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> Proceedings

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Mary, would you poll
the Board, please?
THE CLERK: Mr. Joachim?
BOARD MEMBER JOACHIM: Here.
THE CLERK: Mr. Jaslow?
BOARD MEMBER JASLOW: Here.
THE CLERK: Mr. Ferguson?
BOARD MEMBER FERGUSON: Here.
THE CLERK: Mr. Rogers?
BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Here.
THE CLERK: Mr. Kraese?
BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Here.
THE CLERK: Acting Chairman Muller?
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Here. Before we start, could we just check our phones to make sure they're on silent? If you do need to take a call, we just ask you to step out of the room so that it doesn't disrupt the meeting.

Tonight is a continuation of a public hearing. If you would like to speak, we ask you to sign the form up front. You will have three minutes to speak, or thereabouts.
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There will be another public hearing. Tonight is not the end all. So please keep that in mind that if you choose not to speak tonight, or if you do speak and you think of something else you'd like to add, there will be more opportunities at another public hearing. The public portion will not end tonight. So you will have more opportunities.

I don't know if this is your first time, or if you're familiar with the procedure. But tonight is your chance to tell us your concerns. But what we don't do is respond to you.

It's your opportunity to express your concerns. They will all be put into the record. We have a chance to then have it written down. We all look at the questions, and we answer them at the appropriate time.

But tonight is not the chance for this Board to interchange. So if we don't answer you, it's not because we're being rude. It's just part of the procedure.

Tell us what you think. It gets logged.
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You can also write questions down and submit to the Town Planning Board, which then will get added to the record as well.

Just one more thing. We've done a lot of projects in this town. We've had big projects. We've done the Shop Rite shopping center. We've done the CVS and the Walgreen shopping center. We've done the Sterling Bank, which was Provident. We've overseen the development of the firehouse, the ambulance corps, the children's daycare center. We've done a lot of projects.

This is a difficult project. It's difficult because of the emotions that are involved and what the people want. We have a difficult job of getting it right.

We need to listen to the experts. We need to listen to all your input. We need to make sure that the project is done safely and thoroughly. It's not an easy spot to be in.

We are here tonight to listen to your concerns. We will take you seriously. And we'll get it the best we can. I just wanted to let everybody know that we really do take
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this seriously. We've done a lot of projects. I think this is the most difficult one that I've ever sat on. And I sat on all of those that I just stated.

So if you'd like to come up and speak, are we ready? First person want to come --

MR. HONAN: Why don't we open it up to Dave?

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Open it to Dave? Dave, I'm sorry.

MR. HONAN: Presentation by the applicant.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Dave, you want to make your presentation first? My apologies.

MR. ZIGLER: Hi, I'm Dave Zigler from Atzl, Nasher and Zigler, and I'm here tonight with Dwight Joyce, the attorney, and Harry Baker, who did a traffic report, and George Lopez, who is the architect.

We had a public hearing very early in the spring, I believe. We had a map up. And of course, we're talking about Dunkin' Donuts at Algonquin and Willow Grove. We took comments at that time. That was just a

## Proceedings

concept map. It wasn't as detailed as the plans we had submitted in June.

So since that first meeting, we've made revisions to the plan. We went to the workshop. We made some more revisions. We met with the County. We made some more revisions. And we actually met with the highway department and the police department on traffic movement on the site itself.

The plans that are up on the board were actually submitted in June. We were going to ask for a public hearing in August. And there was some comments Mary received about people being away on vacation. So without any problem, we moved it to tonight, so October. We're trying very hard to work with everybody, make everybody happy. So when the project's finished, it's a good project.

Basically, it's an addition to the existing Dunkin' Donuts. If I can get my light to work here. There we go. Right there.

That's the -- this big box here is Dunkin' Donuts. So up to the top of the map
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is north. Down to the bottom is south. East is to the right, west is to the left. That's Algonquin Drive, and this is Willow Grove right there.

Sometimes the easiest way to speak about a map is if you're standing in Willow Grove Road and looking at the building. Then the building would be, of course, the front, the back, the opposite, and the right and the left. So if you're not good with directions, I'll use both, east and west and left and right.

This is the front of the site right near where the gas pumps are. And that's -- very beginning box right there that you see, that's the original building. The building has an addition to the left, and an addition to the right, and it's longer.

Everything on the left here is either a walk-in freezer or a retail cooler that you would get beverages out of. There's a service door here on the left, which is the west side. When you walk in there, you can either make a left into this walk-in cooler,
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the freezer, or it goes straight into the back of the Dunkin' Donuts service area.

The door in the back is another service area for storage. And then you have the dumpster.

This entire length here is either
coolers, a walk-in cooler, a freezer, and then a kitchen right here. On the opposite side, where it pops out here, that is, again, a walk-in cooler. So you would go in there, and that might be soda in there. There's also -- that's where the ice box is going to be moved.

The door to the building is in the back. It's in the back one third on east side. The right side of the building, it's in the back because what we're trying to do on the site plan is pull the people away from the front of the building.

I mean, if you've looked at it or been there, which all these people have, there's where it's congested. People park in front of it. They pull in front and run in to get coffee. They pull right here at the exit and
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go in for coffee sometimes. Which is quite confusing, but they do that.

So how do we change that? We changed it by channelizing the movement in and out. In is going to be on the east side, the right side of the building. That's all it is, in. It's 20 foot wide.

We did have 24 foot. We changed it to 20 because some people said hey, they're liable to park along there and just run in to the door. So that's 20 foot wide.

Then we have angled parking in the back. So you would circulate, if you're coming in, you would go straight through on the right side, east side, go to the back, and you would park. Angled parking, that was a recommendation of the police chief, and it made sense because people are in a rush when they go to Dunkin' Donuts or the deli.

You would exit the site onto Algonquin Drive. So that's your movements that we're looking -- that's your major movements 95 percent of the people are going to do. They're going to come in, they're going to
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get their product, and leave.
In the front we put a curb, concrete curb six inches high, higher than the grade. We put that all around the building. This is going to have a drop curb here for the fuel, fuel trucks, and for the deliveries. They come right through this.

That's the only thing that's going to go on the west side of this building, the left side, deliveries or the fuel truck. There's not going to be no pass through, there's not going to be any exit.

The two islands are still going to work the same for putting in your gas at the pumps. The thing we did do is we added an island in the front. It's a circular island, it's concrete, and it's going to have signage on both ends so nobody can park there. It's very important, you know, to get the people off the front that actually park on the edge line now, the white line, and run in.

So we're going to get rid of that. And then sometimes you get the bigger trucks that stop right there. That's not going happen
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anymore with the curb and the signs and all.
The curb is going to go around and down to the catch basin. Now this curb, it's six inches high. As you know, right now you get out of your car, you walk in the front door, it's all level. We're going up six inches.

So part of problem with this building when George had to design was how do you keep this floor, and working, and how do you build a building around it? So that's why the building is wider to the left and the right and going back because they're going to encapsulate those inside walls, put the coolers in and bust the walls, then move the operation from the front to the back. And then they have to go in and lift the floor one foot. Because remember, we've got a concrete curb around the building.

The curb's going to stop people from pulling in and pulling in front of the door in such a way right now that you can't even get in the door sometimes. Sometimes there's two people, two cars there, two vehicles.
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There's no door in the front. My assistant just reminded me of that. There's no door in the front. The only thing that's going to be in the front is going to be a service window. The service window is just for the gas, not for any product. And you pay gas, if you don't have a credit card or whatever, you go to that service window.

That's going to be the only other service item outside. Any other thing, you're going to have to go through this human door right there. That door goes in, got a vestibule, so it's extra wide.

As far as the site itself, all the green stuff you see is actually an indication of landscaping or lawn. All the trees that are along Algonquin now have to come out, those cedars and things, because they're actually in the sight line of looking up and down the street.

So we're going to replant all trees. And you see they're going to be inside the fence, and deeper away from the fence so that you can see up and down the street. There's
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going to be trees along the back for a buffer between our neighbor to the north. Also in the back, there's going to be an eight-foot fence going from one end to the other.

Some of these items that I'm pointing out are actual comments or requests or complaints about the existing site. So we tried to address those.

The stream that flows through there, which is really just a drainage ditch, it's going to be piped. It's going to be piped from one end of the street to the other end. And it's going to have a short spur that picks up the drainage coming off our north end neighbor. That's going to keep the drainage that comes down Algonquin, it's going to go into the pipe straight through, and all the way to where it goes now to Willow Grove.

Our drainage is going to drain from the back, the north to the south, the front, and go around the corner. We have catch basins within the site. That's because the site is lower in the front than it is in the back, so
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there's no way we can drain it back. So that's going to be draining out to the front.

