1 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND 2 TOWN OF STONY POINT : PLANNING BOARD 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - X IN THE MATTER OF 4 NINE HOLT DRIVE 5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - X Town of Stony Point 6 RHO Building 5 Clubhouse Lane 7 Stony Point, New York July 23, 2020 7:08 p.m. 8 (via Zoom) 9 10 BEFORE: 11 THOMAS GUBITOSA, CHAIRMAN 12 KERRI ALESSI, BOARD MEMBER ERIC JASLOW, BOARD MEMBER 13 MARK JOHNSON, BOARD MEMBER EUGENE KRAESE, BOARD MEMBER 14 JERRY ROGERS, BOARD MEMBER 15 16 17 ROCKLAND & ORANGE REPORTING 2 Congers Road 18 New City, New York 10956 (845) 634-4200 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Proceedings

2	
3	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: So what I'll do
4	tonight is we'll start, our first public
5	hearing is Nine Holt Drive. And this is just
6	located on the south side of Holt Drive,
7	right near Shop Rite. So Dave, just give us
8	a quick update before we open the public
9	hearing.
10	MR. ZIGLER: All right. Dave Zigler
11	from Atzl, Nasher and Zigler. Like the
12	Chairman said, this is Nine Holt Drive. Nine
13	Holt Drive is on your right, as you leave 9W
14	and go down Holt Drive, there's one building
15	on the right, and this is the second building
16	on the right. This is a redevelopment plan,
17	so it's a little bit different. There's
18	actually a building on this lot.
19	If you stand on Holt Drive and look at
20	the lot, you'll see a brick building that is
21	basically 60-foot wide. And it extends about
22	a hundred foot back. And on the left is
23	parking. With the application I submitted
24	was a Google Earth picture, and that building
25	showed two truck docks, two other trucks,

Proceedings

2 40-some cars, and basically the total use of 3 the property.

This plan that is on the Board tonight for a redevelopment is a new building. And it's going to be built to the new code, the zoning code. And what that means is they're going to have different uses in the building.

9 Again, if you stand on Holt Drive and 10 look back at the property, this building is a hundred foot wide. The other building is 11 12 60-foot wide. But this building is a hundred 13 foot wide, and it's going to have three units in the front. They're going to be retail 14 15 units. It could be personal care, anything 16 up to small convenience store, whatever. 17 That's retail.

Then there's two more units. So there's 18 a total of five units. But the two units in 19 20 the back are much bigger. They're, like, 21 10,000 square foot. And they're going to 22 have a small commercial component to it also. 23 So you might see something like a plumbing 24 shop, where you have just a desk and then a lot of warehousing. 25

Proceedings

-	110000411195
2	So the total building, the new one, is
3	28,000 square foot. A little over 28,000.
4	The building that's there is about 7800
5	square foot.
6	The new building has two trucks docks,
7	so it kind of matches the old one. And we
8	have parking for over 40 cars on the left
9	side. The mass of the parking is up front
10	because of the two small retailers, which I
11	explained to you. They're about 1560, 1510
12	square foot each.
13	And this seems to be the new thing,
14	smaller, smaller units and more warehousing.
15	It's what you would call flex building. You
16	can make it into one big unit in the front or
17	three small units.
18	We're for a public hearing. We have
19	comments from the County which we have to
20	answer. We have no problem. Only with one,
21	with their interpretation of the code. And
22	we have comments from John O'Rourke, the
23	engineer, which we have to answer. And we've
24	submitted a Part 2.
25	What we would like to do at this time is

1	Proceedings
2	ask the Board to continue this public hearing
3	to next month so we may answer those
4	questions and any questions that come
5	tonight. And we also have to go to the ARB,
6	and I think that might happen next month,
7	also. So if there's any questions from the
8	Board, I'm here.
9	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Steve,
10	can you give me the ability to share my
11	screen?
12	MR. HONAN: Yes, I can. Hold on a
13	second.
14	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, thank
15	you.
16	MR. HONAN: Okay, I'm giving it one
17	second, and you should be able to share now.
18	Wonderful.
19	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Everyone
20	can see the site plan, correct? Yeah, I see
21	it. Okay.
22	MR. ZIGLER: Perfect.
23	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. So what
24	I'll do is, what I'll do is before I go to
25	the public hearing, John, you have, what are

