

1 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2 TOWN OF STONY POINT : PLANNING BOARD

3 - - - - - X

IN THE MATTER

4 OF

EAGLE BAY

5 - - - - - X

Town of Stony Point
RHO Building
5 Clubhouse Lane
Stony Point, New York
July 23, 2020
8:07 p.m.
(via Zoom)

9

10 BEFORE:

11

THOMAS GUBITOSA, CHAIRMAN

12

KERRI ALESSI, BOARD MEMBER

ERIC JASLOW, BOARD MEMBER

13

MARK JOHNSON, BOARD MEMBER

EUGENE KRAESE, BOARD MEMBER

14

JERRY ROGERS, BOARD MEMBER

15

16

17

ROCKLAND & ORANGE REPORTING

2 Congers Road

18

New City, New York 10956

(845) 634-4200

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Proceedings

2

3

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Next item on the
4 agenda is Eagle Bay. Dave, it's just, you're
5 just giving us a quick update?

6

MR. ZIGLER: Yes. Are you ready?

7

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah. You're going
8 to be that quick?

9

MR. ZIGLER: Basically, we went to the
10 workshop, and we added some questions from
11 several Planning Board Members that had to
12 deal with traffic. And we had Phil Greeley
13 there. We also updated everybody to the
14 position we're in now. As for the rest of
15 the world, we kind of got slowed up as we
16 were moving through, getting responses.

17

But we have gotten responses from CSX.

18

And we did our sewer investigation and

19

created a report, and John O'Rourke reviewed

20

that. And we have some comments to answer.

21

We've discussed with Suez the extension of

22

water and went to, into a contract, a signed

23

contract with both on-site improvements and

24

off-site improvements.

25

And we've went to the ARB. We went to

1 Proceedings

2 the ARB for signage, for banners, for the
3 buildings themselves, the exterior of all
4 five buildings, for the color of the walkway.
5 And we're still in front of the ARB for the
6 finishing work on the fishing pier, which
7 includes a covered area at the end. And also
8 for the lights. There was a question with
9 the light color, and we found some material
10 for that. And we did receive approval for
11 the benches and the trash containers.

12 So we moved forward. And what we're
13 slated to do, we laid out a path at the
14 workshop. And the intention is to deliver in
15 electronic form a review document for the
16 Board and advisers to the Board. That would
17 be next week.

18 And then we were going to ask for a
19 special meeting in August just for the
20 acceptance of that document, the final. And
21 then we would move on to circulating that for
22 the public and have a public hearing, and
23 also move on with the site plan. And that
24 would be some revisions, according to the ARB
25 and according to the Board as we move

1 Proceedings

2 forward, and also some of the revisions asked
3 by your professionals as far as engineering
4 and some, and some other details.

5 So that's where we're at. And we just
6 wanted to get everybody together on the same
7 page. And if there's any questions, Phil
8 Greeley's on the line because there is
9 usually some questions about the traffic on
10 this. And any other questions, we'd be
11 willing to go through.

12 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.

13 MR. ZIGLER: And hopefully, next
14 Wednesday you'll, next Wednesday or Thursday
15 you'll have an electronic final to review.

16 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Then
17 we'll go for comments. We'll go to the
18 Board. Anyone of the Board for any comments
19 right now that you want to ask Dave until we
20 can look at the documents?

21 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: You know, Tom, I'd
22 just like to say a few things, you know.

23 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Go ahead.

24 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: We're only allowed
25 to have three Board Members, as we all know,

1 Proceedings

2 at these TAC meetings. So I feel a lot of
3 times the rest of the Board is unaware of
4 what's even going on. Because maybe it's a
5 lack of communication. Well, besides that.

6 So I have a couple of questions that we
7 talked about. And I'd like to just state
8 them to put them on the record. And if they
9 pop up to another Board Member, we could deal
10 with them down the road.

11 A couple of issues. Let's start
12 with the -- let me ask you this, Dave. What
13 kind of agreement or notification did you get
14 from CSX regarding lowering the road on
15 Hunter Place?

16 MR. ZIGLER: We have, we have two
17 permits, two permits -- actually, three
18 permits in. We need a lateral permit for the
19 sewer. We have to replace the sewer
20 underneath it. And that's an expedited
21 permit. And we also have to upgrade the
22 water that's underneath it. And that's an
23 expedited permit. And the process of that
24 was also lower the grade by one foot.

25 There was some questions about lowering

1 Proceedings

2 the grade, that it would make a puddle there.