As far as lighting, the lights that we have on here are LED lights. They're the best lights you could have because you can tilt them, you can turn them. You can turn them up, you can turn them down. You can have security settings. You could have one light stay on all the time. The rest of the lights could be on security. If it's too bright for somebody, you could tilt it down. If it's still too bright, you can dim it.

So the LED lights on this, $I$ think it's quite an improvement over what we have on the site now. Plus, it's going to help with the security.

As far as the signage, there's going to also be signage along Willow Grove. That's one of the recommendations that the police chief wanted because he didn't want people coming up and then parking here where the shoulder's about six foot wide and then going to the store, because that, that would be quite a mess, to tell you the truth.

Rockland and Orange Reporting rowork@courtreportingny.com - (845) 634-4200

## Proceedings

So we're asking and requesting from the County to put no parking signs along here. There will be no parking signs on both sides of this entrance. Plus, it's going to be painted for a fire lane. We went and discussed this with the Town, discussed it with the Supervisor, discussed it with the highway department and also the police chief about putting no parking on both sides of Algonquin Drive.

We can't really do that without permission from the Town Board. And also, really, we would like to have permission from Mrs. Phillips over here because it's in front of her property. But if she agrees to it, we intend to put signage on both sides of the street up to our property line right here. That way, it will keep people from parking.

And one of the comments we had was when something's going on in North Rockland, they line the streets. And that's true. They park on both sides. At least now, it will keep them from parking close to the intersection.

Rockland and Orange Reporting rowork@courtreportingny.com - (845) 634-4200

Proceedings
That's about it. The building itself is -- George is going to go to the ARB, so we have to -- that's one the reasons we're leaving the public hearing open. We also have to make some changes. The plans that we submitted back in June didn't have this notch here. We had to make a notch there because our building was too close to the right of way. So overall, this building's about 3400 square foot.

And then we also have met with the Rockland County highway department about the radius here. And the intent is to put a concrete curb radius here, come down, put a driveway cut for this driveway. It's going to define -- if you go out there now, it's just one big area, blacktop right here. It's all -- and you can pull from the telephone pole is right about there. So it's one big area of blacktop.

The intention is to redefine or define the entrance and exit for this house by putting a driveway cut in, and then continuing that curb down to the catch basin.
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So the puddles that you get there now, that will also be solved with this curb that will channel it. And then our drainage is going to go that way.

The other items we have, basically, is this is going to be some kind of material. It's not pavement or concrete. We were thinking about doing it in some kind of stone so it would look different.

This is all going to be buffered here with landscaping. So if you come up Algonquin and look over, hopefully once this is grown in four or five years, you won't see anything to the right. That's about it. We have submitted --

MR. POTANOVIC: Can you show how the gas station is going to work, how the gas station entrance is going to work?

MR. ZIGLER: It's going to be the same. There's been quite a discussion about this, to tell you the truth, George. I'm using your first name.

MR. POTANOVIC: Sure.
MR. ZIGLER: Okay. If you're coming
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westbound towards the parkway, and you come in and you want to get gas, you pull in. You could pull in on either side. The lot is today -- yeah, that's today. And that's the way it's going to be when we're done.

And then you would exit this way. So you're still going to have this slight on Algonquin and exit at the pumps, which is going to be a lot less than what it is now. But if you're coming down the hill, and right now our intention is if you're coming down the hill and you pull in there, that you're going to have to make a left here and go out this way to go out, not make a right, because you'd be going out the entrance.

So we're going to do that with signage here, and tell you that you have to make a left to exit. So there's going to be quite a few signs along this little area right here as far as one-way signs, no parking signs, fire lane signs with no parking. And then, of course, the directional signs, make a left and exit. And then out here on Algonquin, we would have signs, exit only, so people
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wouldn't go in that way.
That's it. If you have any questions. There's seven pages, I think. We have the landscaping drawing, we have a detailed lighting, erosion control.

We also submitted a traffic movement report. Traffic intersection with the increase in activity because of this exit and what it would do to this intersection.

And we submitted a drainage report previously, earlier with the submission for, that we had in June. Any questions? This is George, the architect.

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Just one question, Dave. Is the last map you submitted the July 7th map? Is that what we're looking at?

MR. ZIGLER: I think it's 6/26/17, I think it says on there, on the top right.

THE CLERK: That's the map.
BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: The total number of spots you got for parking on this map is how many?

MR. ZIGLER: All our parking spots are
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in the back, and it's 28 right about now. If you go out there and count, you got about, I'd say 16, 18. It depends on how you count. But this is 28.

There was quite a discussion about the amount of spaces. There was even some thought of making these in reserve. I think we're still kind of discussing that. And Mr. Sarna wanted some more information on that. So that's one the things we have to also address for the future meeting.

BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: Okay, thank you.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: We got some more?
Max?
MR. STACH: No. But I would let you know that John Sarna has joined us tonight. He has reviewed the applicant's traffic circulation. And as I understand, the parking is still a work in progress. There's still some final details on that. But if you have any other questions or you want to hear from him, he's available tonight as well.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Would it be wise to have him speak now?
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MR. STACH: Yeah, I'd say so.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Mr. Sarna?
THE CLERK: He's right here.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Would you like to come and address everybody, please?

MR. SARNA: I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Would you like to come and address the gathering? Come give us your report?

MR. SARNA: My name is John Sarna. I'm the traffic consultant to the Town for this project. I've been in traffic engineering for over 50 years, professional engineer, and a fellow of the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

I've been reviewing the traffic analyses reports as they've been submitted, which started in April of this past year. And I've seen three or four different versions of it so far. The first one just saying that there wouldn't be any traffic increase; and the second one saying that there would be because there would be a larger store; and then the third and fourth, just variations on them
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with different traffic generation rates.
It's still a work in progress. The report is not ready yet. The applicant's report is not ready yet for final submission. But I have reviewed what has been written so far.

And basically, there are three analyses in the report. One is the traffic, and in particular, the increase of Willow Grove and Algonquin. Second is a analysis or a discussion of the revised circulation and the exit onto Algonquin. And the third deals with the parking.

The first two are fairly solid at this point. The traffic analysis as it is now constituted is based on a projection of future traffic in the whole development. It has been assumed that the traffic which now uses the gas station, that that will stay at the same level because nothing has changed.

That traffic is usually based on the amount of traffic passing the site on the road, and since that isn't changing to a significant deal, the gas station traffic
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would not increase. I can accept that analysis.

The second traffic is the traffic which is generated strictly by the Dunkin' Donuts. This operation is not supposed to change very much, although it may be a little more room inside and a little more smoother operation. For the purpose of this analysis, they, Baker Associates has an estimated 15 percent increase in the Dunkin' Donuts traffic, which I find also acceptable.

The third is the traffic from the convenience store itself. And this does change because in addition to increasing the size, they are now putting in facilities for ice cream and for pizza. We've tried to, Baker has tried to use standard trip generation rates from the Institute of Transportation Engineers. One of the problems in using them is that there is nothing in their manuals for a combined Dunkin' Donuts, pizza and convenience store.

So using the various, the various methodologies from the ITE, they've been able
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to come up with a reasonable projection of what the future traffic will be. This has been used to estimate the total traffic on the road from not a -- a traffic analysis was done using the standards of the highway capacity manual.

The report, as it's shown so far, shows that there will be no significant change in the operating levels of the traffic on the streets, particularly at the main intersection. There will, of course, be more traffic on the Algonquin approach, but it's shown to be within the limits, within the limits of acceptability according to the manual.

Now one thing, when you're looking at the manual, it's not -- it's judging strictly on the amount of traffic which can be accommodated by the intersection. It does not take into account things such as quality of life, noise, anything like that. This is traffic engineers. It's strictly whether the intersection can handle the traffic within acceptable limits, and the acceptable limits
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are usually measured by the average waiting time for a car in an intersection.

An unsignalized intersection, you're talking about the, basically the waiting time of the approach which is controlled by the stop sign, which in this case would be on Algonquin. You do have more traffic on Algonquin because of the revised traffic circulation pattern. The delays will increase. But according to the ITE standards, they are still within the acceptable levels of service according to capacity, according to how much traffic can be accommodated.

There will be more traffic. There will be some more queues. But again, by ITE standards, it's acceptable.

