www.courtreportingny.com

TATE OF NEW YORK :	COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
OWN OF STONY POINT :	PLANNING BOARD
IN THE MATTER OF BA MAR MANUFACTURED	
	Town of Stony Point RHO Building 5 Clubhouse Lane Stony Point, New York June 25, 2020 7:05 p.m. (via Zoom)
BEFORE:	
THOMAS GUBITOSA, CHAIRM CERRI ALESSI, BOARD MEM CRIC JASLOW, BOARD MEMB MARK JOHNSON, BOARD MEM CUGENE KRAESE, BOARD MEM JERRY ROGERS, BOARD MEM	BER ER BER MBER
2 C New City	& ORANGE REPORTING longers Road r, New York 10956 (5) 634-4200

Proceedings

2.0

2.2

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: First item on the agenda is going to be a public hearing for Ba Mar, the manufactured home. We're going to keep the public hearing open. But we're going to open it tonight. And I would just ask that, like Steve said, that, you know, if we can keep the comments to three minutes. And you can also, you know, submit your comments written. You know, that part is still open.

So for the Ba Mar application, if we can just, before I open the public hearing, I guess, Mr. Emanuel, you want to give us a quick update, or whoever from the Ba Mar. So Ira, go ahead.

MR. EMANUEL: Thank you. Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. And I want to thank you and
the Board and the staff for all the efforts
that you've put in to make this meeting
happen. I know that it's a challenge. I've
been in other communities, and it's not as
easy as it looks.

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board,

Proceedings

2.2

we've been before you for quite some time now. We've worked very, very closely with the staff. We have obtained outside agency approvals and permits. And quite frankly, I know that you said that you were thinking about keeping the public hearing open beyond this evening, but we really think that this -- the time has come now for the Board to make a decision on this, to close the public hearing.

The big open items that we had have been resolved. We have received our DEC permit.

That has been transmitted to the Town and you should have received them. And certainly, I know that your staff has received them because we went over them at the tech review meeting earlier this month.

And we've also received emails from

Orange and Rockland Utilities indicating that
they are comfortable with the plan subject
only to -- first of all, they want to review
the legal language of an easement that we're
going to be granting them for access. That
is a post final matter. And they also want

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to be sure that there is sufficient vertical clearance underneath their wires.

My understanding -- and Ken DeGennaro, our project engineer, is here on the meeting -- my understanding is that the Orange and Rockland will have approximately 45 feet of vertical clearance beneath their 45 feet from the ground beneath their wires. wires during a maximum sag. As you know, transmission line wires, distribution line wires, when they get heated up, when they get used more heavily, especially during the summer months, in the air conditioning season, they expand and they sag. And Orange and Rockland wants to make sure that there is sufficient clearance underneath them so obviously they don't burn things.

The maximum, the maximum sag results, I understand, in a clearance of 45 feet above grade. The only thing that would be under --well, first of all, nothing would be actually underneath the lines. But the only thing that would be within the easements would be some of these manufactured homes, all of

1 Proceedings 2 which, of course, are only one story high. 3 And so they're a maximum of perhaps ten feet, 4 maybe twelve feet with the elevation that we 5 have to do in order to get above base flood 6 elevations. 7 Beyond that, you know, it's just a 8 matter of a normal post final wrap up, post 9 final permits. The site plan itself has 10 barely changed in months and months and 11 months. And we truly believe that the time 12 has now come, and that the Board should be in 13 a position to grant approval this evening. 14 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh. Thank you, 15 Mr. Emanuel. Before I go to the public, does 16 any of the Board -- John, I mean, for the 17 engineer. John, any comment now, or do you 18 want to comment after the public hearing? 19 John O'Rourke? 2.0 MR. O'ROURKE: Yeah. Thanks, Tom. 21 As Ira said, the site plan's pretty well been locked for a couple months. We're generally 2.2 23 satisfied with all the technical issues. 24 We're just waiting for those outside

25

approvals and the reviews. And he's right.

Proceedings

2.0

2.2

The only one we haven't received to date is the Orange and Rockland approval of the easements.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Good.

Thanks. Thanks, John. Max, any comments?

MR. STACH: Yeah. So the applicant has,

has been really working through a lot of my comments, and was able to incorporate a lot of the adjustments that I've asked over the review period.

The one major issue sort of that we couldn't resolve on a technical level was the idea that, you know, sea level will continue to rise. It's projected to continue to rise. And so with the changes that the applicant is incorporating, there's going to be a great deal of -- and the two feet of freeboard that's required under the code, there's going to be a fair degree of improvement from currently the condition and the exposure of this area to flooding.

