```
STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
TOWN OF STONY POINT : PLANNING BOARD
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X
    IN THE MATTER
        OF
    STONY POINT FIRE DISTRICT
        PROPOSED GARAGE
_ - _ - _ - _ - - _ _ - - _ - _ - - - - X
                                    Town of Stony Point
                                    RHO Building
                                    5 Clubhouse Lane
                                    Stony Point, New York
                                    June 25, 2020
                                    8:12 p.m.
                                    (via Zoom)
```

BEFORE:

THOMAS GUBITOSA, CHAIRMAN
KERRI ALESSI, BOARD MEMBER
ERIC JASLOW, BOARD MEMBER
MARK JOHNSON, BOARD MEMBER
EUGENE KRAESE, BOARD MEMBER
JERRY ROGERS, BOARD MEMBER

ROCKLAND \& ORANGE REPORTING
2 Congers Road New City, New York 10956
(845) 634-4200

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right, next item
on the agenda is the Stony Point Fire
District. This is the proposed garage. This is on Route 9W, Liberty Drive and Summit Avenue, and it was a new application. Is that you, Dave?

MR. ZIGLER: I'm back.
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.
MR. ZIGLER: Now that Stony Point --
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: You want me to
just --
MR. ZIGLER: Go ahead.
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Go ahead. Max, you want to -- do you have the plan, you want to share it? Go ahead. Steve, let -- all right. Thanks, Max.

MR. STACH: Steve, I need you to enable it.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. All right, Dave.

MR. ZIGLER: All right. So the plans up in front of you are much clearer than my layout. But what we have there is a garage.
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If you're looking at the property, if you're standing on 9W looking back at the property, on your right is the firehouse. That's the cross hatch building. And then in the back, there's a proposed garage.

Currently, there's a garage, a canopy, a patio. All that's going to come out. And it's going to be replaced by this garage. It's 50-foot by 36. And it has an overhang on the right side, that's the north side.

To our left, or to our south is the dentist. And that's the lowest, lower part of that building. And upstairs is a residential. And then to our north is a business. And to our east, the Greens. That's where the Greens live, up off of Jay.

What we're basically doing is taking a building down, replacing it, trying not to do any disturbance in the parking lot. And the building itself, the garage will set flush with the existing parking area.

We had some comments about lights.
We're not proposing any lights.
We had comments about some parking, our
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shrubs along the fence line. That would be to your left. And that's not possible. When there's an emergency, there's not enough parking. And during a normal everyday use, there's plenty of parking.

But people do parallel park along that fence. So if we had to cut up the pavement and start planting bushes, we would lose that area. So we're asking to leave the situation as it is.

We're not expanding, really. Very little expansion. And we need no permits from anybody. And we're in front of the Board for site plan review. We staked the building out. I think a few of you went out and looked at it.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yes.
MR. ZIGLER: About two months ago. And that's where we're at. It will have services. There will be just normal services into the building for water and sewer. And then that's it. I mean, it's pretty simple. But it's a garage. It's commercial. And it's a firehouse.
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CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. And there's always, there's always been something there. There was a, like, a picnic area that they've always had. So it's not like something new is going there.

MR. ZIGLER: No. And there's no other things going on.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right.
MR. ZIGLER: I mean, if you read some of the County Planner notes, it's, it's a firehouse. It's not going to be a McDonald's or a Dunkin Donuts.

And it's hard to buffer our neighbor Green because Green's house sits about eight to ten foot higher than the lawn. And that's just the basement. So it's really, the lot where we're talking about, where the horseshoe pit is, and that's Tax Lot 92 there. That was Green's lot.

So it's pretty simple. We went to the Town Board to get a resolution. I think Scott Dow is listening. He could speak up on that. And we also have, I hope, Mr. Perkins, who, if you have any questions about the
building, he's also, I believe, online.
MR. SHEEHAN: Dave. Dave.
MR. ZIGLER: Yes.
MR. SHEEHAN: That overhead service and
concrete curve, is that existing or proposed?
MR. ZIGLER: Where are you, this?
MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah.
MR. ZIGLER: That's proposed, proposed
overhang. And underneath that is a concrete slab.