3 But in our site plan, we have a drain, a
4 direct drain, a main line drain from that
5 area to the Hudson River. And the outlet of
6 that is above high high tide. So we're not
7 going to create a puddle there.

8 So we're going to meet the code for the
9 height. And we'll also be able to fix the
10 sewer break that's underneath it. And also
11 upgrade the water line from Hunter into the
12 site. As you see, there's plenty of water
13 line improvements going on down there now on
14 Hunter in that area.

15 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Correct. Okay. I
16 just, like I said, all my questions are going
17 to be directed to the rest of the Board
18 having some knowledge. So you're stating
19 you're going to have three different permits
20 for the underpass on Hunter Road, whatever
21 you want to call that thing, correct?

22 MR. ZIGLER: Yes.

23 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Okay. My concern
24 before always has been, you've had a traffic
25 study. We had a traffic study regarding 9W

1 Proceedings

2 and Tomkins Avenue. And you came up with the
3 fact that you're going to monitor to this
4 area, going to put some money in escrow to
5 cover traffic of about, between 100,000 and
6 \$170,000, if I'm not, if I'm correct.

7 And in all honesty, I've been on the
8 Planning Board a long time. We've made a lot
9 of mistakes. We always seem to go out and
10 say yeah, it's going to happen. We have
11 money sitting in the back pocket. And once
12 we approve this, it will never, ever happen.

13 And that's always been a concern of mine
14 because I'm in the area quite a bit. I feel
15 it's going to be a serious consideration. So
16 that's my feeling on that.

17 MR. HONAN: Gene, are you talking about
18 the traffic signal that might be needed in
19 the future? Is that what you're referring
20 to?

21 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Yes, I am.

22 MR. HONAN: Okay.

23 MR. ZIGLER: May I respond to that?

24 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Sure.

25 MR. ZIGLER: Well, basically, that's no

1 Proceedings

2 different than exactly what you did for
3 Commerce Bank. If you remember, Commerce
4 Bank had a traffic report. And they
5 questioned, the State did, further
6 development on 9W would create a bad
7 situation at Washburns Lane. And there was
8 money. And there was also piping put in for
9 a traffic light. And that, that never met
10 the count. So that was never installed.

11 The second one was Stop and Shop. When
12 we did Stop and Shop, there was cause for
13 further development at the end of Holt would
14 overload the right turn coming from
15 Haverstraw. So that would be if you're
16 coming up from Haverstraw, past the Ford
17 dealer, you want to make a right turn on
18 Holt, there was money set aside for that
19 improvement if it didn't meet the code.

20 So basically what Phil -- and Phil's
21 here to answer. He suggested that -- well,
22 I'll let Phil talk. Phil, you want to
23 finish, please? I might have lost him. But
24 basically --

25 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Then let me

1 Proceedings

2 comment, because I've been kind of vocal
3 about the two things you just brought up,
4 being on the Planning Board for that amount
5 of time. Number one, the whole traffic
6 situation over at CVS and now Sterling Bank,
7 you know, I was never comfortable with it.
8 And my personal opinion -- and I'm not an
9 expert, with all your expert people with
10 traffic and conditions like that -- that's
11 really not a good plan that we approved. And
12 I'm there a lot. And I go to both the bank
13 and to CVS. You know, you may get through
14 the intersection, but after that, it's
15 dangerous.

16 Going back down to Shop Rite on the
17 first entrance where you make the left turn,
18 that roadway should have went all the way to
19 northernmost part. That happens to be a
20 dangerous spot because myself shouldn't do
21 it, but I do do it. I do make that, I do
22 come around between cars and almost get hit.

23 So there's two safety issues that have
24 bothered me from the beginning. And I'm
25 trying to prevent that from happening with

1 Proceedings

2 this thing, with this project, because this
3 project is enormous. So I'm just showing you
4 my concerns.

5 I know Jerry has -- I don't know if
6 Jerry is going to comment. He had some
7 issues with the underpass under Tomkins. But
8 at this point, these are the two issues that
9 seem to be bothering me the most.

10 Getting into the water with Suez, and
11 into the sewer situation, and what kind of
12 condition that's in. You know, again, you're
13 going to have all these experts come in here.
14 But I'm trying to live in the real world.
15 And I'm not, you know, being too negative.
16 I'm just trying to be in the real world, so.