But eventually, this area, you know, in 30 to 40 years, is going to be subjected to an occasional catastrophic flood like it was

Proceedings

2.2

under Sandy again. And I think it makes a lot of sense. There's -- I don't know that the applicant can really do much more at this point, you know, given where the roads are, the elevations are. They are maintaining access during flood conditions now. But I think it's fair at this point to somehow convey to people that are going to be moving into this area that this is an area that's going to flood during severe weather now. And that that's going to continue.

And so my suggestion really -- and I don't think the applicant was happy with the suggestion -- but my suggestion for a way to address this was simply to require some signage that the roadways flood, so that people who are choosing to move into this area of the town are fully informed that this is a situation they're getting themselves into. And like I said, I don't think the applicant responded favorably to that suggestion. And so I think that's a, an item that the Planning Board has to determine whether or not they think it makes sense.

1 Proceedings 2 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, thanks. 3 Thanks, Max. 4 MR. STACH: You're welcome. 5 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Bill, do you have 6 any comments before the public hearing? 7 MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah. I kind of, I agree 8 with both, you know, John and his points 9 that, you know, I think they're pretty much 10 there. As far as Max with the flood, and I 11 don't have an issue with putting some type of 12 sign up about flooding, but I don't see the 13 roads -- you know, I wouldn't want it to say 14 that just a simple sign that roads are going 15 to flood. They're only going to flood on a 16 very rare occasion at this point. You know, 17 the roads are all being, for the most part, 18 being brought up to Elevation Nine. 19 floodplain elevation under FEMA right now is 2.0 Elevation Seven. 21 So the roads are going to be two foot 2.2 above the floodplain. I mean, if you did 23 some type of sign that under severe 24 conditions, the roads might have an issue. 25 You know, I think that's something that would

1 Proceedings 2 be more appropriate, in my view. 3 But other than that, you know, you know, 4 we are replacing an existing mobile home 5 park. You know, technically, right now, if 6 they wanted to use the same configuration 7 that they have, they could be replacing units 8 and just elevating them. So I think it's, 9 it's definitely an improvement of what we 10 have now. So I think we have to take that 11 also, as we discussed over the last, you 12 know, two years, I guess, take that under 13 consideration. 14 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Good. 15 Thanks, Bill. Steve, any comments before the 16 public hearing? 17 MR. HONAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. And it's 18 with regard to closing of the public hearing 19 at this time. As you know, this is a 20 continued public hearing, and that we're 21 going to continue it tonight. 2.2 And I did hear from an attorney, Alex 23 Bursztein, who indicated to me he represents 24 the interests of three people who are

presently living in the park. He wanted an

25

1	Proceedings	
2	opportunity to address this Board. However,	
3	he was not able to attend this meeting	
4	tonight. And I did inform him that it was my	
5	understanding that the meeting was going to	
6	be held open until the next meeting in July,	
7	at which time it most likely would be closed.	
8	So based upon that representation to	
9	Mr. Bursztein, I would respectfully request	
10	that the meeting be kept open.	
11	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay.	
12	MR. HONAN: And continued until July.	
13	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Continued. Thank	
14	you. Thank you, Steve.	
15	MR. EMANUEL: Mr. Chairman?	
16	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yes, Ira.	
17	MR. EMANUEL: Thank you. Mr. Bursztein	
18	is the attorney for the Legal Aid Society.	
19	He has been involved in this project since	
20	last winter. I don't know if he's ever	
21	actually bothered to show up in front of this	
22	Board despite the fact that he's, you know,	
23	made claims that he wanted to, that he thinks	
24	he ought to. And his interest is not with	
25	respect to the site plan. His interest is	

Proceedings

2.0

2.2

with respect to the tenants that are in the mobile home park that want to, that are still there and arranging to get a work out, or to remain, or whatever resolution there might be.

I am sorry Mr. Honan made a representation to him as far as what's going to happen at the public hearing this evening. But the simple fact of the matter is that Mr. Bursztein has had ample opportunity to address this Board in the past and has not taken advantage of it.

We all knew when this application was going to be heard this evening. It was noticed. Anybody, you know, there are other people who are here. We're here. And we really think it's unfair that this public hearing be kept open simply because

Mr. Bursztein can't seem to make it to a meeting. He's had the opportunity.

right, Ira. We'll take into consideration.