MR. SHEEHAN: But that curb is proposed also?

MR. ZIGLER: This curb exists.
MR. SHEEHAN: But the overhead does not.
MR. ZIGLER: Correct. That will be new.
MR. SHEEHAN: Why don't you go
underground?
MR. O'ROURKE: Well, just to clarify, it's -- there's an existing overhead line there now that feeds one pole, and then feeds the light. So the pole is already there.

MR. SHEEHAN: Well, that's -- okay.
That's why I asked the question.
MR. ZIGLER: I'm sorry. The pole is
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right, yeah, right there where it says U-P. That's, that's the pole. And they just bring the electric in off of that.

MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Otherwise, I'd rather see it, you know, underground. But if it's existing, it's existing.

MR. ZIGLER: Yeah. And if we did go underground, you would put a pole on this side of Summit that would go underground.

MR. SHEEHAN: Right.
MR. ZIGLER: So you're still not losing the pole.

MR. SHEEHAN: Exactly. No, exactly. Well, I don't mind the pole on the property line by the road. But, you know, any time you can get the overhead underground. But again, if it's existing and you don't have to replace it, you know, then $I$ guess it's fine because, you know, any service, you know, under our code should be underground.

MR. ZIGLER: I understand.
MR. SHEEHAN: So, you know, that's something the Board has got to look at.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. So on
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this, Max, we should be -- do we have to be lead agency on this one, too?

MR. STACH: So, Tom, this -- because of the size of the building, this is a Type II action not subject to SEQR.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Okay.
MR. STACH: All right. And then I think, you know, Mr. Dow might be able to address it, or Steve. But this is sort of here for our site plan comments. But I understand -- maybe Steve could go over whether or not site plan approval is actually required.

MR. SHEEHAN: Why wouldn't it be required? Go ahead, Steve, I'm sorry.

MR. HONAN: I believe it would be required. The resolution that, which I looked at again from the Town Board, I think initially started out as essentially a waiver of the bulk requirements, and that they wouldn't have to go through the ZBA. Some of the language was a little more broad.

But there is a provision in there that basically states that the applicant will be
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before the Planning Board, and the application will go through the Planning Board. So it was my intention and understanding that this Board was going to refer them to the $A R B$, and then come back to us for the final approval. That was my understanding.

MR. DOW: And with respect to that -again, this is Scott Dow, attorney for the Stony Point District. Again, we have no problem going through the site plan approval process. I'm not sure of the necessity to go to the ARB. If the Planning Board thinks it's necessary, certainly we'll cooperate, and we'll do that. We're hoping to get final site plan approval this evening.

But again, if -- it's my understanding it's going to be a brick structure. It's going to look like the existing firehouse. Any paint, it's going to, you know, mirror what the existing firehouse looks like.

So, you know, I'd like to avoid as much time delay as possible. But again, if the Planning Board feels that it's appropriate to
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go to the ARB, certainly we're not going to, you know, object to that.

MR. SHEEHAN: Well, if I can chime in. I don't think the Planning Board has an option once -- you know, the zoning code, which the Town Board really doesn't have, can vary from, requires that any site plan, commercial site plan review, they have to refer it to the ARB.

MR. DOW: Well, with all due respect, with all due respect, I think, Mr. Sheehan, with respect to another governmental entity where the balancing of interests --

MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
MR. DOW: You know, we've gone through that process. And I think the Town Board has indicated that, you know, the Fire District enjoys certain immunities because of that status as a governmental entity. So I certainly think the codes, although they do apply in the Town of Stony Point, if this Planning Board wanted to, they wouldn't have to necessarily apply those codes to this particular project. I think, I think the law
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would allow for that under the balancing of interests tests and the immunity that's granted to the fire district.

MR. SHEEHAN: Well, that's your opinion. Okay.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I'm looking at the Town Board's resolution. I'm trying to, but. Bill, for the Ambulance Corps, they had to go to the ARB, didn't they?

THE CLERK: Last ones, they came before us for just the signs, and they were already on the site plans.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right.
THE CLERK: I don't remember when the ambulance building came.