In terms of the design which puts the exit onto Algonquin, this is basically in line with standard traffic operations designs. You want your traffic to come out onto the lower volume road, and to be able to get onto the higher volume road in a controlled manner. At present, coming out
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through the gas pumps and such, out this definitive location, and mixing with the incoming, entering traffic for the gas station, it's confusing and provides congestion. The movement, taking the exit and putting it out to Algonquin is, by traffic engineering standards, safer and more controlled.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Excuse me, John?
Could you speak into the mic, please?
MR. SARNA: Oh, I'm sorry.
MR. ZIGLER: So everybody could hear?
MR. SARNA: I was trying to address the audience as well. The exit onto Algonquin provides a more controlled location and puts the traffic getting back onto Willow Grove on to a controlled access, a controlled intersection with a stop sign. It's safer. In terms of parking, they've provided 28 parking spaces. And the analysis that's in the draft report that $I$ have, and it's really still a work in progress, but the analysis shows that 28 spaces would be sufficient. Basically, that's what I have. I hope
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I'm not really advocating the applicant's position. I'm just trying -- all I can do is review what is in the report, and to the extent that $I$ agree with it or not, I'm reporting that.

The report still needs some work. My final comments would be on the final report. But from what I've seen so far in the worksheets, the traffic analysis and the parking appear to be acceptable. That's basically what I have.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: I have a question for you. You had said that the gas, the use of gas pumps should remain the same because there's nothing different there, correct?

MR. SARNA: Yes. Well, that's the assumption that they've made.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: According to your report, that's what you stated to us, correct?

MR. SARNA: Not according to my report, according to their report.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: The report that you're reviewing?
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MR. SARNA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Then you said the use of the Dunkin' Donuts should basically remain the same, shouldn't increase any kind of traffic because it's just a nicer store, but according to the report, you don't anticipate it increasing any traffic, correct?

MR. SARNA: They've made an arbitrary increase of 15 percent.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: I'm sorry, he did say 15 percent, I'm sorry. You did say there would be an increase because of the convenience store, because of the ice cream and the pizza that being added.

MR. SARNA: Yes. Also because of the increased size.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Then you had said you thought that this is still a safer layout with the increased traffic that we're getting from the pizza and the ice cream, it's still your feeling that this layout is the safest?

MR. SARNA: Including the exit to Algonquin?

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Yes.
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MR. SARNA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Okay, that's all I
wanted to ask you. Thank you. Anybody from the Board have any questions? Max?

PUBLIC SPEAKER: I got a question.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: No, that doesn't come from -- you can't question him. You can ask us, which we will log down. Bill, do you have any questions or comments?

MR. SHEEHAN: No.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Everybody else is good, thank you very much. That will come in --

PUBLIC SPEAKER: I have a question for the Board.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Yeah, you'll sign the sheet when we get a chance, and then we'll get ready to speak. Dave, was there anything you'd like to say before we open it up to the public? Are we going by the number on the list? Is somebody going to read it?

THE CLERK: No, they're not doing it right. I told them you call them up and then they sign, but they're not doing that.

Proceedings
BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: We'll take the list.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: We'll take the list and we'll call them up.

THE CLERK: Okay.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Then we'll take it at the end.

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Mary, could you take the first page off there and let them sign the second page? After this gentleman, please.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: All right, we're about to open it up to the public. Just as a reminder, you get three minutes. You'll be speaking to us. We won't be commenting back. We'll be recording any questions, concerns you have. You can submit anything in writing after today. There will be another open hearing, which you'll get a chance to either add or speak for the first time if you haven't in the past. But we're going to open it up to the first.

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Are you Kevin Conway?

Proceedings
MR. CONWAY: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: He can go to that page.

MR. CONWAY: Good evening, Chairman, Members of the Board. I'm Kevin Conway. My law office address is 664 Chestnut Ridge Road, Chestnut Ridge, New York. I have also have Peter Pulice here tonight, who's a certified land use planner both in New York and New Jersey, testifying on behalf of our clients who are the neighboring property owners. So I would ask --

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Excuse me. What's the neighboring property owner's name that we're talking about?

MR. CONWAY: They're going to testify tonight. I have three clients that live adjoining to the property.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Okay, we're asking who they are.

MR. CONWAY: They're here tonight. They're going to speak after me.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: That's okay.
MR. CONWAY: They're right there in the
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audience. So I would ask the Board just to extend a little bit longer than three minutes. I'll try to keep it short, executive summary so we don't need to -because I know there's a lot of people who want to speak tonight.

There's two issues with regard to the, my presentation tonight. Mr. Pulice will deal with why we believe this doesn't work on the site as it exists now in a residential neighborhood. But I'll speak primarily to the legal issues, and I believe the legal error the Board, with all due respect, committed last time we were here when we sat for the non public portion, when I don't even think it was the Board's attorney suggested that the Board and the applicant does not have to go to the Zoning Board. This is a preexisting nonconforming --

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Before you continue, can we first, could you answer what we first asked you? Who are the people that you're representing? You just mentioned --

MR. HAROLD: We raised our hands.
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CHAIRMAN MULLER: I can't -- please.
MR. RUTTER: Lee Rutter.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: He'll answer the
question. We just want to know who he represents.

MR. CONWAY: Just state your names for him.

MR. RUTTER: I'm trying to. Lee Rutter.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Could you spell your last name, please?

MR. RUTTER: $R-U-T-T-E-R$.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Thank you.
MR. HAROLD: Hugh Harold. H-U-G-H, last
name is Harold, $H-A-R-O-L-D$.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Thank you very much.
MS. PHILLIPS: Karla Phillips.
K-A-R-L-A, Phillips, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{S}$.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Thank you.
MR. CONWAY: Yeah, the last time we were here, I don't even think was the legal adviser to the Board advised the Board that the applicant and this Board can make a determination with regard to an amended site plan or a site plan approval for this
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extension without having the matter go to the Zoning Board for interpretation. That I advised this Board, I advised the applicant's attorney and this Board that the Board would do this with great legal peril.

The case law is crystal clear with
regard to New York with not permitting an expansion of a preexisting nonconforming lot.

The Zoning Board of Appeals in a prior determination back in 1988, when the applicant two owners ago sought to have an extension and alterations to an existing gas station and automotive repair to be a gas station and a convenience store. It violated the zoning then, it violates the zoning now.

The applicant went before the Board, sought and received a slight extension. And now the property is about 1400 square feet. What the applicant shows now, it wants to go to 3400 square feet.

Under no circumstance can that be viewed as a natural enlargement, but rather an unnatural or a unlawful enlargement. The Zoning Board determination previously,
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actually subject to the following
stipulations, no video games on premises, and
adequate disposal that wouldn't interfere with neighbors in the area.

And that was when it was just a small -I'm going to ask to have this marked in for the Board to review. That's what went on before. When the applicant came and said they wanted to have a convenience store so people could drive in just in the front portion, not what's now behind, but this very front portion, the gas station, there was small window, you could get your bread, your milk, your newspaper, and get your gas. They had to go to the Zoning Board for the interpretation.

THE CLERK: Well, what do you want to do? That's the three minutes.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Keep going.
MR. CONWAY: They had to go to the
Zoning Board for that interpretation. They came to the Planning Board, but they were then referred to the Zoning Board.

The same thing has to happen here. Not
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to do that, if this Board were to make that mistake, the Supreme Court judge would have to correct that mistake. And clearly, it's a very obvious mistake.

The law with regard to New York, you cannot legitimize illegal operations through expansion. The case law is quite the opposite.

New York Court of Appeals in Rudolf versus De Luccia determined that the law generally views nonconforming uses as detrimental to the zoning scheme, and overriding public policy of the zoning in New York State and elsewhere is aimed at their reasonable restriction and eventual elimination. While nonconforming uses are generally permitted to continue, they may not be enlarged as a matter of right.

So what this means is the applicant and this Board should refer it, after tonight, to the Zoning Board for an interpretation, for the Zoning Board to determine, just like they did last time when they came in before it ever became a Dunkin' Donuts. At what point
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it became a Dunkin' Donuts, I don't know. But there's no lawful -- there was no meeting, there was no approval for a Dunkin' Donuts, and to call this project a Dunkin' Donuts I think is part of, you know, it speaks to the larger lack of credibility because I don't know of any Dunkin' Donuts, and I go to Dunkin' Donuts all over New York, Connecticut, that I've ever seen a Dunkin' Donuts this large as a Dunkin' Donuts, and it's not going to have seating, tables, a restaurant, never more importantly a drive through.

So it's not for this Board to guess what the applicant may use it to. It's for this Board first to determine whether or not the Zoning Board, not the Planning Board, makes the determination whether or not the variance is required, whether or not it's an enlargement. Because if this Board ignores that, this Board does it at its legal peril.