17 MS. MELE: Can I comment briefly? I
18 just wanted to comment briefly because I've
19 had several applications where this issue has
20 arisen. We've put money in escrow,
21 significant amounts. The escrow agreement
22 calls for the study to be done within a
23 certain period of time. The applicant and
24 the municipality agree on the timeframes that
25 it should happen. And obviously, you know,

1 Proceedings

2 the traffic stuff, our traffic people have to
3 agree with yours. And the study will happen
4 because our client will either want its money
5 back if it's not warranted, or if it is
6 warranted, you're going to want the light put
7 in.

8 So, but you know, as you know, DOT and
9 whatnot, they only allow for lights where
10 warrants are met. But we'll certainly do a
11 study within whatever timeframe that you want
12 afterwards. And there will be a conclusion
13 to it. You know, this is something that
14 we've gone through, you know, with many
15 applications. Thank you.

16 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Thank you.

17 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. All
18 right, sorry. Jerry, you have any comments?
19 Anyone else have any comments?

20 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Well, if I may.
21 Yeah, if I may.

22 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Go ahead.

23 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Just on one
24 portion of this, which is the traffic going
25 through that tunnel with the various stop

1 Proceedings

2 signs. Again, I just have a visionary
3 problem on when three cars pull up there at
4 the same time, who has the right of way, and
5 if everybody is just going to cooperate and
6 somehow that's going to flow. I know the one
7 down in Haverstraw, it's a two way thing, not
8 a three. And that has a light. That seems
9 to work effectively. So what exactly is the
10 plan for that underpass? Is it just the stop
11 signs and revise that if it so warrants after
12 set time expires?

13 MR. GREELEY: That's correct.

14 Mr. Chairman, it's Philip Greeley. So as
15 part of what Dave and Amy were referring to,
16 the, quote, after study, and you know, I
17 understand the concern in terms of traffic
18 flow at both 9W and Tomkins and at the
19 underpass. So at 9W and Tomkins, again, the
20 State doesn't allow a traffic signal to go in
21 unless it's warranted. And within six months
22 of the occupancy of this development, another
23 study will be done. And if it's warranted,
24 that signal will go in.

25 Similarly, at the underpass, in terms of

1 Proceedings

2 the traffic flow at that intersection will be
3 evaluated, we've already come up with a
4 preliminary signal design, where the signals
5 would be located. It's very similar to other
6 underpasses that are single lane underpasses.
7 And it would be implemented, again, if the
8 volumes that we project are, in fact, met,
9 and there's any operating difficulties there.
10 That will be a condition of any approval you
11 have. And the monies would be put in escrow
12 to not only cover the study, but to cover the
13 cost of the installation.

14 And we, you know, would -- typically,
15 this is what's been happening in a lot of
16 other municipalities. It's something that
17 New York State DOT has embraced, especially
18 on projects where they are not sure what
19 traffic generation is going to be out there
20 in much larger projects than even this. And
21 it seemed to have been very successful
22 because of locations where improvements such
23 as signalization need to go in. The
24 mechanism is there. And it's very easy for
25 the Board to enforce, you know, if the

1 Proceedings

2 conditions are written properly and we have
3 the appropriate bonding mechanism.

4 So, you know, I've been involved with
5 several of these, both on the developer's
6 side and also on the municipality side, and
7 they've worked out very well. And the key
8 is, I'll say the language of how it's written
9 into the approval conditions. We've outlined
10 it in terms of what would be studied and how
11 it would be designed to accommodate it.

12 But I think that's the best approach
13 here to handle both of these situations,
14 because the traffic for the project needs to
15 flow. And that's one of the other things
16 that from an applicant's standpoint, he
17 doesn't want a project where the traffic
18 can't get to and from the project, either.

19 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Right. I
20 understand. Okay, all right.

21 MR. SHEEHAN: If I can interject. Bill.
22 You know, when we talk about warrants, people
23 think that well, yeah, it does warrant a
24 traffic light. When we talk about warrants,
25 we don't mean that, yeah, the light should be

1 Proceedings

2 there. A warrant is a points system where
3 you have to meet a certain amount of points
4 to, for the State to allow a traffic signal.

5 And we don't meet those. So even if we
6 wanted to put a light there today, we
7 wouldn't be allowed to. So what this is,
8 what we're trying to achieve here is have the
9 escrow money in case down the road, that the
10 State made a mistake and, you know, there is
11 more traffic than they anticipated, or is
12 more of a problem than they anticipated,
13 there is funds to have this light
14 incorporated.

15 You know, years ago, if the State said,
16 you know, you don't meet the warrants, no
17 traffic light, we approved it and went on our
18 way. And then if a light was needed a year
19 later, well, guess what? The taxpayers would
20 end up footing the cost for the traffic
21 light.