Any of the Board Members have any comments at this time? All right.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. All

1 Proceedings 2 If no one's got comments, what I'll do 3 is I'll open the public hearing. And like 4 Steve had said, you can -- there's an option 5 to raise your hand. I'll recognize you. And 6 like he said, if we can keep it to -- oh, 7 wait. Gene, go ahead. Sorry, I didn't see 8 you. Wait. Steve, can you undo Gene? 9 MR. HONAN: Gene, you can unmute 10 yourself. 11 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah, unmute 12 yourself, Gene. 13 THE CLERK: I'm going to text him. 14 MR. HONAN: Let me just see if I can do 15 it for him. 16 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: I unmuted. 17 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah, here he is. 18 Go ahead. 19 MR. HONAN: You got it. You're with us. 20 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: I'm getting there, 21 I'm getting there. I just want to quys. 2.2 comment that I like Max's statement, and Bill 23 kind of agreed. But the bottom line is I'm 24 always big on safety. And this is a, almost 25 an in kind reproduction of what's been

2.2

previously there with the improved height elevation. And we can't really worry too much about 50 years. We'd like to, but it's unpredictable. So I'm kind of satisfied with the height restrictions that are imposed at this point.

And as far as it goes with Steve, you know, I don't know the story about this one attorney. But we should respect an attorney or a client's request. But it's not a big deal to me on that point. That's it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Thanks, Gene. All right, any other members? All right. If not, I don't see no hands there. What I'll do is we'll open the public hearing. And like Steve had said in the beginning, you know, we're going to limit it to three minutes, and I'll recognize you. And then once I recognize, raise your hand, Steve will unmute you.

So at this time, I'll open the public hearing. So if you could raise your hand in the little cube, I'll see if I can find

1 Proceedings 2 whoever's going. Go ahead. 3 No, George, I meant do the regular raise 4 hand -- can you raise the hand over on the 5 right side of the options? There you are, 6 George. Go ahead. 7 MR. POTANOVIC: I don't think I have a 8 button for it. 9 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: No, that's all right. Go ahead, George. I see you. 10 11 MR. HONAN: It might be under more, but 12 I'm not sure. Yeah, to the right. 13 MR. POTANOVIC: It looks good. I would 14 be in favor of continuing the public hearing. 15 You know, the Planning Board, as you know, 16 has not met for, I think it's been four 17 meetings now. Four months. It's been quite 18 a while. 19 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Three months. 20 MR. POTANOVIC: And that's an excessive 21 amount of time, you know, delay in between 2.2 the last meeting. And really, this meeting 23 notice with the agenda was only published two 24 days ago.

I had spoken to Alex also earlier today,

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the attorney that was mentioned earlier. And he did have some comments specifically about O and R, and some other issues regarding the site plan, not just the welfare of the residents, which is one of the major issues for him as it is for us.

So I think in consideration of the fact that these meetings haven't happened in four months, that we were only given literally two days' notice that this meeting was going to happen, happen on Zoom, other people made plans. And it's reasonable to assume that not only couldn't he make this meeting, but that members of the public -- I don't even know how many people we have on this call. tried to do the best I can to let people know about this meeting tonight. But you know, people do have plans. And with only two days' notice, it was really not sufficient, in my view, to end a public hearing tonight. I think there should have been some more advance notice.

My comments, as you know, have been already on the record. I feel this, this

Proceedings

2.0

2.2

development is excessively dense with the number of units. I know you had to meet certain road requirement widths and setback requirements that were different from the original development. And as a result of the roads having to be improved, which they were improved, the units are closer together in the case of I think at least 30 units as close, was only having five feet of side yard.

That, to me, is not only a bad idea, but it's dangerous, in my view, if, God forbid, we had a fire or some other kind of major catastrophe down there, flood or whatever. Five feet side yard, I guess on two different units would be ten feet of side yard between the two. That doesn't seem like an awful lot of space.

So I think what you're doing is you're cramming in with 250 variances that were granted by the Zoning Board, which didn't have any ability to make any changes to those variances. I think you're cramming in too many units. It's too high dense, too high

1 Proceedings 2 density for this location. 3 And we will have additional flooding. 4 It's going to definitely happen. So we have 5 to consider that to be a definite 6 possibility. 7 So in summary, I guess I would suggest 8 and ask that the Board does continue the 9 public hearing and gives the public a chance 10 to have additional notice prior to closing 11 it, even though you feel you're at the end of 12 process right now. I think that's the right 13 thing to do during these times. This is a 14 new thing, these meetings. And I'm not even 15 sure everybody has access to these Zoom 16 meetings. But at least to give them enough 17 notice so they can try to connect. 18 appreciate that. 19 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Thank 20 you, George. Does anyone else have any 21 comments at this time? Because I'm not 2.2 seeing any hands yet. 23 MR. POTANOVIC: How many members of the 24 public do we have?

MS. DRECHSLER: I raised my hand.