MR. DOW: Yeah. I'd also like to mention that the Ambulance Corps is a not for profit corporation and has a different standing in law, other than a Fire District, which is a political subdivision of the State of New York.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: I'm trying to think about the new firehouse across from the police station. That came before us. Did we
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go to the, did we go to the ARB with them?
THE CLERK: I don't remember.
MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, we did.
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah, we did, didn't
we.
MR. SHEEHAN: Absolutely.
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah.
MR. DOW: A much more involved, a much
more involved construction.
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: No, I understand.
But, you know, I got to follow the rules for everyone. And I know the Town Board gave you
some consideration for the fees and all that.
But just going to the ARB, I think if it's part of what we have to do, you know, I want to make sure that we're doing things right.

MR. DOW: Understood.
MR. ZIGLER: Are you having any ARB
meetings, Mary?
MR. SHEEHAN: She's -- the boss is on that site somewhere, Mary.

THE CLERK: I'm lost. If we have it outside, I'll have one. Then you can do it yourselves.
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CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Did we get designs
for the garage other than --
MR. SHEEHAN: I don't believe, I don't believe we have any plans, no. We have elevations.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: We just have elevations. We don't have what the building is going to look like.

THE CLERK: No, we just have what's on the, on the screen.

MR. ZIGLER: No, you actually have elevations of the building with the --

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Right.
MR. ZIGLER: -- the brick and the siding.

THE CLERK: Correct.
MR. DOW: The architect Perkins might
have submitted something.
MR. ZIGLER: No, I submitted it.
MR. SHEEHAN: With the application, Dave?

MR. ZIGLER: Yeah. And this is actually the old map. There's a map newer than this one.
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MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. I haven't seen it.

MR. ZIGLER: Yeah.

THE CLERK: I haven't, either. Did you
submit it online, or you just paper copies?
John? John Perkins?

MR. ZIGLER: Both, both.

THE CLERK: Both?

MR. ZIGLER: Yes.

THE CLERK: Wait a second. John, you
sent an email? When did you send an email to me?

MR. PERKINS: Not to you.
THE CLERK: Oh, okay.
MR. PERKINS: Not to you. I have, I can do a screen capture and show the Board what I have so far.

THE CLERK: Okay, why don't you do that?
MR. PERKINS: If you allow me.
THE CLERK: I'm lost. I can't do it, you have to ask Steve to do it.

MR. PERKINS: Whoever is responsible.
THE CLERK: Steve?

MR. HONAN: I thought it's activated.
Hold on. Give me one second. Yeah, you
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should be able to do it right now.
MR. PERKINS: Okay. All right. So
these are the views of the exterior of the building as we're kind of developing it. Split face block on three sides. The front will be brick to match the existing structure, much like that. So that's the front elevation from the existing Fire Department.

These are preliminary drawings. That's the other side. Again, split face block on three sides, brick, white trim, overhead doors. There will be a mezzanine balcony that goes on three sides and rear. And decorative window in the front there, and then overhead doors. And the opposite side, as you saw, will be a covered area that actually contains the covered patio surface. As you can kind of see. Any questions?

MR. O'ROURKE: Well, this is John O'Rourke. I just want to clarify.

MR. PERKINS: Sure.

MR. O'ROURKE: Because I didn't -- one, I didn't receive those in my package, but it
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really -- that's not an issue. But I'm concerned because in the grading plan, specifically the rear of the building is about half buried as well with the portion of that pavilion with a wall. My understanding was from Dave that that was going to be designed into the building. So I just want to clarify that.

MR. PERKINS: Yeah, you can kind of see on the back left corner here, the grade is kind of sloping up. We've got about a, I think a five or six-foot grade up to the back.

MR. O'ROURKE: I was more just concerned about the pavilion side as well, because I think it's a little deeper there. So as long as that's part of the building and not the design on the site plan with the retaining wall, that's fine. But I'm understanding that the wall was extending out from the building and covering the flat area with a pavilion as well.

MR. PERKINS: Yeah, it's likely that this will extend as a retaining wall back
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here. But that's kind of dependent on the grade.