This Board doesn't make those determinations. The Zoning Board is set up to make those determinations on a regular

MR. CONWAY: Sure, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Are you speaking on behalf of the people that hired you? Are they going to get up and speak also? Because what I'm thinking is I'll give you their time. But if they're going to get up and speak, I got to cut you short because there's a lot of people.

MR. HAROLD: He could have my time.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: That's why I'm just checking. I'm glad to give you your time today and get it all out. I want to be fair about this.

MR. CONWAY: I understand. But just for the Board's edification, it's normal practice in every Planning and Zoning Board anywhere in Rockland County and within the state that when professionals come in to make a presentment, we're not given a time limit.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Well, we have, we do here in Stony Point. We give everybody three
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minutes. But $I$ am actually looking to work with you to give you additional time.

MR. CONWAY: That's fine. The other issue here that the Zoning Board needs to determine, not the Planning Board, the changing the use. This 3400 square feet, it could be a restaurant, it could be -- they're having it as a Dunkin' Donuts. I don't believe it is. It was never approved as a Dunkin' Donuts, it was approved as a gas station and a convenience store where they were going to sell newspapers, get bread and milk.

The Zoning Board needs to determine whether there's been a change in use. It seems that there has been, as this project's evolved, to where now they want to take it to the next level.

I submitted to you that the Zoning Board, when they last saw this in 1988, never there was never an envision of something of this magnitude. And something of this magnitude requires the applicant to come back to the Board, present to the Zoning Board why
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it is that they need this.
The Planning Board doesn't deal with the financial considerations, the ratables. And ratables are not a land use in the planning perspective that's in the planning code. But when you look at a site as a Planning Board, that's an issue that the Zoning Board deals with.

But I didn't hear anything in the prior presentment or tonight as to why this is needed. Are they not making money at the site now? How much money are they making? They should have some basis to present to the Planning Board why this is necessary. We're all going with the assumption, well, this is a better way to make the traffic flow better, there's not going to be an increase in traffic. There's a lot of ifs there.

But I've heard nothing from a planning perspective as to why this is necessary in a completely contained residential neighborhood. The reason that it's a preexisting nonconforming use, it wouldn't be permitted today because it's in a residential
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neighborhood.
It would be permitted in a commercial area, it would be permitted -- normally you see a project like this on Route 80 or Route 81 where you have a convenience superstore. People come off the highway, they see the sign, they come in. That's what that is aimed at.

This is in a residential neighborhood. No one would want to live next to it. The preexisting nonconforming use has been expanded at least twice prior to this without an approval. The last approval was 1988.

So I implore the Board, this Board to follow its proper procedure and not act without its jurisdiction by making it a Zoning Board determination. And that's what you would be doing, even if you're not recognizing it as such, that's, in effect, what you would be doing.

The other thing that your own code, 15-95, provides that you can't have an alteration or enlargement of a nonconforming use. This sounds like the gas station has
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virtually disappeared and has become a restaurant, convenience store. The second floor of the plans shows nothing but warehouse storage. Does anyone really think that that's what it's going to be? There could be living space, there could be all types of things. What the applicants presented to you, in my opinion, is a blank check where they're going to do whatever they want going forward.

In the building department file, there's no approval for a Dunkin' Donuts. Dunkin' Donuts is more of a restaurant. That's not a convenience store. But the applicant with 34 square hundred feet, $I$ believe it's going to be a superstore or a supermarket. That's not permitted in this zone, either. That was not permitted with the variance that the Zoning Board gave back in 1988.

Now just, 215-95, which is your code, says to create additional floor area within an existing building of a nonconforming use, any portion of the floor area not formally used for such nonconforming use except where
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the additional floor area was manifestly designed for such use at the time it became nonconforming. That would be in 1988, they would have had to envision something of this magnitude in 1988.

Read that variance from 1988. They
didn't want video games. Does anyone think that when it was that limited then, that this is now going to be permitted in 1988? You can take that decision and literally drive a truck through it and say okay, we're now going to go not from 1400 square feet, we're now up to 3800 square feet.

I'll submit the case law and the other information to the Board after today so the Board can review it. And I would ask the Board to review it. And I would ask you to get opinion from your attorney because that's what this requires in order for this project to go forward and not have it come back after a Supreme Court review.

And finally, the factors that the Board would otherwise look at, Planning Board factors, everyone always looks at these
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applications on paper and it sounds nice, it looks at the traffic, looks at the environmental, let's look at how we're going to do the landscaping. But the main issue that the Board, the Planning Board when it sits, what's the impact on the neighborhood going to be? Is it going to change it forever?

And I submit to you that it was slightly changed when it went to 1400 square foot. Ask the neighbors if they can live with it now, and what's it like to live with it now. If you're going to go to 3800 square feet, you're now going to have this drive through effect on Algonquin. It's going to turn into a superstore.

Ask the neighbors what the quality of life is. Ask the neighbors what's going to happen to their property value for this great ratable. This ratable is a ratable now.

That's not a factor for this Board to consider because if it were, then they'd have to come in and tell you how much money they're making right now. We can't make a go
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of it at 1400 square feet, we need to have more space, we need to make more money. They'd have to come in and present all that to you.

Well, guess what? In a variance, if there's a change in use for a Zoning Board, that's where you go. They have to bring a financial expert in. They have to prove to you why there's no other use other than what they're presenting to you that they can't get a reasonable rate of return on the property.

That's why a Zoning Board exists.
That's what needs to happen with this.
Because just to come in and tell everyone oh, and off we go, they already got their variance from 1988.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Mr. Conway, you're starting to repeat yourself. We got the facts. Please submit the zoning laws that you're referring to.

MR. CONWAY: That's fine.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: We will pass it along. MR. CONWAY: I also have the petition
from the neighbors both in the immediate
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vicinity and otherwise. I'm going to present to the Board now.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Okay.
MR. CONWAY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Thank you. Do we have any other attorneys that would like to speak tonight as a professional courtesy? Okay, the second one, we have Peter Pulice. I'm sorry, are you Peter Pulice?

MR. PULICE: I'm Pulice, Peter Pulice, I'm a registered architect and land use planner. I'd like to weigh in on the site plan.

So I believe the Board should really realize that this is not an alteration. The building that they're proposing that encapsulates the existing building, more than 50 percent of it will be gone. Why they think that they're, you know, portraying that this is an addition, it's not. It's basically reconstructing that building.

And I believe from a zoning perspective, this is a brand new application. This is a brand new use. So basically, the logistics
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of building around this building, at least 50 percent of the building, the existing building has to be removed to facilitate some of this construction.

You know, my observation is that it's wood frame construction, the roof is a flat roof. They're proposing a second story with an A-frame or a gable, and that all has to be modified, so this building will be a new building. At the end of the day, this will be a new building.

I don't think that the foundations will support it because it was a very modest building at its inception way back when. So this is really a deception, I think, from a zoning and planning perspective of this building.

Now, regarding square footage, there's a second floor. And I believe that the FAR and the zoning table are incorrect stipulating the square footages. So that has impact on the zoning analysis.

Also, I'm concerned that the site plan indicates a 2,000 gallon grease trap. I've

Proceedings
done a lot of restaurants, a lot of fast food. A 2,000 gallon grease trap is there for a reason. And $I$ think it's pretty intense.

I believe that the service window that was testified earlier is going to be a problem. It will bottleneck that front entrance because now you have to have people get out of the car, and go to a window, and leave their car either idling or stopped at the service island.

Also, the proposed canopy, which I haven't seen any details on, that's a structure. That's a structure that has to be accounted for in the front yard, and it needs a variance. So there's no depiction of that on the zoning table. So that also has to do with signage. It is a structure, it is part of the principal structure or an accessory to the structure, and a variance will be needed for that.

As far as traffic on the site, you don't have to be a traffic engineer to look at the site and analyze it. This service lane, or

```
            Proceedings
this service road that they want to build to
the eastern or the western side is a
disaster. I don't think it's going to work
because if you're bringing in soda trucks,
fuel trucks, there's no way to make turns on
and off the site. It's going to be an
absolute horrendous because you need certain
radiuses to turn trucks.
    So I am requesting that the Board get
further engineering on the templates, on the
turning radius templates required for trucks
that might enter this site. I think it's
very important to understand how trucks and
other service vehicles are going to be
manipulated around the site.
    CHAIRMAN MULLER: Can I ask you a
question?
    MR. PULICE: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN MULLER: Have you ever been to
this site?
    MR. PULICE: Yes, many times.
    CHAIRMAN MULLER: And you witnessed
trucks moving in or around?
    MR. PULICE: I've seen some trucks.
```
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CHAIRMAN MULLER: Are you a resident, or are you with Mr. Conway?