25

```
18
1
                 Proceedings
2
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Where are -- I
3
    didn't even see. Who is that?
 4
         MS. DRECHSLER: I'm Jacqui Drechsler,
5
    and I --
6
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, go ahead,
7
             Sorry about that. Go ahead.
    Jacqui.
8
         MS. DRECHSLER: I'm not on video. I'm
9
     just -- well, I see you.
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Go ahead.
10
11
         MS. DRECHSLER: So I guess thank you for
12
     this opportunity. However, it was such short
13
    notice, I actually canceled another Zoom
14
    meeting that I was supposed to be on in order
15
    to be on this. And I believe that it is
16
     terribly short notice. Not everyone has the
17
     luxury to cancel a meeting, which I had.
                                               So
18
     I only heard about it through George
19
    Potanovic.
2.0
         MS. FILGUERAS: I raised my hand.
21
         MS. DRECHSLER: Hello? Hello?
2.2
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Go ahead, we hear
23
    you.
24
         MS. DRECHSLER: Am I still -- okay.
25
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Keep going, Jacqui,
```

2 keep going.

2.2

MS. DRECHSLER: Okay. So I just feel that this short notice, this should be put over on to the next meeting. And I also do believe -- obviously, I know the other attorney Ira has his opinion on people being able to make these meetings. But with such short notice, I, you know, I really think that we should respect that Mr., that Alex would like to come speak before the Board. And he has, I think he has in the past.

I still feel that the project -- you know, this is kind of piggybacking on George, that the side yard issue is a real issue.

You have a serious problem with emergency vehicles if there were a fire, if there were something there. It's just, it's just too close. And so I think there's still issues that somehow need to get resolved.

So my three things are these issues on health and safety need to get resolved.

Mr. Bursztein should have the right to come before the Board. And I really appreciated having more notice because actually, with

1 Proceedings 2 people going to Zoom meetings, there's so 3 many more things that you can go to and sign 4 up for and say you'll be a speaker at. And 5 you know, this wasn't, this wasn't enough 6 notice for me. So let's try to work on that 7 for the next time. 8 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Thank 9 you, Jacqui. 10 MS. DRECHSLER: Okay, thank you. 11 MS. FILGUERAS: Tom? 12 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Susan, you were --13 go ahead, Susan. 14 MS. FILGUERAS: I'm sorry. Susan 15 Filgueras, 87 Mott Farm Road. I can't figure 16 out how to raise my hand. I apologize to all 17 of you. 18 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: That's all right. 19 MS. FILGUERAS: Tom, thank you for doing 20 this. I haven't attended -- well, anyway, we 21 have the length of time. 2.2 I went to the Planning Board site hoping 23 to see the permits, the background of this 24 application. And basically, what I have are 25 the plats. If you look at Eagle Bay, you've

	21
Proceedings	
posted the FEIS, the DEIS, and several other	
documents. Why aren't the Ba Mar documents	
posted on the Planning Board site? I could	
have, like, read up on it and at least had a	
few more comments to offer.	
I will tell you, I guess I'm going to	
have to FOIL the DEC permit because I would	
definitely like to read this. This is still	
the 100-year floodplain, am I correct? Am I	
right or wrong? Is it still zoned as the	
100-year floodplain?	
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Bill, Bill. I think	
Bill can answer.	
MR. HONAN: Well, I don't think that's	
really the time for answer.	
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, not for answer.	
I'm sorry.	
MR. HONAN: Just, you can make your	
statement and we'll address it later.	
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We'll address it	
after your comments.	
MS. FILGUERAS: Okay. I'm sorry, Tom.	
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: That's all right.	
MS. FILGUERAS: At least if the	
	posted the FEIS, the DEIS, and several other documents. Why aren't the Ba Mar documents posted on the Planning Board site? I could have, like, read up on it and at least had a few more comments to offer. I will tell you, I guess I'm going to have to FOIL the DEC permit because I would definitely like to read this. This is still the 100-year floodplain, am I correct? Am I right or wrong? Is it still zoned as the 100-year floodplain? CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Bill, Bill. I think Bill can answer. MR. HONAN: Well, I don't think that's really the time for answer. CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, not for answer. I'm sorry. MR. HONAN: Just, you can make your statement and we'll address it later. CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We'll address it after your comments. MS. FILGUERAS: Okay. I'm sorry, Tom. CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: That's all right.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 documents were there, I could have read and 3 not asked a foolish question, or wasted the 4 time. I feel that the public hearing needs 5 to remain open. I would like to see the DEC 6 permit. I would like to see Orange and 7 Rockland approve what has been suggested and 8 what will be built prior to any approval, 9 only because health, safety, welfare of the 10 people that would purchase those units.

And I have, I have an ethical problem with simply posting a sign saying the road may flood. Remember, I'm one of the town residents that spent an enormous amount of money on a flooding issue by a builder who blithely walked away. I think the people that buy down there deserve to know that they're built in a floodplain.