22 So in this case, as we did with Commerce
23 Bank -- it wasn't CVS, it was Commerce Bank,
24 the TD Bank now -- that we took the money and
25 actually had the conduit installed underneath

1 Proceedings

2 the road. To this date, it hasn't been an
3 issue, so we never installed a light.

4 It's kind of a belt and suspenders type
5 of thing where we have the escrow if needed
6 down the road versus just saying okay, the
7 State said we don't need a traffic signal, so
8 approve it and walk away. So we're kind of
9 protecting the residents of the town, that at
10 least the applicant will have to fund the
11 light down the road if it's required.

12 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Okay, Bill. Thank
13 you.

14 MR. HONAN: Tom, you have to unmute
15 yourself.

16 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I guess with --
17 sorry -- with Jerry and Gene's concerns, you
18 know, I have the same concerns with that,
19 with the traffic and the Hunter Place. But
20 Hunter, Hunter is the only underpass you're
21 working on. You're not doing anything with
22 Tomkins like lowering or anything.

23 MR. ZIGLER: Right. Hunter is the only
24 one.

25 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Hunter is the only

1 Proceedings

2 one. And Hunter, I know one of the concerns
3 was people coming out of Hunter and going,
4 like, walking right into the parking lot.
5 But I know we talked about that when they
6 come out of Hunter, they'll stay to the
7 right, go along a walkway and come closer to
8 where the first building is to go near where
9 the restaurant would be, so they'll have a
10 easier walkway down to, like, the river
11 access.

12 MR. ZIGLER: Correct. That's one of the
13 things, the modification that Max wanted to
14 get to the promenade, and we agreed to.

15 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. For me,
16 right now, that's -- I know those are the
17 same concerns I had with Jerry and Gene.
18 Anyone else? Max, anything right now?

19 MR. STACH: I've been working with the
20 applicant on my comments on the FEIS. We
21 had, we had a bunch. And I've been speaking
22 with Ramya from Dave's office to try and make
23 sure that all the comments are addressed. At
24 this time, other than that, I don't have any
25 further questions or comments. And I'll wait

1 Proceedings

2 until a revised FEIS is provided.

3 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Thanks, Max. Any
4 other comments? John, anything right now?
5 Did I lose John?

6 MR. O'ROURKE: No. Well, actually, my
7 battery died, so I switched to my phone. But
8 I have -- yeah, no, same as Max. We gave
9 them a list of our comments. We're waiting
10 for the response. So for the moment, we're
11 all set.

12 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, good.

13 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: I got a quick
14 question.

15 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, Mark.

16 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: You know, I came
17 in a little late to the Planning Board here.
18 You know, this has going on for a year plus,
19 and I only came in in the beginning of this
20 year. And Dave had said something, there was
21 a sewer study done. I mean, has there been a
22 capacity analysis done on the collection
23 system and the treatment plant and the pump
24 station down the road? And has Suez provided
25 a letter of intent and, you know, their

1 Proceedings

2 willingness and ability to provide water?

3 And same with O and R? I mean, utilities
4 coming in and leaving the facility, that's
5 all been thoroughly studied?

6 MR. ZIGLER: Yeah. As I said, we did
7 supply the report on the sewer system. There
8 were some questions from John. We went to
9 Roy, and we also talked to Carl to get some
10 more answers. That will be part of the final
11 so everybody could review it.

12 As far as the water, there's a contract,
13 there's a signed contract, that will be in
14 the final for the improvements. And yes,
15 utilities will be brought in underground to
16 service the site.

17 Our problem is getting these permits as
18 we cannot move forward on permits until after
19 a final approval. That's the Ed Day law.
20 Some people don't understand that. But Ed
21 Day passed the law, the County Exec, that you
22 cannot apply for permits until after final,
23 and you have to provide the final resolution.

24 So the best we can do at this point is
25 say that everybody's going to service. And

1 Proceedings

2 if something happens later on, we would have
3 to come back to you. But we thoroughly
4 studied it and we do have the services.

5 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: All right. And
6 John, John O'Rourke has more information on
7 this if I need to talk to somebody further?

8 MR. ZIGLER: Yes.

9 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay.

10 MR. ZIGLER: Yeah. He actually asked
11 for about the same things you did. More
12 details on the pump station and what's the
13 treatment. And we tied that, we tied that
14 into our two week study where we had a rain
15 gauge. And it's all tied together. And you
16 can see the effect of infiltration into the
17 sewer system of Stony Point.