MR. PULICE: No, I'm a consultant.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: With Mr. Conway?
MR. PULICE: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Thank you.
MR. PULICE: Again, the second floor is a service area or a storage area. There's a dumbwaiter or some kind of elevator going up. I don't know how that's going to facilitate products coming in and out because you would have to -- it doesn't have a door directly into the store. So you're going to bring materials up, and you're going to bring them down, but they're going to be exterior and have to be carted inside.

So it's kind of an awkward plan. I don't know what the function of the elevator is. I think, you know, the building really has to be explained functionality. You know, usually in architectural plans, the equipment is specified, there will be some cooking equipment if they're going to have a kitchen. It's not specified on the drawings.
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Also, the drawings do not show any kind of exhaust hoods or ventilation systems, which this is going to have. So if they're going to increase the store significantly and add this equipment, it will have other effects to the neighbors such as smells, noise, from equipment. It's showing a gabled roof. And where is this equipment going to go, because it's not going to go on a sloped roof. And there's no indication on the site plan where this equipment is going to go.

I just want to point out briefly that I did look at the Article 10 site plan development review. It's Section 215-60, objectives. So it's my belief, my professional opinion that six out of the seven criteria of that section are violated by this proposed site plan.

Specifically, traffic access I think is flawed. I think this plan does not work. Right now, it's open in the front and it kind of works because it's so open. What you're creating here is a bottleneck. You're creating a narrow funnel to channel traffic.
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That might look good on plan, but in execution, it's going to be a disaster.

B, circulation and parking. I do not agree that this is a adequate layout. I think that more parking here is going to bring more, generate more traffic. And it's not a local store anymore. This is something that belongs maybe on the parkway, or maybe on a busy boulevard. But not residential streets, such as depicted here.

C, landscaping and screening. I think the screening is efficient along Algonquin, even though they want to plant trees, and they want to wait four or five years for that to grow in. Your site plan ordinance really has specific requirements for screening.

And also, that type of setup, that service aisle is not allowed in a residential zone. So I must remind the Board that this is a $R R$ zone. This is not anything else.

D, compatibility with signs, lights. Improving this is going to have a tremendous amount of impact on the neighborhood. By dimming lights and, you know, making those
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smaller tweaks might be well and good, but you're still bringing traffic in. They're taking this parking area and they're bringing it in further in to the residential area.

Right now, it's off the residential area. It's more towards of street. But now they're actually creating a parking lot smack in the middle of a residential zone.

E, environment. You know, I believe that this is going to impact it from cleanliness, site safety, trash. There's so many other things that are going to be, you know, impacting here. It's over intensification of the site.

You must realize that now it has a limitation of uses, a gas station, Dunkin' Donuts, and maybe a small convenience store. But now you're intensifying this use, you're putting in a service window to facilitate payment, cash payments. Maybe the gas station stays, the fueling stays alone.

But now you're increasing Dunkin' Donuts, you're adding a kitchen, you're adding more square footage, you're adding
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storage up above, a convenience store, which
is a magnet for more traffic.
And then $F$ is the development. You
know, there's all inclusive things here.
Circulation, signs, lighting. So again, this
goes to over intensification of the site, which is really started out as a mom and pop store. And this is really an overdevelopment of a site that's really not well suited.

This site, when you look at it, it's forced. It's a forced plan to really accommodate need and greed. It's really not anything that's going to benefit the neighborhood or the town. I think it's going to be a problem. Because of this intersection and the way it's located, this is not the place to develop it. There's other suitable sites that are better for development than this one. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Karla Phillips?
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Weren't you
represented by Mr. Conway?
MS. PHILLIPS: Yes, I am.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: You gave him your
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time. That's what we asked. That's what I asked, that's what I asked you, Mr. Conway, be fair. I gave you both an additional amount of time.

MR. HAROLD: He yields his three minutes to Karla. He's on the list.

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Two people yielded their time, these two here. She never yielded.

MR. POTANOVIC: She's a neighbor, she should have the chance to speak.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: That's the way you want to do it, fine.

PUBLIC SPEAKER: I want to hear what she has to say.

MS. PHILLIPS: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm not submitting --

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Excuse me. Could you step to the podium?

MS. PHILLIPS: I will.

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: And state your
name before you start.
MS. PHILLIPS: Karla Phillips. I'm the neighborhood on the west.
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CHAIRMAN MULLER: Ma'am, go to the podium.

MS. PHILLIPS: I will, but I wanted to give you some history.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: You can. But you have to --

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: There's a stenographer here tonight, not us.

MS. PHILLIPS: I understand that.
BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: It's the procedure, unfortunately.

MS. PHILLIPS: What I have here, ladies and gentlemen, are some pictures, very quickly. The gas station was built in --

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Excuse me. You need to address us, not the audience.

MS. PHILLIPS: Sorry. Excuse me, I don't mean to be rude. The gas station was built in 1947 by my father and uncle coming from World War II. It was a need. It was one bay, a pit, and a little tiny area for gas, repairs, eggs, milk, and that was it. I do have some historical pictures showing what it originally looked like, the original
Proceedings
footprint of 1410 square feet.
I live in the 300-year-old house next door. I'm not a nimby, it's been there. 3,000 plus square feet next door is not a good thing, gentlemen.

The gas truck comes in and it pulls right up to Willow Grove Road. If you're coming out Algonquin to make a left-hand turn going east on Willow, you have to stop and look between the cab and the tanker to see the oncoming traffic.

The other day, a big Seagrams or Budweiser truck was in there. It could not make the radius. When the gas truck comes, if he doesn't back in, which is another nightmare for traffic, he pulls around, but he lays on his horn so that people come out and move. And you know people don't come out and move.

The mom and pop of historic Stony Point is going away. This is a rural area. The town is historic. We have a commercial corridor.

You make this bigger -- first I heard
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about pizza was tonight. You make this bigger, the other day there were 33 cars there of a car rally. They were there for 20 minutes gunning their engines. There was 27 motorcycles one afternoon.

You put in the bathrooms, fine. I understand that. You put in a pizza parlor now, you are making a destination. You are not making a mini mart convenience store for people to pick up eggs and milk, or a newspaper. You are tripling the size in a very rural area with a county road. And people that live in Haverstraw across the street, who unfortunately can't really say what they want or don't want, and they're not in favor of a 3,000 square footer.

This was a small need. Yes, fix it up. Dunkin' Donuts, $I$ don't know when it came in. I mean, I do, sort of, but $I$ don't remember getting notified it was being changed from a mini mart convenience store. It went from my father and uncle, to cousins, sold to Carl Jacobs in 1988 as a mini mart convenience where he decided he didn't want to do a gas
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station any longer.
Right now, I don't see where 3,000 plus square feet is going to help anything. I will let you look at these historic photos, gentlemen. I thank you. I'm not going to go over the buzzer. I would like them back. These are originals.

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Lee -- excuse me, Rutten?

MR. RUTTER: Rutter. My name is Lee Rutter. I live at 1 Algonquin Drive, which is directly behind the Dunkin' Donuts. My front yard shares a property line with the back of their building. So I can testify to the constant dealing with noise and garbage. It was all mentioned before.

My daily routine is I walk my daughter to the bus stop. On the way up the street, I pick up garbage on one side of the road. On the way back, I pick up from the other side. After work, I police my yard, and it's a second job that doesn't pay, and I don't want it.
I listen to car alarms, blaring radios,
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early a.m. deliveries. There's a gas truck here, it came in at 5:30 in the morning. The reverse beeper woke me up. It set its air brakes. The driver flipped the metal cover of the in-ground tank to the ground. And by the time I got dressed and got there to take a picture, it was 5:50.

I have -- I had a car alarm go off two weekends in a row. It's before it's light out. When I went and mentioned it to a manager, he told me no, it was your neighbor, it wasn't in our lot. But I had watched from my bedroom window as the headlights blinked in unison with the car alarm.

I just don't want to deal with it anymore. I can't tell you what you find in that parking lot because $I$ walk through it. There's drug paraphernalia, there's used condoms.

I watched last week two gentlemen get out of a car and use, you know, the back of this orange storage container that I stare at from my yard, pee there. And then the third friend I guess had a different urge. He went
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onto Algonquin, into the woods 30 yards, and defecated. Now, that's 30 yards from my mailbox.

That's at 1400 square feet. I don't want it bigger. I don't want more of it. I had enough of it. It's no good. They're not good neighbors. And they're not good neighbors to not just me, but to the rest of Indian Hills.

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Thank you. Are you Harold?