Thank you. And thank you for doing this. And I hope all of you are healthy and safe.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, thank you,

Susan. Anyone? I can't -- Steve, can you

see any other people raising hands? I can't

see anything at the --

```
1
                 Proceedings
2
         MR. HONAN:
                      No. There are -- as far as
3
     I can see. Let me just do a quick check.
 4
     think there are no other hands raised, so I
5
     think that's it.
6
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.
7
         MR. STACH: I think, I think --
8
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Max, you wanted to
9
     say something?
10
         MR. STACH: Yeah.
                             I just wanted to
11
    point out that if you go to the bottom of the
12
     screen and you click on participants, you
13
    will see a list of everybody who is
14
    participating.
15
         MS. FILGUERAS: Oh, thank you.
16
    raise hand.
17
         MR. STACH: And raise hand is a button
18
    at the bottom of that list.
19
         MS. FILGUERAS: Thank you.
20
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, thank you, Max.
21
    All right. I think, I think at this point
2.2
    because of, you know, with the circumstances
23
    going on and everything with the Covid that
24
     I'd like to continue the public hearing to
25
    next month. I know Ira --
```

	24
1	Proceedings
2	MR. EMANUEL: Mr. Chairman, can I
3	respond?
4	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: No. Ira, hold on.
5	Ira, hold on. I know you're not in favor.
6	But there's a lot going on with the staff,
7	with the getting documents together. So I
8	think another month isn't going to do us any
9	harm.
10	MR. EMANUEL: Well, Mr. Chairman, if I
11	can.
12	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Go ahead.
13	MR. EMANUEL: This site plan, this site
14	plan has barely changed in over a year.
15	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right.
16	MR. EMANUEL: Okay. All we've been
17	working on in all that time are details.
18	Moving it maybe a feet here or a foot there.
19	Details in order to get this thing done. The
20	basic site plan been unchanged since the
21	beginning. And the reason why it's unchanged
22	since the beginning is because we started the
23	process out before we ever got to you.
24	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right.
25	MR. EMANUEL: We've been sitting down

2.0

2.2

with your Fire Inspector and your Building
Inspector, and said let's make this project
as safe as we can. Quite frankly, I resent
the fact that people are still talking,
members of the public are still saying well,
we're concerned about the health and safety
of the residents. Okay. It's been vetted by
your Building Inspector, by your Fire
Inspector, by your Town Engineer, by the
Members of the Board, okay. By the DEC.
Okay.

Everybody who actually knows the technical aspects of this thing have said we're good, that they have no issues with it, that this is a significant improvement over what's going on. And the mere fact that somebody from the public says well, I'm worried about health and safety, you know, as if we aren't? As if the applicant isn't?

Don't forget, this applicant is going to be continuing to own it, and continue to manage, okay. And if nothing else, if you attribute nothing else to its motives, it's got a profit motive to make sure that this

2.2

place is safe so that it doesn't get hit with all sorts of liability claims.

There is absolutely nothing that has been said, and in months, with respect to the layout other than we're concerned about this, we're concerned about that, there may be, you know, there's sea level rise, you know, maybe in 50 years, there might be some problems, okay. This is as good as it's going to get, okay. And I don't think that there's really anything that we're going to hear in the next month, okay, that's going to be any different from what we have been hearing for the past year, okay.

It's inappropriate to continue this public hearing. There is no reason to continue this public hearing. There is no new information that this Board is going to get from continuing the public hearing. And I think it's time that we moved on so that we can get this project going so that the 130 some families that are going to be moving in can move in a little bit faster, so that we can give some closure there, so that we can

```
1
                 Proceedings
2
    get this project going.
 3
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. All
 4
    right, Mr. Emanuel. But you know, I, I
5
    understand. But just so you know, like with
6
     the Planning Board, you know, I'm here for
7
     the residents, not the applicants. And I
8
    know we haven't met since February. So if
9
     there's some residents that need to speak,
10
    you know, I'd rather hear them now and give
11
     them that opportunity. Gene?
12
         MR. EMANUEL: Mr. Chairman, again, okay.
13
    You've had public hearings for over a year.
14
    We've barely had any changes. You haven't
15
    heard anything new tonight, okay, except from
16
     the applicant who continues to provide
17
    additional information as requested by the
18
    Board. We have given the Board every single
19
    document that it has requested. Every single
20
    document that it and its consultants need.
21
    Okay. There have been no changes to this
2.2
    plan, other than the most minor changes.
23
         And simply because somebody says yeah,
24
    well, maybe, you know, maybe we'll have
25
     something different to say in July, again,
```