1	Proceedings
2	your I know you had some comments, John.
3	MR. O'ROURKE: Yeah. We had issued some
4	comments a couple weeks ago after the TAC.
5	Dave is responding to them. We're
6	coordinating with the Highway Superintendent
7	to look at the entrance off of Holt Drive and
8	make sure his blessings are okay. Dave is
9	going to mark those locations, and I'll meet
10	Larry out there. We can look at the
11	entrance.
12	But as Dave had generally said, it's a
13	redevelopment. It's very similar to the Nine
14	Holt, or 11, Vesco, which the Board had
15	approved several years ago, which is actually
16	up and running now. Haven't had any problems
17	with that. Checked with the Highway
18	Superintendent, everything's working there.
19	So it's not a mirror, but it's pretty close
20	to the same type of layout. So we had some
21	technical issues, you know, they're doing,
22	and some light issues.
23	MR. STACH: I would ask Dave, Dave
24	mentioned he had received John's comments. I
25	assume, Dave, you have my April 8, 2020,

Proceedings

2 memo.

3 MR. ZIGLER: Yes.

MR. ZIGLER: Yes.
MR. STACH: Okay. So, and you haven't
submitted something after that, have you?
MR. ZIGLER: No. The only thing we
resubmitted after that was the Part, the
Part 3 for the questions on the Part 2.
MR. STACH: Okay. So I do have some
other questions in there, if Dave would
respond to those. Plus, I had submitted a
Part 2 in there for consideration by the
Planning Board for adoption. But as Dave
said, once you adopt that, he can submit a
Part 3.
Nothing really significantly in terms of
environmental impacts has changed. And I
don't see why we were ready when this last
was before you to adopt a negative
declaration back in, I think it was 2017. So
I don't see why anything would have changed
there. But the step to take tonight would be
to adopt the Part 2. Again, the only reason
that you have to readopt that is because SEQR $% \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$
changed their forms.

1	Proceedings
2	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay. All right.
3	All right. All right, Max. Thanks.
4	MR. STACH: You're welcome.
5	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Do we adopt it
6	before we open the public hearing? We
7	should, right?
8	MR. STACH: The critical thing is to
9	adopt the negative declaration before you
10	close the public hearing.
11	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay, all right. So
12	we can do the Part 2 after the public hearing
13	if we want.
14	MR. STACH: As long as you're continuing
15	it tonight, yes.
16	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah, we're all
17	right. Bill, you have any comments? Or are
18	we good?
19	MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, the only I have
20	two comments, I think. Dave mentioned that
21	he has a couple retail in the front, about
22	3,000 square feet. Is that correct, Dave?
23	MR. ZIGLER: Yeah, there's three
24	retailers. They're about 1500 square foot
25	each.

Proceedings

2 MR. SHEEHAN: Because your plan also shows for two retail on the east side of the 3 building. 4 5 MR. ZIGLER: Yes. We put a retail 6 component in each of the warehouses so it 7 would be, you know, no question about the 8 code. 9 MR. SHEEHAN: Well, is that going to 10 happen or that's a proposed? What's --MR. ZIGLER: I don't think so. But we 11 12 provided the parking for it, you know, just 13 for the parking calculations so we're safe 14 that way. But it's possible somebody could have an office in there. I don't, I don't 15 16 really think so. They're going to be more like warehousing, small warehousing. 17 18 MR. SHEEHAN: All right. I think since this site plan is predicated on retail 19 20 because of the bulk requirements, you're 21 going to have to kind of commit. I don't 22 want anything that's -- to not happen. So 23 maybe on those two units. I mean, you're set 24 in stone on the front ones, obviously. Is 25 that correct?