MR. SHEEHAN: Well, that we kind of really need to know.

MR. PERKINS: Yeah. I almost think it will, we will have to.

MR. ZIGLER: Yeah. The wall extends to the north.

MR. PERKINS: Yup.
MR. ZIGLER: And makes a little bit of a hook around the corner, and then it will be open, yes.

MR. SHEEHAN: Is that wall going to be part of the building?

MR. ZIGLER: Yes.
MR. PERKINS: Yes. That will be the same split face block as the sides.

MR. SHEEHAN: You might also want to think about that overhead wire with that pitch of that roof, too, Dave. Maybe go underground from that first pole on the property. Otherwise, you're going to have to bring that wire to the front of the building.

MR. STACH: I have the revised plan if
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you want me to put it up. It does show the wall.

MR. ZIGLER: My question is if we go to the ARB and they don't have a meeting, what happens?

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Well, they can have a meeting. If Mary can't, doesn't, can't make it, they can still have a meeting. We're going to, we're going to, we're going to have to start, you know, ARB is five members. You can always have the meeting up at RHO. And you know, there's no problem social distancing up there.

MR. ZIGLER: No, I'm all for it. You set it, I'll be there. John Perkins will be there, and we'll have --

MR. SHEEHAN: I think you should actually have a plan showing the wall and what's actually being built. And again, I think that that pole that must have that existing streetlight on it now.

MR. PERKINS: Yes.
MR. SHEEHAN: You might want to go underground from there and --
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MR. ZIGLER: Come into the site.

MR. SHEEHAN: Well, yeah, because the pitch of that roof over that pavilion, you know, where you have that overhead.

MR. PERKINS: Yes.
MR. SHEEHAN: You know, that's going to be a problem with that roof.

MR. ZIGLER: Okay.
MR. SHEEHAN: You know, you're not going
to have the height is what I'm saying.
MR. ZIGLER: Yeah. I'll get together with John Perkins on that.

MR. SHEEHAN: You know, until you get to the actual main part of the building.

MR. ZIGLER: Right.
MR. SHEEHAN: So you're going to have to have a mast sticking out of the middle of roof there.

MR. PERKINS: Yeah, there definitely will be a weather head or something there.

MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, exactly. So you might want to think about going underground from that pole.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. And I
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think, like Bill said, I think we'll refer you to the ARB.

THE CLERK: They're really going to need a sample board, also.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yeah.
MR. PERKINS: That's in process.
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right.
MR. O'ROURKE: One more, one more
comment as we're going through here, and I just confirmed because I spoke with Dave. That back slope was pretty steep, so Dave was going to modify that and note it as a no mow lawn in the back, or where that swale is.

And then Dave, a question, did you reply -- I didn't see a response to the County comments. I think we got to reply to them because when we approve it, we have to, you know, confirm that.

MR. ZIGLER: I actually sent my comments to Steve. There was some good ones, too.

MR. HONAN: They were. They were very good comments. I could share them with everybody else.

MR. O'ROURKE: Okay, as long as he
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responded, that's all I -- yeah.
MR. ZIGLER: They did.
MR. O'ROURKE: Politely, I'm sure.
MR. ZIGLER: Always.
CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Good. All right.
Then I guess, I guess I'll make the motion to
refer them to the $A R B$. I need a second.
BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Mark, second. I got
a motion and a second to the ARB. And I'll
poll the Board. Jerry?
BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Kerri?

BOARD MEMBER ALESSI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Mark?

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Gene?

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: And myself, yes. So you'll be going to the $A R B$ with all the plans, and Mary will kind of tell you what you need to give them.

MR. ZIGLER: Okay. Thank you for your time.
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        CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Thank you.
        MR. PERKINS: Thank you.
        MR. DOW: And then will we return back
to the Planning Board on the 23rd?
    CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: Yes. Well, first,
we'll probably go to the -- if we have a TAC
meeting. But you can let -- the office will
let you know, like, if you're on the agenda
for the TAC meeting.
    MR. DOW: Okay. Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN GUBITOSA: All right. We're
good.
    (Time noted: 8:32 p.m.)
                OOo
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