1	Proceedings
2	for a year, there have been no substantive
3	comments with respect to this plan. And the
4	complaint that maybe it's too dense, which is
5	the only substantive comment that I heard
6	from Mr. Potanovic, quite frankly, we're
7	below the density that is allowed under your
8	code. And we've gotten the variances that
9	were needed. The ZBA granted those
10	variances, by the way, after a public hearing
11	that was well attended.
12	So that issue should be, should be
13	finished. It's over. There's nothing more
14	to talk about.
15	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. I'll go
16	to the Board. Gene, do you have any
17	comments?
18	BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: No. I can
19	understand where Mr. Emanuel is coming from.
20	What concerns me is we don't have final
21	clearance from Orange and Rockland. Other
22	than that, I really don't have an issue.
23	It's been a couple years. I can understand
24	their frustration to move forward. But I'd
25	like to see all the Ts dotted, you know, all

		29
1	Proceedings	
2	the Is dotted and the Ts crossed. I'm kind	
3	of mixed on this one.	
4	MR. EMANUEL: Mr. Kraese, we have the	
5	approval from Orange and Rockland. They want	
6	confirmation of one dimension, which we can	
7	provide for them. That's it. So we have the	
8	approval from Orange and Rockland.	
9	BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: All right, thank	
10	you. Again, I'm not really against closing	
11	it personally. I mean, we did beat this to	
12	death. I mean.	
13	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.	
14	BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Well, you're not	
15	getting a final resolution right now. It's	
16	just a matter of	
17	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right. They're not	
18	getting anything final.	
19	BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: I say poll the	
20	Board, Tom. See what they say.	
21	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. For the	
22	Board Members	
23	MR. HONAN: Tom, I believe we have some	
24	people who have their hands raised.	
25	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Which I	

```
1
                 Proceedings
2
    don't see.
 3
         MR. HONAN: I have Mr. Wilson.
 4
         MR. WILSON:
                       Yeah.
                              I wanted to address
5
    Chairman Gubitosa's comment regarding his
6
    responsibility. We have agreements in place
7
    to move, we have agreements in place to move
8
    the majority of the residents. So in
9
    delaying our approvals --
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Can you guys hold on
10
11
    a second? Can everyone, can everyone mute
12
     their mics? Susan. All right, now try it.
13
     Sorry.
14
                       As I was saying.
         MR. WILSON:
15
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah, better.
16
         MR. WILSON: We have agreements in place
17
    with the tenants that are currently there.
18
    And in delaying our approvals, you are
19
    delaying them from being able to move out of
20
     the community. So while your responsibility
21
     is to the residents of Stony Point, you are
2.2
    also holding up the people that are currently
23
     living in the community that we are ready to
24
    move out.
25
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: And what was -- I
```

```
1
                 Proceedings
2
    didn't catch the first part. What, are you
3
    part of the community? I didn't hear
 4
    where --
5
         MR. WILSON: I am with RHP Properties,
6
     ownership of Ba Mar.
7
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, okay. So you've
8
    got agreements with a lot of the tenants.
9
         MR. WILSON: That's correct. And we are
10
    waiting on approvals to begin the move out
11
    process.
12
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.
                                          All
13
    right, let me go to the Board. Steve?
14
         MR. BROWN: Excuse me, Tom. This is
15
    Joel Brown.
16
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Hey, Joel.
17
         MR. BROWN: Hi, how are you? Colby
18
    works with us as well. And I'd just like to
19
    add, what Colby's referencing is that the
2.0
    Board instructed us to work with the
21
    residents, and we've done so diligently.
2.2
    also were instructed to work with
23
    Mr. Bursztein and his clients. He neglected
24
    to contact us for months, and that's why
25
    there's a delay with his clients. So what
```

2.0

2.2

Colby Wilson is referencing is the fact that we've made agreements with the remaining residents to move, and we can't do so until we get the approvals to do so, right. So that's why this, the timing of the approval is so important to us.

And I'd just like to add further is that I don't feel -- I feel the need to mention it, but I think most you know, you know, we've been, we've gone over and beyond the call of duty to meet with everyone from the Town, the Building Department, individual Board Members. And we feel that we have made every attempt for the last two years to try to comply with all of the requests to be done. And at this point, that the timing is going to negatively impact those remaining residents that are there.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. I
think -- so, we go back, the -- Ira, the
happiness letter from O and R, we have that?
MR. EMANUEL: You have a series of
emails that have narrowed things down. And

