant to confirm whether it is.

25

```
1
                 Proceedings
2
         VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: Well, then it
3
     still shouldn't, it shouldn't say should be
 4
     checked. It will be checked and corrected if
5
    necessary.
6
         MR. HONAN: Apparently, it was because
7
     the -- if it was checked, and it is
8
    acceptable because the consulting engineer
9
    for the Town indicated that it is acceptable.
10
         VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: So it has been
11
     checked.
12
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA:
                              John has checked it.
13
         MR. O'ROURKE: Yeah, they checked it.
14
         VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: So it's no longer
15
     should. It has been checked.
16
         MR. O'ROURKE: We basically politely
17
    pointed out to them they should check their
18
    grades. They did check their grades.
19
    revised those contours in that area and
2.0
    revised the plans.
21
         VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: All right.
2.2
    where it says should, it already has been
23
    done. Is that correct?
24
         MR. O'ROURKE: Yeah, that was our
25
     comment letter to the applicant.
```

```
49
1
                 Proceedings
2
          VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: Right. And where
3
     it says Paragraph 6, the Suez, regarding the
 4
     storm water pipe should be provided to the
5
    Planning Board, is that going to be provided?
6
     It's another one of those should words.
7
    want to know if that's been done.
8
          MR. O'ROURKE: Well, again, that was in
9
    our comment letter.
10
          VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER:
11
          MR. O'ROURKE: Per the applicant --
12
          VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER:
                                 It's Paragraph 6
13
    on Page 11.
14
          MR. ZIGLER: I didn't hear what the
15
    question.
16
          MR. HONAN: It's about the placement of
17
     the Suez Water main vis-a-vis one of the
18
    drainage pipes.
19
                       Oh, that. That would be
          MR. ZIGLER:
20
     checked in the field when it's exposed at
21
     time of construction.
2.2
          VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: So it will be
23
     checked.
24
          MR. ZIGLER:
                       Yes.
25
                                 I'm just checking
          VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER:
```

```
50
1
                 Proceedings
2
    because should gives the wiggle room of not
3
    doing.
 4
         MR. ZIGLER:
                       No, no, no.
5
         VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: In the first
6
     case, it's already been done. In this case,
7
     it will be checked?
8
         MR. ZIGLER: Yes.
9
         VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER:
                                 Okay. Just one
10
    more. Paragraph 8, the plan should clarify
11
     if the existing chain link fence along
12
    Algonquin Drive will remain or removed.
13
     Should gives wiggle room. It will be
14
    checked?
15
         MR. HONAN: It has.
16
         VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: It has.
                                          So
17
     that's already been addressed.
18
         MR. HONAN: Correct.
19
         VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: That's my
20
    questions. Thank you, Mr. Honan.
21
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Thank you,
2.2
    Mr. Honan. All right, any other comments?
23
         All right, I have a resolution.
                                           I need
24
    a motion to accept it.
25
         BOARD MEMBER JOACHIM: I make a motion
```

www.courtreportingny.com

		51
1	Proceedings	
2	to accept.	
3	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I need a second.	
4	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: I'll second.	
5	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Mary,	
6	just poll the Board. This is the final	
7	resolution granting final site plan approval.	
8	THE CLERK: Mr. Muller?	
9	VICE-CHAIRMAN MULLER: Yes.	
10	THE CLERK: Mr. Joachim?	
11	BOARD MEMBER JOACHIM: Yes.	
12	THE CLERK: Mr. Jaslow?	
13	BOARD MEMBER JASLOW: Yes.	
14	THE CLERK: Mr. Rogers?	
15	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Yes.	
16	THE CLERK: Mr. Ferguson?	
17	BOARD MEMBER FERGUSON: Yes.	
18	THE CLERK: Chairman Gubitosa?	
19	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yes.	
20		
21	000	
22		
23		
24		
25		

Proceedings

THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true and correct transcription of the original stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.

Rockland and Orange Reporting rowork@courtreportingny.com - (845) 634-4200