MR. HAROLD: I yielded.
BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: You yielded.
Kevin Maher? Do you want to speak?
MR. MAHER: Good evening. Kevin Maher,
130 Central Highway, licensed professional
New York engineer, member of the
Rockland County Environmental Control
Committee, the Rockland County Water Control Committee, and also a member of the water task force.

Storm water, big issue. Would only add pavement. I don't see any attempt on this plan as it stands right now to address the
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requirements by NYSDEC to address the water quality volume for the increase in the pavement areas and roof areas.

Another issue for storm water, where the gas pumps are, that's called a hot spot in the NYSDEC manual. You're supposed to put a treatment device there to trap any runoff before it gets into the storm drains. I don't see one on the plan.

That's a requirement. Because the runoff from this site winds up going into the Cedar Pond Brook, out to the Hudson right where the Atlantic sturgeon are. So you have an issue there.

Sanitary, I don't know, but they haven't had a chance to really compute the flow. But obviously, the Joint Regional Sewer Board is going to have to address that as to whether or not they can accept the additional flow from this development. Because it does go into the Town of Haverstraw, and they have issues with their own system right now.

Water, I'm member of the task force on water resource management. We need to see
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that this project can be supported by SUEZ. Electric, I'll leave that up to our friends at $O$ and $R$ to address that issue.

Just to take a quick shot at traffic. Though I'm not a traffic engineer, I've done my fair share of roadway design work. I think we need to get a little more detail as to the level of service at the intersection of Algonquin and Willow Grove. Is it A now going to $B, B$ to $C, C$ to $D$, or $D$ to even worse?

That needs to be addressed because as everyone has said, this traffic coming out onto Algonquin through that exit ramp wasn't there before. Everybody dumps straight out onto Willow Grove. So you are going to have an impact on Algonquin Drive. That's it.

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Thank you. Frank Simeone?

MR. SIMEONE: That's my lot. My wife and I, her name is Jane Brown, we've lived there since 1981. Lee Rutter, who spoke, picked up trash for ten years. God bless him. I've picked it up for nearly 40 , both

Proceedings
sides of Willow Grove Road. Every morning there's new trash.

If this happens, you should insist on a solid concrete, low so it doesn't block site view, dumpster. You'd know what I mean, you've seen them. They're big, low and concrete garbage cans.

Kids, God bless them, one of them is going to die on this road soon. But they leave their trash all up and down Willow Grove, and all up and down Algonquin. At least the four corners, but certainly the corner from where I stand should be put in with a solid permanent garbage container.

First of all, I want to say $I$ join in the other comments. I'd like to adopt on behalf of myself and my wife the comments made on behalf of the lawyer for Karla Phillips and Lee Rutter. But just from a neighbor's point of view, litter, noise, speed, and the big one, drainage. That stream, and I wouldn't call it a drainage ditch.

And by the way, in some respects they
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have been good neighbors. Excuse me if I disagree. The people in Dunkin' Donuts, some of them have made a real effort to be good neighbors.

Not when they put a vacuum cleaner thing over here. Nobody asked. You know, we said in the petition, their decisions, to a certain extent, have been customer driven, not neighbor driven.

However, piping that stream as it is now, I lived there before Indian Hills went in. On a storm, this is a lake. I appreciate the comments the gentleman just made with respect to water. I'm sure he's right. This is an over intensification of use. It's been an over intensification of use.

Two last things. I certainly appreciate what was said about the car club, the motorcyclists. And some of this, folks, is simple enforcement. One of your comments that's in the letter, $I$ think from a gentleman Mazurek, talking about speed, he said speeding on Algonquin is rampant.
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Well, let me tell you. If speeding on Algonquin is rampant, then speeding on Willow Grove is otherworldly. It's terrible. It's absolutely terrible.

And the comment, then, from Mr. Zigler's firm was suggest you speak to the police chief. I have files with the police department. Speed, litter, noise. Nobody does anything about it. I've made the complaints many times.

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: All right, thank you, sir. George Potanovic?

MR. POTANOVIC: Good evening, Board Members. George Potanovic, 597 Old Gate Hill Road, resident of the Stony Point Action Committee for the Environment.

I do frequently go to the store. My wife likes the iced tea very much, so I am familiar with the current situation. It is a preexisting nonconforming use. I do agree with that. I did read the original decision by the Zoning Board in 1988 allowing the additional convenience store to be added to the gas station, which seemed more of an
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accommodation at the time and was not meant to be a tremendous use.

So I do agree with what was stated earlier regarding this probably three times expansion of what was originally intended. And it goes well beyond, in my view, it goes well beyond the capacity of the intersection to support this much traffic for the existing businesses.

Entering the gas station, I noticed personally, entering this way, you're bringing a lot of traffic in this way. If anybody comes in to use this gas station, more than two cars, and say a third car comes in, they're blocking the entrance to this. I've seen this happen already many times with the existing situation. I don't think the accommodations that are being offered by the applicant to correct some of the existing problems addressed the entrance to this gas station.

Then, of course, the exiting of the gas station on the exit closest to Algonquin Drive competes now with cars coming out of
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Algonquin Drive. It's a problem now, where you have a car right alongside of you trying to exit at the same time you're trying to exit.

I'm not sure how the truck traffic is going to be handled and how the trucks could even get into that area to the west of the building. I'm not sure if they come in and then make a big U-turn and come through or what, but it just seems almost impossible for a truck to get in there and make deliveries alongside the west side of the store according to the plan.

If you go into the Mount Ivy Dunkin' Donuts on 45 and 202, I won't go there anymore. It is a horrible, horrible example of bad planning. I wouldn't want to see that happen in Stony Point.

You can't even get in past the gas pumps to get into the store. And then you have to go all the way around it in order to come in the other entrance. It's impossible.

And people, there's not enough parking. It's a roundabout way of getting in there.
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It's an example of poor planning.
And as the Chair, the Assistant Chair that's sitting tonight mentioned, you do have -- you have seen a lot of different applications come to the Town. We certainly don't want to see something of poor planning come through. It's not good for business. It's not good for the neighborhood.

So this does take a requirement for you to look at this very carefully. An expansion of business can be a positive thing or it can be a negative thing. It's too much of a expansion in an area that can't accommodate it.

It can be a negative. It can be a negative and keep people from going there. That's how I feel about the one in Mount Ivy.

Also, you're having a public hearing tonight with what is really an incomplete traffic study. The person that spoke on behalf of the traffic study talked about a zero increase from the gas station. I don't know what basis that is. I'll just take one minute.
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And 15 percent increase from the Dunkin' Donuts, but you're doubling the amount of parking spaces, so $I$ don't know how they get to 15 percent. If you're doubling the parking spaces, wouldn't you think there would be more than 15 percent?

And then, of course, there was never a number expressed -- I don't think, I didn't hear it -- regarding the expansion of the convenience store for pizza and ice cream, which could be significant, as was stated, but yet has not been indicated. And therefore, you're having a hearing tonight that is very much incomplete because traffic is a very important part of this proposal. Thank you very much for your attention.

BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Thank you, George.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: George Harris?
MR. HARRIS: George Harris, 327 Willow Grove Road. This traffic study, when I listened to this traffic study crap, this was -- they don't live on Willow Grove Road. That is obvious. If you live on Willow Grove Road, and my house is right on Willow Grove
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Road, you would know there is major problems on Willow Grove Road.

Now this design, you've got the gas station, the pumps at the worst possible location. And then you want to block the parking going into the pumps. Well, if there's ever a gas shortage or something, where the hell are the people going to park their car, on the road to get into the gas station?

This design is so ridiculous that for the people to design something that's -- the garage was built there in '47. And the people that want to do this have said they have to reconstruct the building, that the foundation is not able to sustain the new building, whatever they're doing. So why don't they just bulldoze this building down?

It's, it was built in '47, it's
nonconforming. Bulldoze it down, put it to the back of the building. Build a nice -anybody go to a Dunkin' Donuts? Most Dunkin' Donuts that I've been in are really nice. They have bathrooms for people that are
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working there. The workers, they have someplace to wash their hands, go to the bathroom and wash their hands. I don't think, as it stands right now, there is no bathroom in there. As far as I know. But the thing is, the bathrooms are just as important.

They want to make pizza pie, they want to serve Dunkin' Donuts. Most Dunkin' Donuts now make sandwiches, I believe. You can go in at Dunkin' Donuts and get an egg and cheese and ham sandwich. And after cooking there, that's what they're going to be doing.

So the thing is that it should be -- not only should it not be in that building, but they could build a nice Dunkin' Donuts at the back of the building so it doesn't interfere with the local residents. They can -- the back of building doesn't have to have any windows out that way. They can put trees or whatever. And you could get pretty damn big trees and cover it up pretty fast. You don't have to wait 20 years for the tree to grow.