the last email that we have and that was sent

1 Proceedings 2 over to the Board was dated June 24th. So 3 that's yesterday, I guess. And it says -- it 4 was an email from Jim Heady to Ken DeGennaro, 5 which says I reviewed your responses to the 6 latest comments. I note that my latest 7 comments have been addressed. In general, we 8 find the proposed project and associated work 9 within the Orange and Rockland easement 10 acceptable. However, we still need to 11 conduct a clearance assessment to ensure that 12 our facilities will remain in compliance with 13 the applicable codes and company standards, 14 and therefore, still subject to Orange and 15 Rockland issuing a formal consent letter 16 prior to construction beginning within our 17 easement. 18 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okav. 19 MR. EMANUEL: So this is the type of 20 thing that could easily be done post, post 21 final. Just like other permits that are 2.2 sought and obtained post final. 23 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I got you. Steve, I 24 got to ask you a quick question. If -- would

we be able to close the public hearing, but

25

		J <u>-</u>
1	Proceedings	
2	keep the written comments open until Monday	
3	so that the attorney can send us stuff?	
4	Because it will be, it's probably, we'll get	
5	it more precise if he sends us the comments.	
6	MR. HONAN: Yes. That option is open to	
7	the Board if you wish to close the public	
8	hearing but leave open the hearing for	
9	submission of any submissions. I might	
10	suggest a few more days than just	
11	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right. What do you	
12	think?	
13	MR. HONAN: But whatever the Board	
14	decides.	
15	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. So if	
16	we, if we could close the public hearing and	
17	keep the written comments open to the end of	
18	next week.	
19	MR. HONAN: Sure.	
20	MR. EMANUEL: Mr. Chairman, we really do	
21	think that the time has come for the Board to	
22	make a decision. And we believe that an	
23	approval should be granted. And we think	
24	you're ready now.	
25	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I don't think we're	

1 Proceedings 2 making a decision tonight, Ira. 3 MR. EMANUEL: May I ask why? 4 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We just got back 5 into session, and we have a lot of things 6 just to make sure that, you know, our Ts are 7 crossed, our Is are dotted. We just -- this 8 is our first Zoom meeting back. 9 MR. EMANUEL: Well, I quess as I said, 10 we've been working with, you know, despite 11 the fact that the Board hasn't been, hasn't 12 been meeting, we have been working with your 13 consultants. And your consultants have all 14 given the clearance and have all given the go 15 ahead. We think that if this was the type of 16 thing, the type of application that perhaps 17 you've only seen once or twice before, I 18 might understand more readily your concern 19 that you've only gotten to it. But you've 20 been dealing with this for well over a year, 21 perhaps two years. The Board is extremely 2.2 familiar with this project. 23 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, if I 24 may. 25 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Go ahead.

1

2 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: I would just like 3 to say the applicant makes a compelling, a 4 compelling argument. And I do feel that 5 we've gone the extra length as far as public 6 hearings are concerned. I think we've taken 7 in everybody possible. This Mr. Dershowitz 8 has not been able to attend for whatever 9 reasons he's had. But I think in fairness, 10 if we leave it open to him to put these 11 comments in writing, that we close the public 12 hearing and move ahead to see what 13 conditional things we can put in place before 14 we give a final approval. That's my opinion. 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Thank 17 you, Jerry. Bill, what's our options? 18 don't know, what kind of approval is Ira 19 looking for? Is it site plan? 2.0 MR. SHEEHAN: Well, what the applicant 21 is looking for, approval for the site plan 2.2 that was submitted. I don't have an 23 objection myself closing the public hearing 24 because I don't believe you're going to get 25 any new information that hasn't been

1 Proceedings 2 submitted over the last year or so. 3 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right. 4 MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I think they're 5 pretty well where they're going to be. 6 don't see any, you know, we can talk all we 7 want about the spacing of the units and 8 things like that. That's been gone over. 9 have, we have eliminated some of the units. They got their variances. So that's kind of 10 11 a moot question. So I really don't know what 12 would be new, you know, new information. 13 I did read the email from O and R. And 14 I do agree with Ira that between the, you 15 know, the virus and the clearance issue, 16 O and R wanted to wait until the weather was

I do agree with Ira that between the, you know, the virus and the clearance issue,
O and R wanted to wait until the weather was warm enough to actually get a good reading on the, on the sag of the lines. Which, you know, the last week, this week would have been a good time to measure that. But you know, I think we're pretty much there, or are there.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. So for the Board, so if we could close the public hearing, keep the -- he can do the written

1 Proceedings 2 comments, but would we just have to do 3 approval of a site plan, the current site 4 plan before us? 5 MR. EMANUEL: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The б only application before you is the site plan 7 application. We don't need a special permit. 8 We don't need any other permits. There is a 9 coastal consistency determination that needs 10 to be made, but I understand that that would 11 be part of the site plan approval resolution. 12 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. 13 what else would we have to do? Tonight? 14 MR. HONAN: Nothing more tonight, other 15 than make your decision with respect to 16 either closing the public hearing or keeping 17 it open, setting this matter down for the 18 next Planning Board meeting, to either 19 continue the meeting or to vote on the 2.0 resolution. There is no resolution now. 21 have to draft the resolution for you. CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. So right 2.2 23 now, for the Board, I'll ask if we want a 24 motion to close the public hearing. So I'll