- I

Proceedings

2 MR. ZIGLER: Yes.

3	MR. SHEEHAN: So maybe on the two
4	others, you could say proposed, or possible,
5	or come up with something creative so if they
6	don't happen you know what I'm saying?
7	MR. ZIGLER: Absolutely. I can do
8	something creative.
9	MR. SHEEHAN: We can talk about that. I
10	just, right now, I'm looking at the site
11	plan. I'm saying okay, there's going to be
12	five or not five, but there's going to be
13	4,000 square foot of retail versus three. So
14	maybe instead of committing yourself to five
15	units, you commit, you know, minimum 3,000
16	square foot, or something to that effect of
17	retail at maximum. Follow what I'm saying?
18	MR. ZIGLER: Yes.
19	MR. SHEEHAN: I don't need an answer
20	tonight, but I want to kind of make it more
21	clear.
22	MR. ZIGLER: I understand.
23	MR. SHEEHAN: And the other thing is, is
24	there a way you can give us some turning
25	radiuses, as I spoke with last month, on

1 Proceedings 2 those loading zones? 3 MR. ZIGLER: Yes. We actually -- I've modified the plan. And some of those 4 5 comments John had hit on, you also. We've 6 changed it to open it up the radiuses for 7 trucks. For bad truck drivers, yes. 8 MR. SHEEHAN: All right. I just wanted 9 to make sure with that parking that we could 10 swing it. MR. ZIGLER: Yes. 11 12 MR. SHEEHAN: So I'm assuming they're 13 going to come in head first and both of them will back in that way, I guess. 14 MR. ZIGLER: Right. Yes, absolutely. 15 16 MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. That's all I have. 17 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay. Thanks, Bill. 18 Steve, you have any comments on this one? MR. HONAN: I don't. 19 20 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Is 21 Tom -- Tommy Larkin's not on, is he? No, 22 okay. 23 MR. O'ROURKE: No, I -- just real quick, 24 Tom. I spoke with Tommy this morning. We went over all the projects because he 25

1	Proceedings
2	couldn't make it tonight. But he's we
3	reviewed I basically incorporated his
4	comments into mine. So for that moment,
5	we're okay.
6	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Good,
7	John. Thank you.
8	All right, so at this time, I'll open
9	the public hearing. Like Steve said, if, you
10	know, make your if you can limit your
11	comments to this application to the site
12	plan, and then we'll address, you know, just
13	state your name and address. And if you have
14	any comments, I guess raise your hand. I
15	can't see it, so.
16	MR. HONAN: Mr. Chairman, first we have
17	to make a motion to open it.
18	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, sorry. You're
19	right. I make a motion that we open the
20	public hearing. I'll make the motion. I
21	just need a second.
22	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: I'll second that,
23	Mr. Chair.
24	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Jerry, second. I
25	got a motion, second. All in favor?

1	Proceedings
2	(Response of aye was given.)
3	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Opposed? All right,
4	we're going to open the public hearing. So
5	Steve, if you see a hand, let me know.
6	MR. HONAN: I certainly will.
7	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Any comment. All
8	right.
9	MR. HONAN: Let's get rid of the plan.
10	How is that?
11	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.
12	MR. HONAN: I have a limited screen.
13	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: There it is.
14	MR. HONAN: Okay. Yes, we have
15	George Potanovic is raising his hand, his big
16	blue hand.
17	BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Tom. Excuse me,
18	Tom.
19	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, wait. Sorry,
20	Gene. Go ahead.
21	BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: I'm sorry, I had
22	trouble muting, getting unmuted. I just got
23	one question. Bill was talking about the
24	truck lane, the trucks coming and going
25	straight in and backing in. I assume that he