I mean, the thing is, and you just look
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at this entrance. You're going to have cars going there both ways, I think, right. You're going to have one way, one car go in this way, and the other car go in the other way because the gas, the fillers are on either side of the cars. So they're going to be going in.

How is that guy going in that way, where is he going to get out? He's going to have to pull across. But that issue was brought up before. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Robert Schulman?
MR. SCHULMAN: Good evening. Robert Schulman, 19 Algonquin Drive. Retired, professional grandfather and babysitter.

I see all my other retirees who I met on Algonquin on our way down to the Dunkin' Donuts to get snacks and, you know, go to the high school for local sports, all that. If this is going to happen, I don't see where a dedicated sidewalk will be needed.

When I come down, there's a lot of foot traffic a, lot of kids. Dunkin' Donuts, the whole community, Algonquin, all the other
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streets, there's a lot of foot traffic. Teenagers, old people, strollers, everything.

Right now, this was -- Algonquin is a nice little cozy street. We're going to add a lot of traffic. And right now, everybody walks in the street. There's no sidewalks.

You're going to increase the traffic. 15 percent was kind of low, but you know. But I would think that a dedicated -- that the consultants didn't take in mind the people, the foot traffic. I don't see a sidewalk for safety. We would have to dodge the cars coming out of the parking lot.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Can I ask you one question? How far up the road do you think you would like to see a sidewalk? To the end of the property or further?

PUBLIC SPEAKER: All the way up the road.

MR. SCHULMAN: At least -- I'll be honest with you, you know. I don't have a wish list. I just think from the, you know, up, maybe the first corner, or at least the end. The Rutter house. The Rutters, where
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they live, you know, from that point, from that point going down Algonquin to south, at least a sidewalk, a service sidewalk so we don't have to walk in the street with the added traffic.

Like I said, we constantly, you know, we're good customers. You know, like I said, you know, we go there for all our snacks. And when we go up to the high school, baseball games and soccer fields, you know, we're always coming down Algonquin. I'm just thinking about safety. If you're going to add that much traffic, we should get -- there should be a dedicated sidewalk at least for the pedestrian traffic. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Tom Ruggeri?
MR. RUGGERI: Yeah, I actually did yield
to Mrs. Phillips.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: We'll give you a chance, come on.

MR. RUGGERI: Thank you. You know, I'm
all for progress, and I'm all for
beautification of a nicer building, nicer parking, than what currently is there now.
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But like the last gentleman just said, when you have an exit of cars going out onto a residential road without sidewalks or something of that nature, we all have, you know, little ones and grandchildren that frequent that business. And right now, they kind of cut across the openings of the fence. That's pretty much where that driveway is going to actually have that exiting traffic.

You know, it's -- Willow Grove is kind of a nightmare. I listened to that study on the traffic. But again, and a few people had said the same thing, during peak hours, I don't know if somebody stood there during peak hours or not.

But if there's more than two cars at the gas pumps, and especially the fact that now you really can't turn around because you got right filling cars and left filling cars, so you got guys that are going to try to back into it in order to get their car filled up. You start blocking traffic during peak hours, during Sundays when soccer is going on, the bottom line is there are people trying to get
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into Dunkin' Donuts, there are other people trying to get gas, and you've got a pretty good sized bottleneck.

So I travel out of, down Algonquin to go to work each morning. And it's pretty much hard enough to get out, you know, from down coming traffic coming down from the Palisades on Willow Grove. And now the people actually coming out of that gas station or out of the Dunkin' Donuts, looking in one direction and one direction only so that they can pull out as well.

Meanwhile, you're stopped at the stop sign. You're assuming that the people that are coming out of the gas station are also going to stop. But they don't, in a lot of cases. You know, there's been a lot of hand gestures and whatnot just getting in and out of there.

And then you're also coming down a hill on Willow Grove where you naturally pick up speed. And you know, people don't tend to slow down for this added traffic that you're going to be putting in there.
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So if not for just the safety of our children -- and you know what, I bought this home back in '88. I live in Indian Hills. I never suspected that we would have a super Dunkin' Donuts or a supermart. I admit it's convenient, it's nice to walk down, pick up some milk, pick up some bread. But you know, there is other commercial places where this can also work. And that's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Mies Hora?
MR. HORA: Here.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Did I pronounce that right?

MR. HORA: Mies.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Mies?
MR. HORA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Sorry.
MR. HORA: I'm Mies Hora, I live at 31 Wilderness Drive, which is right up Algonquin and you turn right onto Indian. I've been there since 1987.

I'm on the Board of Directors of the Rockland Astronomy Club, so I'm going to address something that no one else has really
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talked about, is light pollution. One of the things that $I$ have the biggest issue with, and perhaps the neighbors, is that there are security lights when you have a commercial establishment, unlike home, where that you could have a sensor, and if a deer walks by, it goes on, and then it goes back off.

The security lights are usually on all night. So no matter when you come by there, could be 11, 12:00 at night, there are three or four really bright security lights that are shining into the neighbor's yard. And it's on all sides. And I'm sure Lee sees them from his place, too. So that whole area is flood lit.

So whatever happens, whether it gets expanded or not, I would like to request of the Planning Board and the Zoning Board to consider full cut off lighting, which essentially is the light is prevented from going sideways, it just goes down. It's not expensive. I've given you a sheet that shows acceptable lighting and non acceptable lighting.
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It's a problem everywhere, but especially in a residential zone like this. I happen to be an astronomer, yes, so it does impact my ability to see the stars. Remember four years ago, you could see the stars in Stony Point. You could see the Milky Way. You can't because of all the security lighting.

So I just wanted to bring up the light pollution, and trespass of the neighbors, which is going to happen unless the appropriate lighting is put on this building, whether it stays the way it is now or whether it gets expanded. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Thank you. Can we have the second list, please? Can we have the second list? Could someone bring that pad up? Thank you. Phil Quinn, please?

MR. QUINN: Phil Quinn, 7 Van Buren Street, Stony Point, New York. I've been in the automotive and gas and retail business since 1982, and I don't see this flying at all, especially with that stream. You're going to change the water flow to where your
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gas storage tanks are, which is not a very good idea because God forbid a flood or a hurricane.

And then you refer to that as a -that's a stream. It's not a drainage. It's actually a stream. There's actually fish in there and stuff.

I don't see this being a good idea for the neighborhood. I'm sure all you people are Stony Pointers because you wouldn't be doing this thankless job if you wasn't. You must love your town. So I appreciate that, and I hope you take that into consideration by not approving this monstrosity. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Frank Collyer?
MR. COLLYER: Frank Collyer, 10 Knapp
Road, right over here. When I moved to
Stony Point in 1976, they talk about the old guys, now I'm an old guy, so. I was actually at the Zoning Board meeting where they approved that thing back in 1988.

And Carl Phillips, that guy who -- Carl Jacobs, rather, he asked me to come down and
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speak on his behalf. And I thought it was a good idea to have a milk and eggs and that sort of thing in the beginning. So it has morphed into this huge thing, and it is now no longer, and it hasn't been a good idea for a long time.

If we look, first of all, at the entrance to where the pumps are, they can get caught so easily coming. And coming out where it comes out on Algonquin here, this is even a disaster waiting to happen.

You have one place where cars can come out. You maybe have back and three deep to try to get back onto Willow Grove Road. And a lot of those cars will be turning right across two lanes of traffic. It's a disaster waiting to happen. So just for the traffic alone we should not even think about this.

And one gentleman had made a mention about the sidewalk over there. It should have a sidewalk going all the way up there on both sides of the road. And there should be a bike lane on it, too. As a former bicycle rider, I know about that. I climbed it many
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times.
So a lot more thought deserves to go into this plan. And maybe the plan ought to be to go in a different place. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Hollis Griffin?
MR. GRIFFIN: Members of the Board, my name is Hollis Griffin. I live at 25 Captain Faldermeyer Drive in Stony Point.

I was an attorney in 1988. I helped Carl Jacobs prepare the application, presented the evidence to the Zoning Board of Appeals, and to the Planning Board that ultimately obtained the approval that Mr. Jacobs received, which was to have an a.m./p.m. mini mart. That's a quote.

Mr. Jacobs, when he bought that concern from the Phillips family, tried to run the place as a gas station, service station. He fixed cars there. As Mr. Jacobs's sons aged out and he couldn't do the work himself, he started to lose money. We actually had to have an accountant go back through all his books and bring proof to the Zoning Board of
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Appeals that he could not make a go of the service station anymore before they would approve the a.m./p.m. mini mart.