25

just send that out to the Board and who is --

```
39
1
                 Proceedings
2
    there, go ahead, sorry.
3
         BOARD MEMBER ALESSI: I'll make that
 4
    motion.
5
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Kerri
6
    made a motion to -- we'll close the public
7
    hearing.
8
         BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: I'll second that
9
    with the condition that we allow
10
    Mr. Dershowitz to provide his comments.
11
     Sorry. Sorry, Mr. -- you know who I mean.
12
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, yes.
13
    Wait, I just need everyone to mute their --
14
    yeah, there it is. Jerry, just mute yourself
15
    so I don't bounce back. All right, so I have
16
    a motion to close the -- second from Jerry.
17
         MS. DRECHSLER: We can't hear you.
18
    can't hear you.
19
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: You can't hear me?
20
         MR. HONAN: Tom, can you repeat that,
21
    please?
2.2
         CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah. Can you hear
23
    me now?
24
         MR. HONAN: Yes, we can hear you now,
25
    Tom.
```

1 Proceedings 2 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. I have a 3 motion to close the public hearing with the 4 contingency to accept the written comments 5 from the attorney by the end of next week. And I had a motion from Kerri and a second by 6 7 Jerry to close the public hearing and just 8 keep, get the attorney's comments, the 9 written comments by next week. 10 MR. HONAN: Mr. Chairman, are you going 11 to just, are you keeping it open for general, 12 anyone from the public to submit? 13 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I'll keep it -- yes. 14 We'll keep it open for -- like he wanted it. 15 But we'll keep it open for the public up 16 until the end of next week. 17 MR. HONAN: Okay. 18 So I have the motion CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: 19 to close the public hearing, but keep the 20 written comments open to the end of next 21 week. I have a motion and a second. And I 2.2 I'll just poll the Board. Mr. Kraese? 23 BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Yes. 24 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yes. Mr. Johnson?

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON:

25

Yes.

41 1 Proceedings 2 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Ms. Alessi? Was 3 that a yes? You were on mute. Yes, she said 4 yes. 5 BOARD MEMBER ALESSI: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. Jerry? 7 BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Yes. 8 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Jerry, yes. And I 9 say yes, too. So we'll close the public 10 hearing, and we'll keep the comments, the 11 written comments open until -- let me see. 12 What's the date for the end of next week? 13 MR. STACH: Tom, I think you might have 14 missed somebody. 15 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Oh, Eric, I'm sorry. 16 Eric. 17 THE CLERK: And the date is the 26th. 18 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yes. But we're 19 closing the public hearing but keeping the 20 comments open to, for the public, July 3rd. 21 MR. HONAN: Mr. Chairman, July 3rd is a 2.2 holiday. So why don't we do it to the 23 second? 24 CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, we'll do

it to the second. So July 2nd, for the

25

		42
1	Proceedings	
2	written. Thank you, Steve. Thanks, Mary.	
3	THE CLERK: You're welcome. Eric, how	
4	did you vote?	
5	BOARD MEMBER JASLOW: Yes.	
6	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yes.	
7	THE CLERK: Okay.	
8	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.	
9	THE CLERK: And how did you vote, Tom?	
10	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I voted yes.	
11	THE CLERK: Okay.	
12	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Thanks, Mary. Now	
13	for the site plan, are we waiting for next	
14	month, Steve? Yeah.	
15	MR. HONAN: I have no resolution for you	
16	tonight.	
17	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. So Ira,	
18	we're going to wait, we have to wait until	
19	next month to do the site plan. I'm sorry.	
20	MR. EMANUEL: We're disappointed.	
21	CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Well, it's tough	
22	times. And you know, you know, I hope	
23	everyone just, you know, takes that into	
24	consideration. There's a lot going on, you	
25	know. A lot of people have been impacted by	

Proceedings this. So you know, I think a little patience and, you know, during these times would help, you know. We're all disappointed, but we just got to, we got to keep moving on. MR. EMANUEL: Mr. Chairman, I'm certainly sympathic to what's going on. We're still disappointed. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. You could be -- thank you, Ira. You could be disappointed. We'll see you next month. MR. EMANUEL: Okay. (Time noted: 7:48 p.m.) 2.2

www.courtreportingny.com Proceedings THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true and correct transcription of the original stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.