www.courtreportingny.com

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
TOWN OF STONY POINT : PLANNING BOARD

-----X
IN THE MATTER
OF
NINE HOLT DRIVE
-----X
Town of Stony Point
RHO Building
5 Clubhouse Lane
Stony Point, New York
January 25, 2018
8:45 p.m.

BEFORE:

THOMAS GUBITOSA, CHAIRMAN
PETER MULLER, VICE-CHAIRMAN
MICHAEL FERGUSON, BOARD MEMBER
ERIC JASLOW, BOARD MEMBER
PAUL JOACHIM, BOARD MEMBER
JERRY ROGERS, BOARD MEMBER

ROCKLAND & ORANGE REPORTING
2 Congers Road
New City, New York 10956
(845) 634-4200

2 1 Proceedings 2 3 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Next on the agenda 4 is Nine Holt. We're going to put this map 5 up. Next is Nine Holt Drive. It's a site 6 plan located on the south side of Holt Drive, 7 588 feet east of South Liberty. And this is 8 a review. All right, Mr. Zigler, give us --9 MR. ZIGLER: Dave Zigler, Atzl, Nasher 10 and Zigler. 11 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Guys. 12 MR. ZIGLER: This is Pat Magee behind 13 me, way back. 14 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay. 15 MR. ZIGLER: Basically, we've been in 16 front of the Board with this application for 17 about, probably about six or seven months. 18 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Correct. 19 MR. ZIGLER: We went out, I think it was 20 last winter --21 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Last winter. 2.2 MR. ZIGLER: -- when we first looked at 23 the site, because it was cold. But we've 24 amended this map. I know it's kind of

illegal to do that, I heard.

25

Proceedings

2.2

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: George, can you guys -- thank you. We got maps in the back. Go ahead.

MR. ZIGLER: Basically, we've changed the plan from the beginning, which extended for variances. We changed it again the second time. We still need some variances because of the buffer. So what we've done is finally brought this map into compliance with the code.

We're going to hold the front wall of the building as the setback, which is basically 50 foot. And then we're going to hold the side wall for the side yard. And then rebuild the rest of the building, add an addition to it, and we're going to end up with a building of roughly 24,000 square foot with two truck docks.

The original building right now has two truck docks. We'll end up with parking on the east side. The original building has parking in the south. And we've submitted the lighting plan, landscaping. We're going to go to the ARB. So tonight, we would just

4 1 Proceedings 2 like to ask to set a public hearing next 3 month. 4 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN MULLER: Make a motion to 6 set a public hearing. 7 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, Pete made 8 a motion to set the public hearing for 9 February 22nd. 10 BOARD MEMBER FERGUSON: Second. 11 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Mike seconded. All 12 in favor? 13 (Response of aye was given.) 14 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Opposed? All right, 15 motion carries. We'll set the public mering 16 for February 22nd. Max, you want to go over 17 the Part 2? 18 MR. STACH: Yeah, absolutely. 19 provided a memorandum to the Board dated 2.0 January 23rd. We had several technical 21 comments on the application that we expect 2.2 the applicant will review in due course, as 23 well as some comments on the EAF Part 1. 24 have provided a draft EAF Part 2 for review 25 by the Board.

Proceedings

2.0

2.2

We've identified the following areas of impact. Impact on land, from soil disturbance, potential erosion. Impact on water from storm water runoff. Impact on groundwater. This is actually a small impact, but we just note that additional groundwater will be used.

Impact on plant and animals. The EAF
Part 1 indicated threatened and endangered
species may exist on the site, and the
project sponsor should query the Natural
Heritage Database. It is not likely that the
actual species exist on-site given this
location and current disposition. But it's
regular practice when that is checked off on
EAF Part 1. You do have to do the query and
address the potential of that.

Impact on transportation. The applicant should address the increased truck traffic from the site. And lastly, impact on human health. The applicant should detail the disturbances, including noise and truck traffic, and how that could potentially impact North Rockland school, the Learning

6 1 Proceedings 2 Ladder, and Helen Hayes Hospital. 3 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. 4 you'll do that with the Part 2? 5 MR. STACH: No, the applicant would 6 submit a draft Part 3 EAF. 7 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right. 8 MR. STACH: That document ultimately has 9 to be this Board's, so you would have to 10 agree with what he puts in there. And then 11 you would adopt it as your own document. 12 prepare it yourself. Part 2 tonight you 13 would adopt as prepared by --14 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, so I have 15 a motion to adopt the Part 2 as prepared 16 by -- oh, dated 1/23/2018, for the project 17 Nine Holt. So this is Part 2 for that 18 project. I need a motion to adopt it. 19 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: I'll make that 20 motion. 21 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Jerry made the 2.2 motion. 23 BOARD MEMBER FERGUSON: Second. 24 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Mike Ferguson 25 seconded. Any objections? All in favor?

www.courtreportingny.com

		7
1	Proceedings	
2	(Response of aye was given.)	
3	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Opposed? All right	
4	so we adopted the Part 2 unanimous.	
5	MR. ZIGLER: Max, do you have a copy of	
6	that? I'll get started, quick turn around.	
7	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We'll see you next	
8	month for the public hearing.	
9		
10	000	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Proceedings THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true and correct transcription of the original stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.