18 And realize what we're doing at our end,
19 Ba Mar is going to do at their end. And
20 you're talking about quite a bit of pipe
21 being replaced and bringing the system up to
22 a better grade.

23 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay, cool.

24 Thanks.

25 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Thanks,

1 Proceedings

2 Dave. So what do we -- Steve, do you have
3 any comments before we go?

4 MR. HONAN: No, I don't.

5 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: So Dave -- go ahead.

6 MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah. Bill. I just want
7 to make a couple points. I know I spoke
8 before, so you probably skipped me. But
9 anyway.

10 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: No, I didn't skip
11 you.

12 MR. SHEEHAN: We got to keep in mind a
13 couple things. The Hunter Place underpass,
14 if you remember what Dave said about the
15 expedited permits for the water and sewer,
16 the reason they're expedited is because
17 they're existing facilities that have to be
18 repaired and replaced. The lower end of the
19 tunnel, or the underpass, or overpass, the
20 reason for that is for fire and emergency
21 vehicles. The code requires that that be
22 13-6.

23 So that permit's probably going to be a
24 little more involved than the other two
25 permits. And as Dave said, you're not going

1 Proceedings

2 to get them until after approval. And if
3 they don't get the permits for them,
4 obviously the project doesn't happen.

5 So that, you know, the way the building
6 code reads is that this size project, you
7 need two separate emergency vehicle accesses.
8 So one is Beach Road. We're not even
9 counting Tomkins Avenue tunnel. One is Beach
10 Road and one is Hunter Place. Because
11 they're both remote from each other. If you
12 use Tomkins Avenue, one thing, it doesn't
13 have the height. And the second thing is
14 it's not remote enough from Beach Road to
15 count.

16 The other thing is, you know, we all get
17 tied up in this, the environmental end as far
18 as the FDIS and the DEIS and all that. But
19 the Board has to, you know, we have to
20 remember that we still have a site plan
21 review. So not only should we be focusing on
22 the environmental language we're pretty far
23 into, but now is the time to really look at
24 the plan and, you know, see if there's any
25 issues with the site plan that you have

1 Proceedings

2 issues with. Whether it be traffic flow, you
3 know, all positions of buildings, the public
4 areas.

5 We spoke in depth about the north end of
6 the property, putting the easements in there
7 so if it's ever done in the future, you can
8 continue the green way from where the parking
9 lot stops, starts up on the north end, where
10 you can get to the battlefield. We spoke
11 about that in depth. So, you know, we should
12 be also be focusing on the site plan at this
13 part. You know, during.

14 And I'm bringing this up because Gene
15 brought up a good point, that we discuss a
16 lot of this stuff at the TAC meetings. So
17 when the plan comes before the Planning
18 Board, it's been revised from the comments
19 we've made at the TAC meeting. But a lot of
20 the Planning Board members -- because, you
21 know, we do have full time jobs elsewhere --
22 can't make all the TAC meetings. So it's,
23 you know, for the members that might not be
24 so up on the site plan, we really still need
25 to focus on the site plan.

1 Proceedings

2 It's come a long way from the beginning,
3 but I'd hate to get to the end of the
4 environmental review and then someone come up
5 and say oh, well, that building, you know,
6 shouldn't be there. It should be moved, you
7 know, 30 feet this way. And so, you know,
8 I'm just bringing it up that we should be
9 looking at the site plan, also.

10 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, good.
11 Thank you, Bill. So Dave, what are we --
12 next, for August, what are we going to do,
13 the DEIS?

14 MR. HONAN: Dave, you have to unmute
15 yourself.

16 MR. ZIGLER: We were asking for a
17 special meeting to be set in August so that
18 we could just address exactly what Bill was
19 saying, discuss the site plan. I mean, there
20 has been some revisions on it since that
21 submission that you have up on the board.
22 And also discuss the FEIS because the Board
23 has to approve it before it's circulated. So
24 if there's any questions, we should go over
25 it. Or if everybody's happy, we should, we

1 Proceedings

2 should, you know, get it, get that thing
3 circulated.

4 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. So are
5 you talking about another meeting for the
6 entire Planning Board, too?

7 MR. ZIGLER: I think so, because I kind
8 of agree with Gene that, you know, everybody
9 should have their, you know, darts and throw
10 at this Board. So we really got to get in
11 front of the entire Board, I think.

12 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Dave, they're not
13 darts. They're just questions that -- I
14 mean, you know, hopefully, you guys, if you
15 and Bill are both right, half the Board
16 doesn't know what's going on. And not
17 through no fault of their own.