1	Proceedings
2	studied the site plan. There will be enough
3	room for them to back in and also exit the
4	property. Bill?
5	MR. ZIGLER: Yes. We have turning
6	radiuses on for 65-foot trucks. It's likely
7	being the size of this warehouse and what the
8	neighborhood and Route 9W is, you probably
9	wouldn't have trucks that long. But we're
10	planning for 65. And they'll be able to back
11	into the site and then pull out.
12	BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: All right, thank
13	you.
14	MR. ZIGLER: You're welcome.
15	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, thanks.
16	All right, sorry about that. I guess you
17	said George, right?
18	MR. HONAN: Correct.
19	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, unmute
20	him.
21	MR. HONAN: He has to unmute himself.
22	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: George, unmute
23	yourself.
24	MR. POTANOVIC: Thank you very much. So
25	this sounds like a good project. I'm

1	Proceedings
2	assuming it's a good rateable for the town.
3	The project looks like, if I heard Bill
4	right, it's about three and a half times the
5	size of the current building, right. About
6	28,000 square foot versus 7800, right.
7	I'm just wondering whether the size
8	difference makes any difference in terms of
9	the site plan significantly. I'd just like
10	to hear if that's the size difference. It's
11	quite a significant difference in size, three
12	and a half times the size. And if the County
13	Planning Department had anything of substance
14	that you could at least tell us, share with
15	us what their comments were.
16	Otherwise, it sounds like a good idea
17	for us. I think, you know, that's the right
18	zone for it, right. That's our light
19	industrial zone. And we obviously have
20	retail down there. We have a mixture down
21	there. So it seems like the right place
22	for we don't know the kind of businesses
23	that are going to go in, or that's kind of up
24	in the air right now.
25	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA. I think it is, wes

25 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I think it is, yes.

1	Proceedings
2	MR. POTANOVIC: So did the
3	Rockland County Planning Department have
4	anything of substance, and does the size, the
5	three and a half times the size of the
6	current building pose any issues for the
7	Board, I guess is my only questions.
8	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. I think
9	once I'll look to make sure we have an
10	answer for you, George.
11	MR. POTANOVIC: Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Thank you.
13	MR. POTANOVIC: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Steve,
15	anyone else? George, you might have to mute
16	again, sorry. Thank you, George.
17	MR. HONAN: I see no other hands being
18	raised. But, you know, this meeting will be
19	continued. So if people have questions down
20	the line, we'll
21	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. I'll
22	make the motion that we continue the public
23	hearing to next month, August.
24	BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: I'll second it.
25	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Gene, second. I got

1	Proceedings
2	a motion, a second. All in favor?
3	(Response of aye was given.)
4	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Opposed? All right.
5	So we'll see you oh, wait. We'll do the
6	public hearing next month. Now for the
7	Part 2. Max, we just need to adopt it. I
8	looked through it. There's no I guess
9	we're just readopting it, the Part 2.
10	MR. STACH: That's right. It's really
11	no different than what you adopted a couple
12	years ago, except for a revision date on the
13	bottom of the form.
14	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. I'll
15	make the motion that we adopt the Part 2. I
16	just need a second.
17	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: I'll second.
18	BOARD MEMBER ALESSI: I'll second.
19	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I'll do Kerri with
20	the second. I got a motion and a second.
21	I'll poll the Board. Eric? I see a yes.
22	Yes. Jerry?
23	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yes. Gene?
25	BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Yes.

1	Proceedings
2	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Kerri?
3	BOARD MEMBER ALESSI: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yes. And Mark.
5	BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: And myself, yes.
7	All right, that passed. Now, Dave, do you
8	need did we send you to the ARB, or do we
9	have to send you to the ARB?
10	MR. ZIGLER: No, you sent us to the ARB
11	last month.
12	THE CLERK: They were already sent.
13	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We already did.
14	MR. ZIGLER: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay. I just wanted
16	to be sure.
17	MR. ZIGLER: Everything's on our
18	shoulders. We'll see you next month.
19	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We'll see you next
20	month. Thank you.
21	MR. ZIGLER: Thank you.
22	(Time noted: 7:24 p.m.)
23	
24	000
25	

1	Proceedings	
2		
3	THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true	
4	and correct transcription of the original	
5	stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.	
6		
7		
8		
	Jennifer L. Johnson	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		