One of the promises that I made to the Zoning Board of Appeals on behalf of the client, and of one of the promises that Mr. Jacobs kept was that he wasn't going to expand the footprint of the building. Everything was going to be conducted within the four corners of that building as it existed.

The only thing he really did was close in the service bays that were on the east side. And stopped fixing cars. There was a sink, there was a bathroom.

What we're talking about here in terms of the plan as it's been presented so far is a very, very, very major change in the manner in which business would be done at that location. It's a much more intensive use. Mr. Conway has described local laws about that.

But there are so many factors. Having lived in the neighborhood, and having filed
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an application, and having seen what actually happened after he got the approval was an eye opener for me.

There's been so much happening at that site over the years that we all know about but don't talk about there. There was a violent attempted rape in that parking lot.

My son got involved in an accident coming down the hill and that steep grade, and hit a car that was stopped to turn into the gas station. And wrecked -- not only did he wreck our car, but he certainly, he really wrecked the car that he ran into the back of.

That stream that runs through that now that they're talking about, piping -- and I'll be done in a second. The stream that they're talking about, that actually is the runoff from the pond that's on Captain Faldermeyer Drive. All of the water, and that's spring fed.

The water comes off the golf course, the water comes across the streets and empties into that pond in the wintertime. That pond is running under the road, down that hill.
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And it is a much larger volume than the drainage ditch, quote unquote, that was described by the engineer for the applicant.

This is a big production that they're talking about. It was never, ever contemplated by the Zoning Board of Appeals that let Carl Jacobs have, sell some sundries and some groceries out of that store when he couldn't fix cars anymore. That was the furthest thing from his mind, that this would be this type of production. And the minutes and my notes from those meetings certainly confirm that.

When we look, when you look at this from a Planning Board standpoint, what bulk tables are you using? I spoke to Mr. Sheehan about that, and he said to me that he recognized that there was a problem, that there was no bulk table attached to the plan that was approved for Carl Jacobs.

But it was his belief that you were going to use the $R R$ bulk table. For a 3400 square foot store, you're going to use the $R R$ bulk table.
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We never received approval for where the pumps were located. There was a spill there that fouled wells up and down that street that has never been talked about. Now it may finally be cleaned up. May finally be cleaned up. But MTB was running into people's sinks for years.

So when I say I lived in that neighborhood, and I saw, and I was painfully aware of all the things that could go wrong, anything that could go wrong there did go wrong there. And it's not a lot that you can prevent.

But we can certainly, we can certainly all see that this is a very, very, very large project that doesn't belong there. There's too many problems. Too many problems. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: That's everybody we have on the list. Would there be anybody else that would like to speak tonight?

MR. ZUCKER: I'd like to speak.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Come on up, sign.
Thank you.
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MR. ZUCKER: I'm Todd Zucker.
BOARD MEMBER KRAESE: Could you state your name for the record for the stenographer, please?

MR. ZUCKER: I just did.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Long night, sorry.
BOARD MEMBER JOACHIM: Say it again.
MR. ZUCKER: Todd Zucker, and last Z-U-C-K-E-R. 4 Phillips Drive is where I live, and moved here in 2013. A great neighborhood. And I'm happy to be here. Great history. I listen to all the stories and everybody, what everyone's sharing.

The points about speed and quality of life is something I do think needs to be addressed, and I don't think the traffic study did. I agree, we need to look at that plan around this building, as we look at it.

But the way I look at it as people coming down the Palisades wanting a coffee, they're coming off 15, they're coming up through the neighborhood. And if you know the neighborhood, when you start at Dickens, you come through Stammers, stop sign, you
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come down Phillips, stop sign, then you have a straightaway down Algonquin. That's an $S$ curve.

People take all those stop signs. There's no stopping. The speed is way too excessive.

If this goes through, which I hope it doesn't as is, speed bumps need to be put in place. There needs to be -- it has to be addressed. Someone's going to get hurt. It's crazy.

And again, a lot of the points I think were made. You know, I get gas around 5:30 in the morning. It's easy then. But you try to do it in the middle of day, trying to go through both ways here, I think is confusing.

And $I$ even think the Algonquin $--I$ really don't think people coming down Algonquin are going to take a left on Willow Grove and go in that entrance. They're going to take a left in that entrance, which is going to create more confusion.

So my point, really, again, a lot of great messages. I learned a lot. But I

Proceedings
think the quality of life needs to be taken up Algonquin, left on Phillips, right on Stammers, because the traffic flow is just going to grow.

And really, if you're going to be building this building, I didn't hear anything about a business case. I mean, the 15 percent growth and things of that nature just didn't seem to make sense. I mean, there needs to be significant financials on why someone wants to do this. And at the moment, I don't see it. So I just wanted to share those comments.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Is there anybody else?
MR. ADAMOU: I'll be brief.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Could you sign in, please? Thank you.

MR. ADAMOU: My name is John Adamou. I live at 17 Gilmore Drive in Stony Point, been a resident since 2003. I apologize, came a little bit late from work, so you guys may have covered this topic already.

But on November 18, 2013, my daughter was coming from the high school with a friend
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up from Hammond Road, was looking to make the left on Willow Grove Road, going to D and D, and she got struck by a vehicle. Pretty banged up all over her side. Ultimately ended up with a fractured arm, and still has a plate in her arm currently.

So my concern is, and all this stuff is new, but it seems like there's a lot of concerns going on. You know, we like Dunkin' Donuts. I'm sure most people do. Area residents obviously have some issues, which seem like they need to be addressed. But this is all new to me.

So my concern is, especially now hearing that they're looking to make pizza and ice cream, that's all a draw for high school kids. And with the experience that my daughter had, not really being sidewalks there, and the Hammond Road, Willow Grove Road intersections kind of being awkward, being that it's a T intersection, stop signs coming off Hammond, and then I guess eastbound on Willow Grove, it's just kind of awkward the way the cars come in to make that
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turn.
So I don't want to see any other kids go through what my daughter went through. So I'd like to see maybe some traffic control devices in that area to help protect some of those high school kids, be it better markings, or signage, or maybe a school crossing guard during school hours, or a stop light. You know, whatever it is.

I'm a fireman, I don't really know all that stuff. But I do know there's a lot of kids that go there and something needs to be done to address their safety.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Anybody else like to speak? Does the Board have any comments?

BOARD MEMBER JASLOW: One more.
CHAIRMAN MULLER: Oh, sorry.
MR. HAMILLA: My name is Mark Hamilla, 4 Lenni Lenape Court, Stony Point. Just some brief things. One is, where's all the traffic going to park, the customers? Right now, you have the commercial traffic, the customers, the three $O$ and $R$ guys, their vehicles, SUEZ Water, the landscapers that
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park there now on the road. Where are they going to park?

There's 28 spots. How many employees?
Four or five, that's five spots maybe.
They're going to drive cars, things like that.

Is the fire department involved? Have they looked at the site plan? You know, and that's basically what $I$ want to say.

And I'm all for capitalism, free enterprise. But, you know, I was told once, it snows in Stony Point. No kidding. Where is all that snow going to be going? Where are they going to put all that snow when they plow? You know, it's going to go on Algonquin.

Cars are going to come right out and -what is it, Mrs. Phillips's house, her yard? And where are the buses going to go? And all that traffic? That's basically what $I$ wanted to say.

MR. POTANOVIC: Have comments been filed by the Rockland County Planning Board? Did they have anything to say about this?
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CHAIRMAN MULLER: It's not a question and answer, George.

MR. POTANOVIC: Well, I'm asking you.
Have you received comments from the Planning Board?

CHAIRMAN MULLER: It's not a question and answer.

MR. POTANOVIC: You can tell me whether they were received or not.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: No, not at this time, George.

MR. POTANOVIC: We will FOIL them tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN MULLER: Okay. Does the Board have any questions? John? Steve? Bill?

Can I have a motion to close the public hearing for tonight? Oh, I'm sorry, we're going to continue until next time. We'll just close it at this point for tonight.

So it will be continued. So if you have more questions, something you thought of new, something you want to reiterate again which you had to say tonight? Dave?

MR. ZIGLER: Date of specific
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continuation?
    CHAIRMAN MULLER: October 26th,
7:00 p.m.
    THE CLERK: Don't you need a motion to
continue it?
    CHAIRMAN MULLER: What's that?
    BOARD MEMBER JOACHIM: I'll make a
    motion.
    BOARD MEMBER JASLOW: I second it.
    CHAIRMAN MULLER: Okay. All in favor?
        (Response of aye was given.)
        CHAIRMAN MULLER: All opposed? Okay, it
passes.
                OOo
```
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