18 MR. ZIGLER: Totally agree. Paper
19 airplanes, how about that.

20 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: So, all right. So
21 what does the Board -- I need some input from
22 the Board. So we would probably do another
23 Zoom, probably when, the 13th? Or are we
24 doing before that?

25 MR. SHEEHAN: Mr. Chairman?

1 Proceedings

2 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah.

3 MR. SHEEHAN: The executive order ends
4 August 6th. After August 6th, unless the
5 governor extends it, there is no more Zoom.

6 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Well, I don't know.

7 MR. HONAN: Chances are it's going to be
8 extended. But who knows. You're right.

9 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Well, why don't we
10 plan to have one without the Zoom, and if our
11 gracious governor does it again --

12 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We'll change it.

13 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: -- Zoom. Because
14 it's so much -- so much more gets done when
15 we're in person, you know.

16 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: No, I agree. And
17 it's -- we just got to make sure we have the
18 facilities to do it. You know, the bigger
19 room. As long as we have the setup and all
20 the equipment.

21 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Well, the senior
22 room over at RHO is a pretty big building.

23 MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, it is.

24 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah. We just got
25 to make sure they set up. So what does the

1 Proceedings

2 Board think?

3 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: The seniors are
4 not meeting, so we can use the room.

5 MR. SHEEHAN: Well, I think the, I think
6 the Town still owns the room, right?

7 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah, we still own
8 the room. I think we do. All right.

9 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Pick a date.

10 MR. SHEEHAN: Well, the 13th is the TAC
11 meeting.

12 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, okay.

13 MR. SHEEHAN: You want to do it after
14 the TAC meeting?

15 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We're not going
16 to -- what do you want to --

17 MR. STACH: Why don't we do the evening
18 of the 13th, Tom?

19 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: I like that.

20 MR. HONAN: I can't be there on the 13th
21 in the evening. I'm conflicted. Can we move
22 it to another day of the week?

23 MR. SHEEHAN: Sure you can.

24 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah. What day?

25 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: But Bill has a

1 Proceedings

2 good idea. Let's move to after the TAC
3 meeting.

4 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah.

5 MR. HONAN: Why don't we do, like, the
6 Tuesday after, like the 18th or something?

7 MR. SHEEHAN: That's the Town Board
8 meeting. Oh, no, it's not.

9 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah, we could do
10 the 18th.

11 MR. SHEEHAN: The 18th, yes.

12 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We'll try for the
13 18th and we'll see -- as of now, we'll try
14 for the senior room. And if things change
15 with the governor, we'll, it will be posted
16 on the, on the website.

17 MR. STACH: Tom, I'm unavailable the
18 18th.

19 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Come on, you guys.
20 You got to be kidding me.

21 MR. STACH: How about the 20th?

22 MR. HONAN: Maybe we should, maybe we
23 should agree that we're going to do it, but
24 circulate when we're off the Zoom and get a
25 date.

1 Proceedings

2 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. We'll
3 say that one of those -- we'll pick a day
4 among everyone, and then we'll make sure we
5 post it ahead of time. So as long as we post
6 it this month for August, we'll give enough
7 time. So we'll set --

8 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Tom, make sure
9 it's 72 hours ahead in case we go to Zoom.

10 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah, no, we will.
11 I mean, you know, Gene, we have a lot, like
12 you said, we have a lot of obstacles and
13 something new with making sure we have the
14 staff, making sure the website is up. Zoom's
15 had issues. But we'll make sure.

16 MS. MELE: May I email Mary dates that I
17 have other night meetings in August?
18 Obviously, if everything, if there's a date
19 that works for everybody and it falls on one
20 of those nights, I'll rearrange my schedule,
21 but just --

22 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.

23 MS. MELE: Okay. I appreciate that.
24 Thank you.

25 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. We'll

1 Proceedings

2 pick days. All right. We'll set that, and
3 then we'll see, and then we also -- you'll be
4 at the regular meetings. So we'll see.
5 We'll pick a day.

6 MR. SHEEHAN: It's going to have to be
7 the week of the 17th. So we'll have to pick
8 a date that week.

9 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah. The week of
10 the 17th.

11 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Just to make your
12 life easier, any night's good for me.

13 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah. All right.

14 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: What are you
15 laughing at, Mary?

16 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Thank you, Dave.

17 MR. ZIGLER: Thank you.

18 (Time noted: 8:38 p.m.)

19

20 oOo

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true
and correct transcription of the original
stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.

Jennifer L. Johnson